The flavour puzzle and accidental symmetries

Andrea Romanino SISSA

based on: Ferretti, King, R hep-ph/0609047

Outline

- * The flavour puzzle in the SM
- * Approaches to the flavour puzzle
- * A new approach
 - Basic idea
 - Vus and LR symmetry
 - s/b vs c/t and Pati-Salam
 - Neutrinos
 - A model

* 3 families, or U(3)⁵ symmetry of the fermion gauge lagrangian

		1	2	3	tamily number (horizontal) not understood					
	1	l ₁	I2	l ₃						
	ec	(e ^c)1	(e ^c)2	(e ^c) ₃						
	9	q 1	q 2	q 3						
	uc	(u ^c) ₁	(u ^c) ₂	(u ^c) ₃						
	dc	(d ^c) ₁	(d ^c) ₂	(d ^c) ₃						
ga vel	gauge irreps (vertical) vell understood									

well

3 families, or U(3)⁵ symmetry of the fermion gauge lagrangian
 Pattern of U(3)⁵ breaking from Yukawa sector (most SM pars)

 L^{flavor}_{SM} = λ^E_{ij}e^c_iL_jH[†] + λ^D_{ij}d^c_iQ_jH[†] + λ^U_{ij}u^c_iQ_jH + h.c.

- * 3 families, or U(3)⁵ symmetry of the fermion gauge lagrangian
- Pattern of U(3)⁵ breaking from Yukawa sector (most SM pars)
 - (horizontal) hierarchy of fermion[±] masses: 1 « 2 « 3
 - CKM mixing angles « 1



- * 3 families, or U(3)⁵ symmetry of the fermion gauge lagrangian
- Pattern of U(3)⁵ breaking from Yukawa sector (most SM pars)
 - (horizontal) hierarchy of fermion[±] masses: 1 « 2 « 3
 - CKM mixing angles « 1
 - U vs D vs E
 - different hierarchies: U » D, E
 - $m_b \approx m_\tau$, $3m_s \approx m_\mu$, $m_d \approx 3m_e$ @ M_G
 - mass hierarchy vs mixing hierarchy
 - $|V_{cb}| \sim m_s/m_b$, $|V_{us}| \approx (m_d/m_s)^{1/2}$
 - neutrino sector
 - 2 PMNS mixing angles $O(\pi/4)$, 1 small
 - $\theta_{23} = O(\pi/4) \& |\Delta m^2|_{12} \ll |\Delta m^2|_{23}, \theta_{12} \neq \pi/4$



The flavour puzzle in SM extensions

The peculiar SM flavour structure (m₁, m₂ « <H>, |V_{td}|, |V_{ts}| « 1) allows the SM to pass the FCNC test

$$\mathcal{L}(Q \ll \langle H \rangle) \supset \frac{\bar{s}d\bar{s}d}{\Lambda_f^2}, \quad \frac{1}{\Lambda_f^2} \sim \frac{1}{(10^3 \,\mathrm{TeV})^2} \\ \left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_f^2}\right)_{\mathrm{SM}} \sim \frac{g^4}{(4\pi)^2} \times (V_{su_i}^{\dagger}V_{u_id})(V_{su_j}^{\dagger}V_{u_jd})f\left(\frac{m_{u_i}^2}{M_W^2}, \frac{m_{u_j}^2}{M_W^2}\right) \times \frac{1}{M_W^2}$$

The unknown physics at the SM cutoff should not provide new generic flavour structure

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda_f^2}\right)_{\rm NP} \sim ? \times \frac{1}{\Lambda_{\rm NP}^2}$$

- e.g. in the MSSM $\delta_{12} \ll 1$, or $(\tilde{m}_d^2 \tilde{m}_s^2)/\tilde{m}_s^2$ and $|W_{31}|$, $|W_{32}| \ll 1$
- * Is the origin of the peculiar structure in SM and the MSSM/NP the same?

(Some) approaches to the flavour puzzle

* "Flavour symmetries" acting on family indexes (subgroup of U(3)⁵)

- symmetric limit: only O(1) Yukawas possibly allowed: λ_{t} ($\lambda_{b} \lambda_{\tau}$)
 - e.g. t^c, q₃, h neutral under a U(1): $Y_{33} t^{c} q_{3} h$ is allowed
- spontaneous breaking of U(1) by SM singlets ϕ at high scale
 - e.g. Q(q₂) = 1, Q(φ) = -1: $\frac{\phi}{M} t^c q_2 h$ is allowed $\Rightarrow Y_{32} = \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M}$
- breaking communicated to SM fermions by heavy messengers (M = mass)



gauge/global, continuous/discrete, abelian/non-abelian

- Extra-dimension mechanisms
 - flavour symmetry breaking with boundary conditions
 - localized fermions
 - e.g. in RS-type models:



$$\Lambda_{ij} \propto e^{(1-c_i-c_j)\pi kR}$$

A new (economical) approach

The knowledge (or existence) of special horizontal dynamics is not required to explain the fermion hierarchical pattern

The pattern follows from the relative lightness of one set of heavy fields and is associated to the breaking of the gauge group (Pati-Salam)

Chiral symmetries acting on family indexes "protecting" the mass of the lighter families emerge in this context as accidental symmetries

1 2 3 1 2 3 $(n^{c})_{1}$ $(n^{c})_{2}$ $(n^{c})_{3}$ nc $(e^{c})_{1}$ $(e^{c})_{2}$ $(e^{c})_{3}$ ec 9 **q**₁ **q**₂ **q**₃ $(u^{c})_{1}$ $(u^{c})_{2}$ $(u^{c})_{3}$ **U**^C $(d^{c})_{1}$ $(d^{c})_{2}$ $(d^{c})_{3}$ dc

Large ϑ_{23} (from m_{ν}) and normal hierarchy



Exchange of a single N^c

Focus on "23" block:

- Single N^c: $m_3 \gg m_2 = 0$ hierarchy ($m_2 \neq 0$ from subdominant contributions)
- $\lambda_2 \approx \lambda_3$: tan $\vartheta_{23} \approx 1$ large ϑ_{23} (barring charged lepton rotation) (for generic λ_i 's)

Charged fermions



No Yukawas at ren. level Exchange of a single family of messengers

- * Single messenger: $m_t \gg m_c = 0 \rightarrow hierarchy$ (whatever $\lambda_i \& \alpha_j$!); $m_2 \neq 0$ from subdominant contributions $h_d \phi$
- * $\lambda_i \& \alpha_j = O(1)$: large angles in CKM? No:

$$d_i^c \xrightarrow[\lambda_i']{M_Q} \\ \uparrow Q \quad \bar{Q} \quad \uparrow \\ \lambda_i' \qquad \alpha_j \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

same rotation of L-handed fields

 q_i

No need of constraints on couplings, family symmetry [see also: Barr hep-ph/0106241] $m_2 \neq 0$



- * Neglect H and 1st family for now (will turn out not to contribute) $Y_{ij}^{U} = \lambda_{i}^{Qu} \alpha_{j}^{Q} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M_{Q}} + \alpha_{i}^{U} \lambda_{j}^{Uq} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M_{U}}$ $Y_{ij}^{D} = \lambda_{i}^{Qd} \alpha_{j}^{Q} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M_{Q}} + \alpha_{i}^{D} \lambda_{j}^{Dq} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M_{D}}$
- * m₂ « m₃: one term must dominate
 * |V_{cb}| « 1: M_Q « M_U, M_D (left-handed dominance)

Early comments

* m₂ « m₃ in terms of M_Q « M_{U,D} (horizontal from vertical)

$$* Y^{U} \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{U} & \epsilon_{U} \\ 0 & \epsilon_{U} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^{D} \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \epsilon_{D} & \epsilon_{D} & \epsilon_{D} \\ \epsilon_{D} & \epsilon_{D} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
with a proper basis choice up to O(1) coefficients
$$\epsilon_{U} = M_{Q}/M_{U}$$

* $m_1 = 0$: first family "protected" by accidental flavour symmetry U(1)₁

• in the effective theory below MU,D, the second family mass is protected by an accidental symmetry $U(1)_2$

 $\epsilon_{\rm U} = M_{\rm Q}/M_{\rm U}$

 $\epsilon_{\rm D} = M_{\rm Q}/M_{\rm D}$

* $m_s/m_b \sim |V_{cb}|$ $Y \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 & \epsilon_2 \\ 0 & \epsilon_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ up to O(1) coefficients $\Rightarrow m_s/m_b \sim \epsilon_2 |V_{cb}|$

V_{us} and $SU(2)_R$



 $|V_{us}| \sim 1$ unless $(\lambda^{Uq})_i \approx (\lambda^{Dq})_i \rightarrow SU(2)_R$ (or mild fine-tuning)

- $G_{LR} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(3)_c \times U(1)_{B-L}$
- $q_i^c = \begin{pmatrix} u_i^c \\ d_i^c \end{pmatrix}$ $Q^c = \begin{pmatrix} U^c \\ D^c \end{pmatrix}$ $l_i^c = \begin{pmatrix} n_i^c \\ e_i^c \end{pmatrix}$ $L^c = \begin{pmatrix} N^c \\ E^c \end{pmatrix}$ $h = \begin{pmatrix} h_u \\ h_d \end{pmatrix}$
- $\lambda^{c_{i}} \mathbf{q}_{i} \mathbf{Q}^{c} \mathbf{h} \Rightarrow (\lambda^{Uq})_{i} = (\lambda^{Dq})_{i} = \lambda^{c_{i}}$

m_c/m_t vs m_s/m_b and SU(4)_c

$$Y^{U} \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{U} & \epsilon_{U} \\ 0 & \epsilon_{U} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad Y^{D} \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{D} & \epsilon_{D} \\ 0 & \epsilon_{D} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

* m_c/m_t ~ m_s/m_b unless M_U » M_D

- * Unbroken SU(2)_R: $M_c \ \bar{Q}^c Q^c \Rightarrow M_U = M_D = M_c \Rightarrow m_c/m_t = m_s/m_b$
- SU(3)_c → SU(4)_c: SU(4)_c adjoint couples SU(2)_R breaking to Q^c
 (SU(2)_R breaking by L^c, L^c scalars with vevs along N^c, N^c (standard))
- * Then m_c/m_t « m_s/m_b does not require a new ad hoc scale

Neutrinos

- Prediction: N^c (\lambda_{3}^{c}l_{3} + \lambda_{2}^{c}l_{2})h_{u} (with charged leptons (almost) diagonal)
 Takes care of \vartheta_{23} + normal hierarchy if N^c dominates the seesaw:
 \tan \theta_{23} \simeq \frac{\lambda_{2}^{c}}{\lambda_{2}^{c}} |\Delta m_{12}^{2}| \le |\Delta m_{23}^{2}|
- * n_i^c also contribute to the seesaw: $\lambda_3 n_3^c Lh_u$
- * Must give mass to 2 massless linear combinations: $\eta_{ki}s_kf_i^c\bar{F}_c'$, s_k = (111++)
- * Note: no new mass scale (n^ci and N^c at the same scale, but N^c dominates)

*
$$m_3 = \rho_{\nu} \frac{v_{\rm EW}^2}{2s_{23}^2 M_c}, \qquad m_{1,2} \approx 0$$

 $M_c \approx 0.6 \cdot 10^{15} \,{\rm GeV} \rho_{\nu}, \quad \rho_{\nu} = \mathcal{O}(1)$

The model

Below the cutoff Λ (will turn out to be > 10¹⁶⁻¹⁷ GeV):

*
$$G = G_{PS} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SU(4)_c + Z_2$$
 and SUSY (with R_P)

* Field content:

Beer St.	f_i	f_i^c	h	ϕ	F	Ē	F^c	\bar{F}^c	Η	F'	\bar{F}'	F_c'	\bar{F}_c'	Σ	X_c
$SU(2)_L$	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1
$SU(2)_R$	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	3
$SU(4)_c$	4	4	1	15	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	4	4	15	1
\mathbf{Z}_2	-	-		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+
R_P	_	<u> </u>	+	+	-	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	-	+

 $F = (L Q_1 Q_2 Q_3), F^c = (L^c Q_1^c Q_2^c Q_3^c), \Sigma = (A T \overline{T} G)$

- * Assumptions:
 - Heavy masses through <X_c>, <F'_c> (PS breaking)
 - Z₂ breaking (along B-L) at v « M_c (T_{3R}, N'_c)

 $\begin{cases}
M_R \sim V_c \equiv \langle \tilde{N}'_c \rangle \\
M_L \equiv v = \langle \varphi \rangle \\
\varepsilon \equiv v/M_c \ll 1
\end{cases}$

* The most general ren. superpotential

 $W_{\rm ren} = \lambda_i f_i^c F h + \lambda_i^c f_i F^c h + \lambda_{ij}^H f_i^c f_j H + \alpha_i \phi f_i \bar{F} + \alpha_i^c \phi f_i^c \bar{F}^c + M \bar{F} F + M_c \bar{F}^c F^c + \gamma M \Sigma^2 + \bar{\sigma}_c \bar{F}_c' \Sigma F^c + \sigma_c \bar{F}^c \Sigma F_c' + \eta' F_c' F' H + \bar{\eta}' \bar{F}_c' \bar{F}' H + \dots$

gives rise to the full pattern of II and III family charged fermion masses and mixing

* D, E sector:
$$Y^{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{2}^{c}\lambda_{2}^{c}\epsilon/3 & \alpha_{2}^{c}\lambda_{3}^{c}c\epsilon/3 \\ 0 & \alpha_{3}^{c}\lambda_{2}^{c}\epsilon/3 & -s\lambda_{3} \end{pmatrix} \qquad Y^{E} = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_{2}^{c}\lambda_{2}^{c}\epsilon & \alpha_{2}^{c}\lambda_{3}^{c}c\epsilon \\ 0 & \alpha_{3}^{c}\lambda_{2}^{c}\epsilon & s\lambda_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
• $\mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{b}} = \mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{tau}}$

- $3m_s = m_{mu}$
- $|V_{cb}| \sim m_s/m_b$
- $\epsilon = 0.06 \times O(1)$

 $\epsilon \equiv v/M_c \ll 1$ $tan\theta \equiv \alpha_3 v/M = O(1)$ $s = sin\theta$ * U sector: $Y^{U} = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (4/9)\alpha_{2}^{c}\lambda_{2}^{c}\rho_{u}\epsilon^{2} & (4/9)\alpha_{2}^{c}\lambda_{3}^{c}c\rho_{u}\epsilon^{2} \\ 0 & (4/9)\alpha_{3}^{c}\lambda_{2}^{c}\rho_{u}\epsilon^{2} & s\lambda_{3} \end{pmatrix}$ Notation: ρ denotes a combination of O(1) parameters

 \in^2 : Y_c from $\lambda^c_i U^c q_i h_u$ with $U^c \rightarrow (U^c)_{light}$ where the (U^c)_{light} component of U^c is suppressed by

- $v/M_c = \epsilon$ • $M/V_c \sim \epsilon$ $\bar{U}^c \left[M_c U^c + \frac{\sigma_c}{\sqrt{2}} V_c \bar{T}_{\Sigma} + \frac{v}{3} (\alpha_3^c u_3^c + \alpha_2^c u_2^c) \right] + T_{\Sigma} \left[M_{\Sigma} \bar{T}_{\Sigma} + \frac{\bar{\sigma}_c}{\sqrt{2}} V_c U^c \right]$
- 2 hierarchies in terms of 1 *

To summarize (charged fermions)

- * Assumptions:
 - Field content below Λ
 - Messenger masses along T_{3R} , \tilde{N}^{c}
 - Z_2 breaking at lower scale (ϕ) along B-L
- * Results:
 - Ms « Mb, Mmu « Mtau
 - $|V_{cb}| \sim m_s/m_b$
 - $(m_{tau}/m_b)_M \approx 1$, $(m_{mu}/m_s)_M \approx 3$
 - $m_c/m_t \ll m_s/m_b$
 - m_{u,d,e} further suppressed (no Hhφ)
 - ϑ_{23} + normal hierarchy in neutrino sector: easy
 - $M_c \approx 0.6 \cdot 10^{15} \,\mathrm{GeV} \rho_{\nu}, \quad \rho_{\nu} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ (N^c = FN)

 M_R : T_{3R}, \tilde{N}^c - M_L : Z₂ (along B-L)

The first family

- * Masses and mixings of the first family originate above the cutoff Λ (not specified) and can be parameterized by NR operators
- * There exists a choice of NR operators accounting for 1st family masses and mixings (some should be forbidden, e.g. f^c_if_jΦh, F'_cF'Φh → m_u too large)):
 - F'_cF'Φh induces h-H mixing in the down (but not up) sector, thus communicating the U(1)₁ breaking from f^c_if_jH to the light D, E sectors (but not to the U sector)
 - The up quark mass is consistent with an effect from M_{Pl}

•
$$M_c/\Lambda \sim m_2/m_3$$
 (determines Λ up to O(1))
 $\theta_{13} = \frac{m_2}{m_3} \frac{\tan \theta_{12}}{1 + \tan^2 \theta_{12}} \frac{a+b}{a-b} + \dots$
 $|V_{us}| \sim M_c/\Lambda \sim m_2/m_3$

A problem

 $Y^{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{h}\lambda_{11}^{H}\epsilon' & \rho_{h}\lambda_{12}^{H}\epsilon' & \rho_{h}\lambda_{13}^{H}c\epsilon' \\ \rho_{h}\lambda_{21}^{H}\epsilon' & \alpha_{2}^{c}\lambda_{2}^{c}\epsilon/3 & \alpha_{2}^{c}\lambda_{3}^{c}c\epsilon/3 \\ \rho_{h}\lambda_{31}^{H}\epsilon' & \alpha_{3}^{c}\lambda_{2}^{c}\epsilon/3 & -s\lambda_{3} \end{pmatrix} \qquad Y^{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{h}\lambda_{11}^{H}\epsilon' & \rho_{h}\lambda_{12}^{H}\epsilon' & \rho_{h}\lambda_{13}^{H}c\epsilon' \\ \rho_{h}\lambda_{21}^{H}\epsilon' & -\alpha_{2}^{c}\lambda_{2}^{c}\epsilon & -\alpha_{2}^{c}\lambda_{3}^{c}c\epsilon \\ \rho_{h}\lambda_{31}^{H}\epsilon' & -\alpha_{3}^{c}\lambda_{2}^{c}\epsilon & -s\lambda_{3} \end{pmatrix}$ $gives m_{e} \approx m_{d}, |V_{us}| \approx m_{d}/m_{s} \text{ unless}$ $\lambda_{11}^{H}/\lambda_{12,21}^{H} < \sqrt{m_{d}/m_{s}}/3 \sim 0.08$

* Then: $3m_e \approx m_d$, $|V_{us}| \approx (m_d/m_s)^{1/2}$ (at the price of a fine-tuning > O(10))

Grand Unification

- The Pati-Salam gauge group does not provide grand-unification:
 GPS = SU(2)L × SU(2)R × SU(4)c
- The fields at the Z₂ breaking scale do not form full SU(5) multiplets: MSSM-like gauge coupling unification is expected to be spoiled
 - One-loop prediction for α_3 : $\frac{1}{\alpha_3} = \frac{1}{\alpha_2} + \frac{b_3 b_2}{b_1 b_2} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_1} \frac{1}{\alpha_2}\right)$
 - MSSM: $(b_3, b_2, b_1) = (-3, 1, 33/5)$ $b_3-b_2 = -4, b_1-b_2 = 28/5, (b_3-b_2)/(b_1-b_2) = -7/5$
 - MSSM + SU(5) multiplets: (b₃,b₂,b₁) = (-3,1,33/5) + (n,n,n)
 b₃-b₂ = -4, b₁-b₂ = 28/5, (b₃-b₂)/(b₁-b₂) = -7/5
 - MSSM + Q+Q + L+L + Σ_8 + H₃: (b₃,b₂,b₁) = (-3,1,33/5) + (6,4,6/5) b₃-b₂ = -2, b₁-b₂ = 14/5, (b₃-b₂)/(b₁-b₂) = -7/5

"Magic field sets"

n	field content	b_1^{new}	$b_2^{\rm new}$	b_3^{new}	$-(b_1^{ m new} - b_2^{ m new})/(b_1 - b_2)$	SU(5)
2	$(D^c + \bar{D}^c) + (L + \bar{L})$	1	1	1		yes
2	$G + (Q + \bar{Q})$	1/5	3	5	and a start of the second	no
3	$(Q + \bar{Q}) + (U^c + \bar{U}^c) + (E^c + \bar{E}^c)$	3	3	3		yes
3	$W + G + (V + \bar{V})$	5	5	5	0	yes
3	$W + (U^c + \bar{U}^c) + (D^c + \bar{D}^c)$	2	2	2	0	no
3	$(L + \bar{L}) + (E^c + \bar{E}^c) + (V + \bar{V})$	34/5	4	2	-1/3	no
4	$W + 2(D^c + \bar{D}^c) + (E^c + \bar{E}^c)$	2	2	2	0	no
4	$(Q + \bar{Q}) + (D^c + \bar{D}^c) + 2(E^c + \bar{E}^c)$	3	3	3	0	no
4	$(Q + \bar{Q}) + 2(U^c + \bar{U}^c) + (L + \bar{L})$	4	4	4	0	no
4	$W + (U^c + \bar{U}^c) + (E^c + \bar{E}^c) + (V + \bar{V})$	39/5	5	3	-1/3	no
4	$(U^c + \bar{U}^c) + 2(L + \bar{L}) + (V + \bar{V})$	39/5	5	3	-1/3	no
4	$(Q + \bar{Q}) + 2(D^c + \bar{D}^c) + (V + \bar{V})$	6	6	6	0	no
4	$(Q + \bar{Q}) + (U^c + \bar{U}^c) + 2(V + \bar{V})$	59/5	9	7	-1/3	no
4	$(L+\bar{L})+3(V+\bar{V})$	78/5	10	6	-1/2	no

Pati-Salam \rightarrow SO(10)

- * L and R messengers unified
- * 4D Model:
 - SO(10) breaking along T_{3R} at M_R
 - There is no real intermediate PS
 - Cumbersome but possible
- 5D model:
 - SO(10) breaking to PS through boundary conditions
 - $M_R = 1/R$, messengers in the bulk, ϕ on SO(10) brane, h on PS brane
 - Either R-messengers or L-messengers have no zero mode (bonus)



RGE effect associated to R-messengers give large off-diagonal entries in the R-handed squark and slepton 23 sector (but not in the L-handed)

- τ → μγ
- ΔS=1 B-decays
- LHC

Summary

- Neutrinos might suggest that the peculiar hierarchical pattern of charged fermion masses and mixings (up to O(1) factors) does not require special horizontal structure
- * In the context of an economical PS model we obtained
 - the full pattern of II and III family masses and mixings with mild assumptions on the content of the effective theory below a cutoff
 - the full pattern of I family masses and mixings in terms of a selection of NR operators + FT > O(10)

* Other features:

- extended see-saw with dominance from extra singlet neutrinos
- see-saw fields = FN fields
- new supersymmetry breaking options?



To do list

- * FCNC: contribution of lighter states
- * PS \rightarrow SO(10) and unification
- * Right-handed dominance
- * R_P and a mimimal model
- * The origin of the scales in the model

The basic idea

* Fermions Scalars **Z**₂ $\psi_i = q_i, u_i^c, d_i^c, l_i, n_i^c, e_i^c, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad h = h_u, h_d \quad \Phi \quad - \quad \bullet \quad + \text{SUSY (with } R_P)$ $\Psi + \overline{\Psi}, \quad \Psi = Q, U^c, D^c, L, N^c, E^c \quad H = H_u, H_d \quad + \quad \bullet \quad \text{below } \Lambda$

Unbroken Z₂: chiral odd fields light, no Yukawas; even fields at O(M_G)

Z₂ breaking: by ϕ = heavy SM singlet (family blind)

Yukawas generated by "messenger" exchange



 $f_{i}^{c} \underbrace{M}_{\lambda_{i} F \overline{\lambda_{j}}} f_{j} \qquad W = M \overline{\Psi} \Psi + \alpha_{i} \overline{\Psi} \psi_{i} \phi + \lambda_{i} \Psi \psi_{i} h$ with $\alpha_{i}, \lambda_{i} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and uncorrelated $Y_{ij} \sim \lambda_{i} \alpha_{j} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M}$

***** Hierarchy: 1 messenger \leftrightarrow Yukawa for 1 family \equiv 3rd (choose a basis in which $\alpha_{1,2}$ and $\lambda_{1,2} = 0$)

* Mixings? Lighter families?

Left-handed dominance



 $W = M\bar{\Psi}\Psi + \alpha_i\bar{\Psi}\psi_i\phi + \lambda_i\Psi\psi_ih$ $\begin{pmatrix} M\bar{\Psi}\Psi \equiv M_Q\bar{Q}Q + M_U\bar{U}^cU^c + M_D\bar{D}^cD^c + \dots \\ \alpha_i\bar{\Psi}\psi_i\phi \equiv \alpha_i^Q\bar{Q}q_i\phi + \alpha_i^U\bar{U}^cu_i^c\phi + \alpha_i^D\bar{D}^cd_i^c\phi + \dots \\ \lambda_i\Psi\psi_ih \equiv \lambda_i^uQu_i^ch_u + \lambda_i^UU^cq_ih_u + \lambda_i^dQd_i^ch_d + \lambda_i^DD^cq_ih_d + \dots \end{pmatrix}$

Left-handed dominance



* Neglect H and 1st family for now (will turn out not to contribute)

Left-handed dominance

* Neglect H and 1st family for now (will turn out not to contribute) $Y_{ij}^{U} = \lambda_{i}^{Qu} \alpha_{j}^{Q} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M_{Q}} + \alpha_{i}^{U} \lambda_{j}^{Uq} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M_{U}}$ $Y_{ij}^{D} = \lambda_{i}^{Qd} \alpha_{j}^{Q} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M_{Q}} + \alpha_{i}^{D} \lambda_{j}^{Dq} \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{M_{D}}.$ $Y^{U} \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{U} & \epsilon_{U} \\ 0 & \epsilon_{U} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y^{D} \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \epsilon_{D} & \epsilon_{D} & \epsilon_{D} \\ \epsilon_{D} & \epsilon_{D} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ up to O(1) coefficients $m_{2} \ll m_{3}: M_{Q} \ll M_{U} \text{ or } M_{Q} \gg M_{U} \text{ and } M_{Q} \ll M_{D} \text{ or } M_{Q} \gg M_{D}$ $F_{U} \approx (M_{U} \otimes M_{U} \otimes M_{U$

Neutrino masses « charged fermion masses: well understood in terms of the breaking of lepton number = accidental symmetry of the SM

- Lepton number (violently) broken at high scale M » <H>
- After integration of heavy dof the lagrangian is (accidentally) symmetric under lepton number at the renormalizable level
- At E « M lepton number violation only reappears at the NR level, thus suppressed by E/M

* Is the origin of the flavour structure in the SM and the MSSM the same?

- in the SM: λ_1 , $\lambda_2 \ll 1$, $\lambda_3 = O(1) \rightarrow \text{approximate U(2) symmetry}$
- in the MSSM: approximate U(2) symmetry $\rightarrow (m_1^2 m_2^2)/m^2 \ll 1$

[Barbieri Dvali Hall hep-ph/9512388]

Summary

- Neutrinos might suggest that the peculiar hierarchical pattern of charged fermion masses and mixings (up to O(1) factors) does not require special horizontal structure
- * In the context of an economical PS model we obtained
 - the full pattern of II and III family masses and mixings with mild assumptions on the content of the effective theory below a cutoff
 - the full pattern of I family masses and mixings in terms of a selection of NR operators + FT > O(10)

* Other features:

- extended see-saw with dominance from extra singlet neutrinos
- see-saw fields = FN fields
- new supersymmetry breaking options?

Summary

- Dominance of a set of messengers ↔ lightness of first family (accidental U(1)₁ chiral symmetry below Λ)
- ★ Left-handed dominance ↔ lightness of second family (accidental U(1)₂ chiral symmetry below M_c)
 - bonus: |V_{cb}| ~ m_s/m_b
- * In the context of an economical PS model we obtained
 - the full pattern of II and III family masses and mixings with mild assumptions on the breaking pattern of PS
 - the full pattern of I family masses and mixings in terms of a selection of NR operators + FT > O(10)
 - an example of extended see-saw with dominance from extra singlet neutrinos
 - the determination of the scales of the model in terms of neutrino masses with no need of horizontal dynamics