Hillas Symposium, Heidelberg, Dec 10-12, 2018



# Hillas Plot: trivial and non-trivial implications

Felix Aharonian



Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 1984, 22:425-444



 $B_{\mu G} L_{\rm Mpc} > 2E_{21}/Z(v/c)$ 

works both for "gradual modes of acceleration" and for "one shot acceleration"

"Clearly, very few sites remain as possibilities: either one wants highly condensed objects with huge B or enormously extended object. In either case, very high speeds are required"

v - characteristic velocity of scattering centers - v -> c - relativistic outflows (shocks) !

Z - large Z (nuclei) - preferred!

extended objects - Clusters of Galaxies - does't work ; Large Scale structures in the AGN Jets - marginally !

compact objects - BH magnetospheres or Small Scale AGN Jets - energy losses !

Top-Down scenario (TDs etc.) - robustly closed (overproduction of the universal gamma-ray background)

# Hillas Plot - "severe filter" but not "green light"

# acceleration time:



$$t_{\rm conf} = \frac{L^2}{3D} = \frac{L^2}{r_{\rm L}c} => L \ge \eta^{1/2} r_{\rm L}$$
  $L = r_L$  condition implies an extrem accelerator

# trivial condition - non-trivial solutions

replacement of 10<sup>20</sup> by 10<sup>19</sup> eV would be a significant but not sufficient relief to relax



# extended structures

- are Galaxy Clusters ruled out?
- large scale structures in AGN jets ? knots, lobes, entire multi-kpc jets

losses ? yes (in Galaxy Clusters) interactions with 2.7 K MBR (Bethe-Heitler pair-production)signature? unusual Synchrotron (low/high) and IC (VHE) radiation

## Ep=10<sup>20</sup> eV

# compact objects

should we be scared of "extreme accelerators"? well, we know at least one - Crab

small scale jets in AGN - attractive features - relativistic flows, GeV/TeV gamma-rays unusual features - super-Eddington power, fast variability...

losses ? yes ! synchrotron/curvature losses determine the maximum energy

signature? GeV and TeV radiation of the e- and p- synchrotron components

# Particle Acceleration in Galaxy Clusters

Several ingredients for effective acceleration to highest energies

✓ formation of strong accretion shocks
 ✓ magnetic field of order 0.1-1 µG
 ✓ shock velocity - few times 1000 km/s

acceleration time ~ Hubble time

protons cannot be accelerated beyond 10<sup>19</sup> eV (Kang et al., Vannoni et al) because of losses on pair production



**Fig. 1.** Acceleration and energy loss time scales as a function of the proton energy. The acceleration time scales are obtained for the values of the upstream magnetic field  $B_1$  reported in figure and a downstream magnetic field  $B_2 = 4B_1$ . The thick lines correspond to a shock velocity of 2000 km s<sup>-1</sup>, the thin lines to a velocity of 3000 km s<sup>-1</sup>. The horizon-tal dotted line shows the estimated age of the Universe, for comparison.

Acceleration of Cosmic Rays by Accretion Shocks in Clusters if Galaxies

DSA => interactions of p with 2.7 K => pair production - IC and Synchrotron emission



protons: up to several times 10<sup>18</sup> eV

**Fig. 3.** Spatially integrated spectra for the proton distributions in Fig. 2 for an accelerator age of 10 Gyr (solid lines) and 5 Gyr (dashed lines). The lines 1 and 3 represent the downstream contributions, 2 and 4 the upstream ones, and 1+2 and 3+4 the sum of each pair.

### MeV/GeV synchrotron and multi-TeV IC



Fig. 7. a) Broadband electromagnetic emission produced at the source location via synchrotron and IC cooling by the electron distributions in Fig. 6b). The solid curve refers to the downstream component and the dashed curve to the upstream one. b) Expected flux at Earth for a source located at a distance D = 100 Mpc. The effect of photon-photon absorption during propagation has been taken into account.

### acceleration sites of 10<sup>20</sup> eV CRs?

$$t_{acc} = \frac{r_L}{c} \eta$$

signatures of extreme accelerators?

- ✓ synchrotron self-regulated cutoff:
  - $h\nu_{\rm cut} = \frac{9}{4}\alpha^{-1}{\rm mc}^2\eta^{-1}$

 $\approx 150 \text{ MeV}$  for electrons  $\approx 300 \text{ GeV}$  for protons

a viable "hadronic" model applicable for TeV  $\gamma$ -ray blazars if  $B \sim 100$  G or so

neutrinos (through "converter" mechanism) production of "py" neutrons which travel to large distances and convert again to protons =>  $\Gamma^2$  energy gain! (Derishev et al. 2003)

(a possible solution to the problem of acceleration by relativistic shocks

\*) in nonrelativistic shocks  $\eta \approx 0.1 (v_{\rm shock}/c)^2$ 



Comoving size, lg(cm)

# Crab Nebula – a perfect electron PeVatron



standard MHD theory (Kennel&Coroniti)

cold ultrarelativistc pulsar wind terminates by reverse shock resulting in acceleration of multi-TeV electrons

synchrotron radiation => nonthermal optical/X nebula
Inverse Compton => high energy gamma-ray nebula

Crab Nebula – a powerful  $L_e = 1/5L_{rot} \sim 10^{38}$  erg/s and extreme accelerator: Ee >> 100 TeV

 $E_{max}=60 (B/1G)^{-1/2} \eta^{-1/2} \text{ TeV}$  and  $hv_{cut} \sim 150 \eta^{-1} \text{ MeV}$ 

Cutoff at  $hv_{cut} > 10 \Rightarrow \eta < 10$  - acceleration at 10 % of the maximum rate  $\gamma$ -rays:  $E_{\gamma} \sim 50 \text{ TeV}$  (HEGRA, HESS)  $\Rightarrow E_e > 200 \text{ TeV}$ B-field ~100 mG  $\Rightarrow \eta \sim 10$  - independent and more robust estimate  $1 \text{ mG} \Rightarrow \eta \sim 1$ ?

#### Flares of Crab (Nebula) : flares! 10<sup>36</sup> E<sup>2</sup>.F [erg cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] 10 v $F_v$ [ ergs cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> ] v L, [ ergs s<sup>-1</sup>] 10<sup>-10</sup> EGRET Fermi 10<sup>34</sup> 10<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> Energy [MeV] 10<sup>2</sup> 10-11 IC emission consistent with average 10 10<sup>3</sup> 10<sup>4</sup> 10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 10<sup>2</sup> 10 Energy [MeV] nebular B-field: $B \sim 100 \mu G$ -150 $\mu G$

seems to be in agreements with the standard PWN picture, but ... MeV/GeV flares!!

although the reported flares perhaps can be explained within the standard picture - no simple answers to several principal questions - extension to GeV energies, B>1mG, etc.

<u>observations of 100TeV gamma-rays</u> - IC photons produced by electrons responsible for synchrotron flares - a key towards understanding of the nature of MeV/GeV flares

# **Blazars as sources of EHE CRs?**

Blazars - sub-class of AGN dominated by nonthermal/variable broad band (from R to  $\gamma$ ) radiation produced in relativistic jets close to the line of sight, with massive Black Holes as central engines



# GeV/TeV gamma-ray observations

strong impact on

- Blazar physics and astrophysics
- Diffuse Extragalactic Background (EBL)
   Intergalactic Magnetic fields (IGMF)

# most exciting results of recent years

- ultra short time variability (on min scales)
- Jet power exceeds Eddington luminosity
- extremely hard (harder than E-1.5) energy spectra

# EHE CRs and GeV/TeV gamma-ray emission of Blazars?



### "standard" SSC or IC model for gamma-rays

if this is the case - nothing to do with EHE CRs - too small B-field (B << 1 G) synchrotron cutoff at IR (GeV blazars) and X-ray (TeV blazers) =>  $\eta \sim (h\nu/100 \text{ MeV})^{-1} \Gamma^{-1} <<< 1$ 

independent of the EHE CR related issue,  $\ B<<1$  G and  $\eta<<1$  is a big problem

hadronic models in synchrotron-loss dominated regime

$$E_{p,max} = 3/2 (e^3 B \eta)^{-1/2} m_p^2 c^4 \approx 1.8 \times 10^{19} B_{100}^{-1/2} \eta^{-1/2} eV$$

for  $L \leq 10^{-3}$  pc B should be as large as  $300G => E_{p,max} \approx 10^{19} \text{ eV}$ bulk motion Lorentz factor exceeding  $\Gamma=10$  is needed !

# Synchrotron radiation of an extreme proton accelerator



cooling time of  $p\gamma$  interactions >> synchrotron cooling time => negligible neutrons flux

# low-frequency synchrotron peak produced by secondary electrons



in TeV blazers  $\eta \sim 1$  while in GeV blazers  $\eta \sim 10^{-3}$ 

explains the puzzle of location of the "second" (synchrotron) peaks at X-ray and IR bands

$$h\nu_2 \propto \eta^{-1}$$
 while  $h\nu_2 \propto \eta^{-2}$ 

TeV blazers as extreme accelerators and sources of 10<sup>20</sup> protons?

## last remark:

source(s) responsible for observed EHE CRs should be located in our (<100 Mpc) neighbourhood (independent of arguments based on GZK cutoff !); otherwise we should require a (strange) "negative evolution" of the relevant source populations

do we have nearby objects - candidates for the detected "local fog" of EHE CRs?

M87 - as a 6x10<sup>9</sup> Mo SMBH, a misaligned blazer, a radiogalaxy and a galaxy cluster ?

Can A - inner jets and/or huge radio lobes ?