

# Exercises to “Standard Model of Particle Physics II”

Winter 2022/23

Prof. Dr. Manfred Lindner and Dr. Werner Rodejohann

Sheet 08 - December 7, 2022

---

**Tutor:** Sophie Klett   **e-mail:** sophie.klett@mpi-hd.mpg.de

**Lecture webpage:** <https://www.mpi-hd.mpg.de/manitop/StandardModel2/index.html>

**Hand-in of solutions:**

December 14, 2022 - 11:15, Phil. 12, R105

**Discussion of solutions:**

December 14, 2022 - 11:15, Phil. 12, R105

---

## Problem 1: *Neutrino oscillations in matter* [14 Points]

The MSW effect describes oscillations of neutrinos in matter. Consider the case of two flavor oscillations in a realistic (non-constant) mass distribution.

The time evolution of the eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian in matter is described by the following expression:

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} |\nu_A\rangle \\ |\nu_B\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_A(t) & -i\dot{\theta}(t) \\ i\dot{\theta}(t) & E_B(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |\nu_A\rangle \\ |\nu_B\rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$-E_A(t) = E_B(t) = \Delta m^2 \sin(2\theta_0)/4E \sin(2\theta)$$

with the (constant) vacuum mixing angle  $\theta_0$  and electron number density  $N_e(t)$  in matter. The eigenstates in matter are  $|\nu\rangle = (|\nu_A\rangle, |\nu_B\rangle)^T$  and at any given time they are connected to the flavor states via:  $|\tilde{\nu}\rangle = \tilde{U}(t)|\nu\rangle$  with

$$|\tilde{\nu}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} |\tilde{\nu}_e\rangle \\ |\tilde{\nu}_\mu\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix},$$

and the effective (time-dependent) mixing angle

$$\tan(2\theta) = \frac{\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \sin(2\theta_0)}{\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \cos(2\theta_0) - \sqrt{2}G_F N_e(t)}. \quad (1)$$

The effective Hamiltonian is not diagonal, since matter eigenstates and thus the mixing angle  $\theta$  are not constant. If, however,  $\dot{\theta}$  is small, i.e. the change in  $\theta$  occurs slowly, we obtain the adiabatic approximation, where we can neglect  $\dot{\theta}$ . Suppose that at the time  $t = t_i$  an electron neutrino is produced:  $|\tilde{\nu}_\alpha(t_i)\rangle \equiv \delta_{\alpha e} |\tilde{\nu}_\alpha\rangle$ .

- a) What is the expression for  $|\tilde{\nu}_\alpha(t_f)\rangle$  at some later time  $t_f$  in the adiabatic approximation?
- b) Show that in the adiabatic approximation the result for the transition probability is given by

$$P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\theta_i) \cos(2\theta_f) - \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta_i) \sin(2\theta_f) \cos\Phi_{AB}, \quad (2)$$

with  $\theta_i \equiv \theta(t = t_i)$ ,  $\theta_f \equiv \theta(t = t_f)$  and  $\Phi_{IJ} \equiv \int_{t_i}^{t_f} [E_I(t) - E_J(t)] dt$ , where  $I, J \in \{A, B\}$ .

- c) Show that in the case of constant electron density, Eq. (2) reduces to the standard formula of two-flavor oscillations

$$P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu)(t) = \sin^2(2\theta) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{L_M^{\text{osc}}}\right),$$

and determine  $L_M^{\text{osc}}$ . What happens in the limit  $N_e \rightarrow 0$ ?

- d) Use Eq. (1) to find an expression for  $\sin^2(2\theta)$ . The formula for  $\sin^2(2\theta)$  describes a Breit-Wigner distribution. We define the resonance width at half height,  $\Delta r$ , as the width at which the curve of the distribution is larger than one half [ $\sin^2(2\theta) > 1/2$ ]. Find an expression for  $\Delta r$  in terms of  $\theta_0$ .
- e) The *adiabatic parameter* is defined as  $\gamma_r \equiv |E_A|/|\dot{\theta}|$  which becomes large if the adiabatic approximation is good. To interpret this, first show that

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin^2(2\theta)}{\Delta m^2 \sin(2\theta_0)} 2\sqrt{2}EG_F \dot{N}_e.$$

Now assume that the change in matter density is approximately linear,  $\dot{N}_e \approx \text{const}$ , and that the density passes exactly through the resonance, i.e.  $\Delta N_e = N_e(t_f) - N_e(t_i) \propto \Delta r$  to formulate  $\gamma_r$  in terms of  $L_M^{\text{osc}}$  and  $\Delta t = t_f - t_i$ . Discuss the adiabatic condition  $\gamma_r > 3$ .

### Problem 2: Seesaw I [6 Points]

The Higgs mechanism generates Dirac masses for the active neutrinos when right-handed sterile neutrinos are introduced. The following term appears in the Lagrange density:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} = -\bar{\nu}_L M_D N_R + \text{h.c.},$$

where  $\nu_L = (\nu_L^1, \nu_L^2, \nu_L^3)^T$  is the column vector of the active neutrinos and  $N_R$  the corresponding vector for the sterile neutrinos. The matrix  $M_D$  is – in general – a complex  $3 \times 3$  matrix.

The right-handed sterile neutrinos can, furthermore, have a Majorana mass with the Lagrange density

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Majorana}} = -\frac{1}{2} \overline{(N_R)^c} M_R N_R + \text{h.c.},$$

where  $M_R$  is a symmetric  $3 \times 3$  matrix, and  $\psi^c = \mathcal{C}\bar{\psi}^T$  for a general Dirac spinor  $\psi$  and the charge conjugation matrix  $\mathcal{C} = i\gamma^2\gamma^0$ . Let  $m_D$  and  $m_R$  denote the mass scale of  $M_D$  and  $M_R$ , respectively. Suppose the entries of  $M_R$  are much larger than the ones of  $M_D$  ( $m_R \gg m_D$ ).

- a) Show that it is possible to rewrite the whole mass matrix in the flavor basis in the following way

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Majorana}} = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi}^c M \Psi + \text{h.c.},$$

with

$$\Psi \equiv \begin{pmatrix} (\nu_L)^c \\ N_R \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the solution prove and use the identity  $\bar{\nu}_L M_D N_R = \overline{(N_R)^c} M_D^T (\nu_L)^c$ .

- b) Using the (unitary) transformation  $\Psi = U\chi$  with  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ -\rho^\dagger & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  (change of basis) it is possible to convert the  $6 \times 6$  matrix  $M$  into a block diagonal form, i.e. that it takes on the following form

$$U^T M U \simeq \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

with symmetric  $3 \times 3$  matrices  $M_1, M_2$ . The matrix  $\rho$  in the transformation matrix  $U$  is assumed to be proportional to the scale  $m_R^{-1}$  and terms of order  $m_R^{-2}$  (and smaller) can be neglected in the calculation. Determine  $\rho$ , and  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  from Eq. (3). (You may assume that  $M_R$  is invertible.)

- c) Where does the name “seesaw” come from?