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- Probing the nature of neutrino with double-beta decay
- The GERDA experiment: design and detection principle
- The GERDA first physics results: $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay half-life
- Status and future plans for Phase II
The GERDA collaboration

112 physicists, 19 institutions, 7 countries
Investigate existence of $0\nu\beta\beta$

- $0\nu\beta\beta \rightarrow$ Majorana nature of neutrino
- Lepton number violation
- Shed lights on effective neutrino mass
- Shed lights on neutrino mass hierarchy
Search for $0\nu{\beta\beta}$ decay

The GERmanium Detector Array experiment is an ultra-low background experiment designed to search for $^{76}\text{Ge}$ $0\nu{\beta\beta}$ decay.

\[ Q_{\beta\beta} = 2039 \text{ keV} \]

\[ \left( \frac{T_{1/2}^{0\nu}}{1} \right)^{-1} = G^{0\nu} |M^{0\nu}|^2 \frac{m_{\beta\beta}^2}{m_e^2} \]

\[ m_{\beta\beta} \equiv \left| \sum_{i=1}^{3} U_{ei}^2 e^{i\beta} m_i \right| \]

\equiv \text{effective mass of electron neutrino} \\
\rightarrow \text{information on the absolute mass scale!}
GERDA detectors

Sensitivity

\[ T_{1/2} \propto \epsilon \cdot A \cdot \sqrt{\frac{M \cdot T}{b \cdot \Delta E}} \]

| \( \epsilon \) | detection efficiency | \( \gtrsim 85\% \) |
| \( A \) | isotopic abundance | high natural or enrichment |
| \( M \) | active target mass | increase mass |
| \( T \) | measuring time | |
| \( b \) | background rate | minimize & select radio-pure material |
| \( \Delta E \) | energy resolution | use high resolution spectroscopy |

**Very low background High-Purity Germanium Detectors (HPGe)**

**Advantages:**

- well established enrichment technique 
  \( A = 86\% \) for \( ^{76}\text{Ge} \)
- \( M \) and \( T \) expandable
- very good energy resolution 
  \( \Delta E \approx 0.1\% - 0.2\% \)
- very good detection efficiency \( \epsilon \approx 1 \) 
  (Ge as source and detector)
- high-purity detectors \( \rightarrow \) low background \( b \)

**Disadvantages:**

- Low \( Q_{\beta\beta} \) value 
  \( \rightarrow \) small phase-space factor \( G^{0\nu} \)
- Low \( Q_{\beta\beta} \) value 
  (lower than \( ^{208}\text{Tl} 2614 \text{ keV} \)) 
  \( \rightarrow \) background
- Need enrichment from 7% to 86% 
  \( \rightarrow \) it is expensive

Very low background High-Purity Germanium Detectors (HPGe)
GERDA @ LNGS

- Hall A of Gran Sasso Laboratory (INFN)
- 3800 m.w.e.

Background from:

**External:**
- γ’s from Th and U chain
- neutrons
- cosmic-ray muons

**Internal:**
- cosmogenic $^{60}$Co ($T_{1/2}=5.3 \text{ yr}$)
- cosmogenic $^{68}$Ge ($T_{1/2}=271 \text{ d}$)
- Radioactive surface contaminations
Bck reduction and events identification

- Gran Sasso → Suppression of $\mu$-flux $> 10^6$
- Material screening
- Passive shield (H$_2$O - LAr - Cu)
- Muon veto
- Detector anticoincidence (presently done)
- Pulse-shape analysis (possible)
- LAr scintillation (R&D) (for Phase II)

SSE: $\beta\beta$, DEP

MSE: Compton

Pulse-shape analysis

- e signal: single site energy deposition
- $\gamma$ signal: multiple site energy deposition
The GERDA collaboration, arXiv:1212.3210
GERDA physics goals

- **Phase I**: existing HdM and IGEX detectors + BEGe detectors
target sensitivity $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} = 2 \cdot 10^{25}$ yr @ 90% C.L. (requires $\sim 20$ kg yr exposure)

- **Phase II**: about 20 kg of new $^{76}$Ge detectors
  BI $\sim 10^{-3}$ cts/(keV kg yr) and 100 kg yr exposure

- **Phase III**: Gerda + Majorana - BI $\sim 10^{-4}$ cts/(keV kg yr) $\rightarrow \langle m_{ee} \rangle \sim 10$ meV
The GERDA detectors

- 3 + 1 strings
- 8 enriched Coaxial detectors: working mass 14.6 kg (2 of them are not working due to high leakage current)
- GTF112 natural Ge: 3.0 kg
- 5 enriched BEGe: 3.6 kg (testing Phase II concept in the real environment)
Energy calibration - $^{228}\text{Th}$ sources

Coaxials: Mass weighted average for FWHM at $Q_{\beta\beta} \approx 4.5$ keV
Energy calibration - \(^{228}\)Th sources

BEGe: Mass weighted average for FWHM at \(Q_{\beta\beta} \simeq 3.0\) keV
GERDA current status

Phase I started on November, 9th 2011

- Exposure by end of 2012:
  15.16 kg yr (enriched)
  4.69 kg yr (natural)

- Average duty cycle: 81%
Natural and Enriched detectors

$^{76}$Ge $2\nu\beta\beta$ spectrum clearly visible in enriched detectors

Since Jan. 2012 data at $Q_{\beta\beta} \pm 20$ keV are blinded

**Unblinding** in June/July 2013 → @ 20 kg yr exposure
Background from Argon

- **39Ar**

Published activity of \((1.01 \pm 0.08) \text{ Bq/kg}\) (Benetti et al., *NIM A547* (2007) 83) fully compatible with our data

Not relevant for BI at \(Q_{\beta\beta}\)

- **42Ar**

Lower limit of \(41 \mu\text{Bq/kg}\) (90% C.L.) (Ashitkov et al., arXiv:nucl-ex:0309001)

Count rate at 1525 keV about 2 times expectation

Convincing evidence that charged \(^{42}\text{K}\) ions drift in the \(E\) field of Ge-diodes

→ thin Cu foil (mini-shroud) as electrostatic and physical shield
Background index around $Q_{\beta\beta}$

Average BI in a $Q_{\beta\beta} \pm 100\text{keV}$ window (minus 40 keV blind region)

- $0.022^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$ counts/(keV kg yr) for enriched coaxial detectors
  - $(0.017^{+0.003}_{-0.003}$ counts/(keV kg yr) excluding 1.30 kg yr period of higher background due to detector substitution)
- $0.041^{+0.015}_{-0.012}$ counts/(keV kg yr) for enriched BEGe detectors
- $0.051^{+0.009}_{-0.008}$ counts/(keV kg yr) for natural detectors

BI about 10 times lower than previous experiments

- **HdM:** $BI \gtrsim 0.11$ counts/(keV kg yr)
- **IGEX:** $BI = 0.17$ counts/(keV kg yr)

Background contribution at $Q_{\beta\beta}$:

- $\gamma$’s from $^{214}\text{Bi}$ and $^{208}\text{Tl}$
- degraded $\alpha$’s from $^{210}\text{Po}$
- $\beta$ from $^{42}\text{K}$
Half-life of $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay of $^{76}$Ge

- Data: 8796 events
- Fit range: 600-1800 keV
- 5.04 kg · yr exposure
- Avg. active mass fraction: $(86.7 \pm 4.6$ (uncorr.) $\pm 3.2$ (corr.))%
- Avg. enrichment fraction: $(86.3 \pm 2)$%
Half-life of $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay of $^{76}$Ge

**Binned maximum likelihood**

Parameters:

- Active detector masses (6+1) *nuisance parameter*
- Fraction enrichment in $^{76}$Ge (6) *nuisance parameter*
- Background contributions (3x6) *nuisance parameter*
- $T_{1/2}^{2\nu}$ common to all the detectors (1)

Derive $T_{1/2}^{2\nu}$ after the fit integrating over nuisance parameters

$2\nu\beta\beta$ (80%) $^{42}$K (14%) $^{214}$Bi (4%) $^{40}$K (2%)

$$T_{1/2}^{2\nu} = (1.84^{+0.09}_{-0.08} + 0.11_{-0.06}^{syst}) \cdot 10^{21} \text{ yr}$$

The GERDA collaboration
Measurement of the half-life of the two-neutrino decay of $^{76}$Ge with Gerda

- Uncertainty comparable to best previous experiment (even with lower exposure).
- Such a careful systematic error analysis never done in the past.
- Good agreement with re-analysis of HdM data
  
  
Phase II: $^{enr}$Ge and liquid Argon instrumentation

- Production of 30 new $^{enr}$Ge BEGe detectors (∼20 kg)
- PMT LAr instrumentation for Phase II in LArGe (a smaller GERDA facility)
- Combining PSD of BEGe with LAr veto $\rightarrow$ suppression factor at $Q_{\beta\beta} \sim 5 \times 10^3$ for $^{228}$Th calibration source.
Conclusions

- Phase I data taking started on 11.2011
- Data acquisition ongoing. Exposure @ end of 2012 = 15.16 kg yr
- Background from environmental radioactivity much lower than in previous experiments (HdM & IGEX)
- Fit of $2\nu\beta\beta$ spectrum with a model of $2\nu\beta\beta$, $^{42}$Ar, $^{40}$K and $^{214}$Bi in the 600-1800 keV energy window
- Phase I completed in June/July 2013: data unblinding
- Phase II roadmap to get a background 10x lower than Phase I
Thank you and have a nice La Thuile Rencontre!
BACKUP SLIDES
The Heidelberg-Moscow claim

HPGe detectors enriched at 86% in $^{76}$Ge

Exposure: 71.7 kg yr
Background: 0.11 counts/(keV kg yr) (without pulse shape)

- $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} = 1.2(0.69 - 4.18) \times 10^{25}$ yr
  3σ range
  4.2σ C.L. evidence for $0\nu\beta\beta$

- $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} = 2.23(1.92 - 2.67) \times 10^{25}$ yr
  *Mod. Phys. Lett. A 21, 1547 (2006)*
  Critized in arXiv:1210.7432

- $m_{\beta\beta} = (0.24-0.58)$ eV / $(0.29-0.35)$ eV

IGEX: $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} = 1.57 \times 10^{25}$ yr (90% C.L.)
Radioactivity in argon

$^{39}\text{Ar} \beta^- \rightarrow ^{39}\text{K}$

Expected, clearly visible, and not a background for GERDA!

$^{42}\text{Ar} \beta^- \rightarrow ^{42}\text{K} \beta^- \rightarrow ^{42}\text{Ca}$

The 1524.7keV line arises from the $^{42}\text{K}$ decay (BR 17.6%). Rate 2x than expected! These photons are not a concern, but the $\beta$ emitted in the decay of $^{42}\text{K}$ is a possible background!

Treating the $^{42}\text{K}$ problem

- The initial decay $^{42}\text{Ar} \rightarrow ^{42}\text{K}$ produces the daughter in a charged state, which can drift close to the detectors under the action of electric fields.
- Background source only if $^{42}\text{K}$ comes very close to the detectors.
- A string of detectors can be surrounded by a Cu shield, the minishroud, ($\phi = 11.5cm$) to limit the drift of ions.
The mini-shroud

Treating the argon problem

- The initial decay $^{42}$Ar $\rightarrow ^{42}$K produces the daughter in a charged state, which can drift close to the detectors under the action of electric fields.
- Background source only if $^{42}$K comes very close to the detectors.
- A string of detectors can be surrounded by a Cu shield, the mini-shroud, ($\phi = 11.5 cm$) to limit the drift of ions.

Enriched detectors inside the mini-shrouds

![Image of detectors with copper shields]

**Graph:**
- **Before MS:**
  - Counts/keV x kg/year
  - Energy (keV)
  - Energy distribution before mini-shroud installation.
- **After MS:**
  - Suppression factor: $\sim 4.5$
• The initial decay $^{42}$Ar $\rightarrow$ $^{42}$K produces the daughter in a charged state, which can drift close to the detectors under the action of electric fields
• Background source only if $^{42}$K comes very close to the detectors. mini-shrouds limit the drift
• The problem can be strongly mitigated by canceling the electric fields in the surrounding of the detectors or by applying counter-fields to repel $^{42}$K ions
• Should not be an issue for the Phase I background goal, but potentially more relevant for Phase II
GERDA and LArGe

C. Macolino (LNGS)  Search for $0
\nu\beta\beta$ with GERDA  La Thuile 25.02.2013
### Background lines in GERDA Phase I

#### September 2012 C.A. Ur - EuNPC2012

Important reduction as compared to the HdM experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>isotope</th>
<th>energy [keV]</th>
<th>( \text{nat Ge-dets (3.2 kg\cdot y)} )</th>
<th>( \text{enr Ge-dets (6.1 kg\cdot y)} )</th>
<th>HdM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{tot/bck [cnt]} ) / ( \text{rate [cnt/(kg\cdot y)]} )</td>
<td>( \text{tot/bck [cnt]} ) / ( \text{rate [cnt/(kg\cdot y)]} )</td>
<td>( \text{rate [cnt/(kg\cdot y)]} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{40}\text{K})</td>
<td>1460.8</td>
<td>85 / 15 / 21.7(^{+3.9}_{-3.1})</td>
<td>125 / 42 / 13.5(^{+2.5}_{-2.2})</td>
<td>181 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{60}\text{Co})</td>
<td>1173.2</td>
<td>43 / 38 / &lt; 5.8</td>
<td>182 / 152 / 5.1(^{+3.1}_{-3.1})</td>
<td>55 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1332.3</td>
<td>31 / 33 / &lt; 3.8</td>
<td>93 / 101 / &lt; 3.1</td>
<td>51 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{137}\text{Cs})</td>
<td>661.6</td>
<td>46 / 62 / &lt; 3.2</td>
<td>335 / 348 / &lt; 5.9</td>
<td>282 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{228}\text{Ac})</td>
<td>910.8</td>
<td>54 / 38 / 5.0(^{+3.0}_{-3.0})</td>
<td>294 / 303 / &lt; 11.1</td>
<td>29.8 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>968.9</td>
<td>64 / 42 / 6.7(^{+3.8}_{-3.1})</td>
<td>247 / 230 / &lt; 15.2</td>
<td>17.6 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{208}\text{Tl})</td>
<td>583.1</td>
<td>56 / 51 / &lt; 6.5</td>
<td>333 / 327 / &lt; 7.6</td>
<td>36 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2614.5</td>
<td>9 / 2 / 2.1(^{+1.2}_{-1.0})</td>
<td>10 / 0 / 1.5(^{+0.7}_{-0.5})</td>
<td>16.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{214}\text{Pb})</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>740 / 630 / 34.6(^{+15.2}_{-12.4})</td>
<td>1770 / 1688 / 13.2(^{+11.5}_{-7.9})</td>
<td>138.7 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(^{214}\text{Bi})</td>
<td>609.3</td>
<td>99 / 51 / 14.8(^{+4.9}_{-3.5})</td>
<td>351 / 311 / 6.2(^{+4.7}_{-4.0})</td>
<td>105 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1120.3</td>
<td>71 / 44 / 8.4(^{+3.8}_{-3.4})</td>
<td>194 / 186 / &lt; 6.1</td>
<td>26.9 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1764.5</td>
<td>23 / 5 / 5.5(^{+2.0}_{-1.6})</td>
<td>24 / 1 / 3.6(^{+0.9}_{-0.9})</td>
<td>30.7 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2204.2</td>
<td>5 / 2 / 0.8(^{+0.9}_{-0.7})</td>
<td>6 / 3 / 0.4(^{+0.4}_{-0.4})</td>
<td>8.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Systematic uncertainties on $T_{1/2}^{2\nu}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of uncertainty</th>
<th>Uncertainty on $T_{1/2}^{2\nu}$ [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Not identified background components</td>
<td>$\pm$ 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Energy spectra from $^{42}\text{K}$, $^{40}\text{K}$, $^{214}\text{Bi}$</td>
<td>$\pm$ 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Shape of the $2\nu\beta\beta$ decay spectrum</td>
<td>$\pm$ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Precision of the Monte Carlo geometry model</td>
<td>$\pm$ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Accuracy of the Monte Carlo tracking</td>
<td>$\pm$ 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Data acquisition and selection</td>
<td>$\pm$ 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$+6.2$ $-3.3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. $^{60}\text{Co}, ^{228}\text{Ac}, ^{208}\text{Tl}$ ??
2. Source positions
3. Decay distribution model
4. Dimensions, materials
5. Validation of Geant4 processes