#### VHE Gamma-Ray Astronomy via Particle Detection at the Ground Level

A biased review of VHE Gamm-Ray Survey Instruments

Or

Petra Huentemeyer petra@mtu.edu



#### The Players: EAS Arrays Particle Sampling Gamma Rays



#### **Altitude Gamma-Ray Detectors**







# EAS Sampling Arrays 3 Main Features

• Hight Duty Cycle

**Transients** 

• Wide Field of View

**Marge Scale Emission** 

 Good Sensitivity, Angular & Energy Resolution above 10s of TeV



#### Northern TeV (Gamma-Ray) Surveys

#### Tibet AS-γ

1997-2001 1.002 0.997 < 70E ດ Rel. intensity 1997-2001 1.001 11 candidate sources, median energy ~ 1 TeV 2001-2005 15 0.999 10 50 100 200 250 300 350 150 Significance (o Dec. (deg) (deg) 40 10 。目 ő 20 200 Ra (Deg) 300 310 320 330 320 330 310

R.A. (deg)

R.A. (deg)

ARGO

#### Another Survey: Milagro 2000-2008

8 candidate sources, median energy ~ 20 TeV



Astrophys.J.664:L91-L94,2007

#### Latest Survey: HAWC 11/2014-04/2018

>39 candidate sources, pivot energy ~ 7 TeV

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Galactic Plane Observations over the Years

Milagro (2000-2008)

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

Milagro was located near Los Alamos, New Mexico

• different sensitivity by declination along Galactic plane.

#### The Other Hillas Parameter: S(nn) or Rho (nnn)

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Experimental Astronomy, Volume 44, Issue 1, pp.1-9

#### HAWC: S40

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

# HAWC High Energy Catalog

7 candidate sources, energy > 56 TeV, energy spectra forth coming

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Acceleration mechanisms: hadronic or leptonic?
- Correlation with neutrinos?
- Prospects for testing Lorentz Invariance Violation.

# Addition Development: Energy Estimation via ANN

- Toolkit for Multivariat Analysis (TMVA)<sup>1</sup>
- Input variable chosen to quantify:
  - Core position
  - Zenith angle
  - Signal at core
  - Radial distribution, annuli (show age)
  - Energy deposited in detector
  - Fraction of ground energy landing in the detector
- 479 free parameters (weights) determined by training on Gamma-Ray Monte Carlo

![](_page_10_Figure_10.jpeg)

### **Energy Estimation via ANN**

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- NN Energy better correlated with MC truth than previously used variable (fraction of PMT hits)
- As for S40 provides a way to determine energies beyond 100 TeV with considerably better precision (~ 16 % at highest energies)
- Stay tuned for spectra (after systematics have been sorted out)

#### Multisource Fitting Example: Hunting for CR Acceleration in SFRs

The Astrophysical Journal, Volume 753, Issue 2, article id. 159, 8 pp. (2012)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

The Astrophysical Journal, Volume 790, Issue 2, article id. 152, 5 pp. (2014)

#### Multisource Fitting Example: Hunting for CR Acceleration in SFRs with HAWC

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Model Building I

- Fermi detection at GeV (Ackermann et al., Science 334, 2011)
  - Extended (50 pc) diffuse HE gamma-ray source
  - 'Cocoon' of freshly accelerated CRs
  - Accelerator:
    - γ Cygni SNR?
    - OB2 association (star-forming region)?
  - Modeled as symmetric Gaussian

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Model Building II

- Extended VHE gamma-ray source (E.Aliu et al. Apj 783, 2014)
- Associated with PWN of PSR J2032+413
- Long-period binary system:
  - Period of 50 years (Ng et al, 2017).
  - Periastron in November 2017.
- Modeled as asymmetric Gaussian, PL spectrum (R. Bird et at, ICRC 2017).

![](_page_15_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Model Building III

- Extended (0.1 deg) VHE gamma-ray source (E. Aliu et al., ApJ 770, 93, 2013)
- Additional extended disk component (Strysz et al., ICRC 2017).
- SNR G78.2+2.1 of PSR J2021+4026
- Offset between HAWC & VTS centroids.
- Modeled as PS (morphological studies ongoing), PL spectrum.

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

### **Combined Model**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Map on the left has PWN & Gamma-Cygni subtracted
- Blue Contours are Fermi-LAT
- Energy spectrum is forthcoming, challenge:
  - identification of the VHE energy emission, from PWN or from Cocoon

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Multisource Fit Approach was used in HAWC Analysis of micro quasar SS43

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Physics Model & Templates: Example Geminga

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Discovery Potential: Hiding in Plane Sight

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Discovery Potential: Hiding in Plane Sight

- Linden suggest that there are more nearby PWN to be found based on spin down power and distance
- HAWC has already seen several of these

HAWC detection of TeV source HAWC J0635+070

ATel #12013; Chad Brisbois (Michigan Technological University), Colas Riviere (University of Maryland), Henrike Fleischhack (Michigan Technological University), Andrew Smith (University of Maryland) on behalf of the HAWC collaboration on 6 Sep 2018; 14:47 UT Credential Certification: Colas Riviere (riviere@und.edu)

#### HAWC detection of TeV emission near PSR B0540+23

ATel #10941; Colas Riviere (University of Maryland), Henrike Fleischhack (Michigan Technological University), Andres Sandoval (Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico) on behalf of the HAWC collaboration on 9 Nov 2017; 23:11 UT Credential Certification: Colas Riviere (riviere@und.edu)

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

# Multi-Instrument Fits: Example Gamma-Cygni

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Outriggers & Further Analysis Improvements**

#### 4x sensitivity above 50 TeV:

- Better shower core fit
- Shower containment (better energy resolution)

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Current low-energy (small event) angle reconstruction is limited by noise.
- The "noise" in HAWC is almost entirely due to small non-triggering showers.
- New "Multi-Plane Fitter" identifies and isolates subshowers within each event instead of assuming all hits are from a single shower.

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Complementarity LHAASO & HAWC

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

HAWC and LHAASO are at about the same latitude (28°N) but opposite sides of the globe. Together they minimize the survey gap of the Northern hemisphere! Gravitational Waves

- Factor of 4 increase in sensitivity between ALMA (5000 m a.s.l.) and HAWC (4100 m a.s.l.) altitude
- Lower energy threshold
- Discovering rate transient events requires full sky coverage (e.g. GRBs & GW)
- TeV source finder for CTA South

#### The EAS Sampling Future: SGSO

![](_page_25_Figure_5.jpeg)

Alto Chorrillo Argentina 4800m

#### MURRENT SECTOR AND A CONTRACT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCR

#### ASTROPHYSICS

#### Astronomers Turn New Eyes On the Cosmic Ray Sky

To understand why physicists have traditionally shunned cosmic rays, think of these mysterious visitors as gate-crashers to a party. Not only do they appear uninvited and without pedigree, but they bring with them a menagerie of other unwanted creatures whose presence can only wreak havoc. But lately, physicists have started to wonder about these mysterious strangers. Just what kind of environment could spawn this uniquely energetic lot? Cosmic rays are now in vogue. as ever. The verdict on Cygnus X-3 and Hercules X-1 is in, and it's disappointing: They aren't the cosmic ray beacons they seemed to be. But that hasn't discouraged anyone, just convinced the cosmic ray crowd to settle in for a longer haul of data gathering.

> helium to lead. The galaxy's magnetic field warps these particles along curving paths so that, from Earth, they seem to emanate equally from all directions. Only neutral particles are likely to point back toward their origin, and of those, only gamma rays-high-energy photons-would survive the trip from source to Earth. g (Neutrons, the most common neutral particles, would 8 decay back into protons long § before they reached Earth.) But gamma rays, says Cronin, constitute only one or two out of every 100,000 cosmic rays, which makes for a dismaying signal-tobackground challenge.

Worse, at the highest energies even the "background" of charged cosmic rays dwindles to almost nothing. The flux of cosmic rays at "low" energies, explains Pierre Sokolsky of the University of Utah, is great enough that researchers can observe them directly by flying a detector in a high-altitude balloon or on a satellite. But at 10<sup>13</sup> or 10<sup>14</sup> eV,

And these, in turn, insouciantly trespass through the pristine grounds of carefully tended physics experiments, confounding detectors and ruining many a research party. But lately particle physicists have become entranced by the observation that some cosmic rays carry energies of 10<sup>20</sup> electron volts (eV)—10 million times higher than will be attained by the Superconducting Super Collider (SSC). That makes them the most ener-

getic particles in the universe, and it raises a simple question that is driving the new subfield of cosmic ray astronomy. As Nobel Prizewinning physicist James Cronin of the University of Chicago puts it: "How does nature do that?"

He and other researchers are convinced that when the answer comes, it will lead to new insights about some of the most ener-

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

Keen observers. Casa-Mia's array of particle detectors (top), photodetectors from the prototype Fly's Eye (right), and Milagro's swimming pool, which will hold layers of particle and muon detectors (above).

dustry lying at the interface of physics and

says Sokolsky, "you'd have to have a 10-

cosmis for a backg We ergies groun rays a plains Unive enoug observ ing a o titude