On the effect of time-dependent inhomogeneous magnetic fields in Sauter-Schwinger pair production

Reinhard Alkofer & Christian Kohlfürst

Institute of Physics — Theoretical Physics University Graz

Conference on "Extremely High Intensity Laser Physics" Heidelberg, July 21 - 24, 2015



1/16

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Outline





Dirac-Heisenberg-Wigner Formalism



Numerical Results for a Model Field



Summary and Outlook



< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

First calculation by Sauter

Þ

Über das Verhalten eines Elektrons im homogenen elektrischen Feld nach der relativistischen Theorie Diracs.

Von Fritz Sauter in München.

Mit 6 Abbildungen. (Eingegangen am 21. April 1931.)

Es werden die Lösungen der Diracgleichung mit dem Potential V = vx angegeben und ihr Verhalten diskutiert. Zu dem Funktionsverlauf, der auch bei nichtrelativistischer Rechnung auftritt, kommt in der Diracsehen Theorie noch ein Gebiet hinzu, in dem Elektronenimpuls und -geschwindigkeit entgegengesetztes Vorzeichen besitzen. Im Anschluß daran wird für ein Elektron die Wahrscheinlichkeit berechnst, aus dem Gebiet "positiven Impulses" in das mit "negativem Impuls" überzugehen. Es ergibt sich, daß die Durchgangswahrscheinlichkeit erst dann endliche Werte annimmt, wenn die Größe des Potentialanstieges auf einer Strecke gleich der Comptonwellenlänge vergleichbar wird mit der Ruheenergie des Elektrons. Die von O. Klein berechneten größen Werte für die Durchgangswahrscheinlichkeit durch einen Potentialsprung von der Größenordnung der doppelten Ruheenergie sind in dem Sinne als Grenzwerte im Falle unendlich steilen Potentialanstieges zu verstehen.



1

Vor einiger Zeit erschien eine interessante Arbeit von O. Klein* über R. Alkofer (Graz) Pair Production in Strong E.M. Fields Heidelberg, July 21, 2015

Schwinger's formula

1931: First calculation, correct interpretation [F.Sauter, Z.Phys. 69(1931)742]

1932: Discovery of the positron [C.D. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 43 (1933) 491]

1936: Theoretical description of pair production from fields

[W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Z. Phys. **98** (1936) 714 [arXiv:physics/0605038]] 1950/51: first quantum field theoretical calculation

[J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 664.]

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



Static & spatially uniform electric field \implies "Vacuum" decays



Pair Production in Strong E.M. Fields

Full one-loop calculation in background of classical electric field for (boson/fermion) pair production provides **vacuum persistence probability** / **volume** · **time** (Schwinger's formula):

$$P_0 = \frac{(e\mathcal{E})^2}{4\pi^3 c\hbar^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} e^{-n\pi m_e^2 c^3/\hbar e\mathcal{E}}$$

- Due to tunneling of *n* e⁺e⁻ pairs out of "vacuum".
- n = 1 term dominates ...



A (10) A (10)

Schwinger's formula

Estimate of scales:

electron Compton wavelength

rest energy of e+e- pair

 $rac{\lambda_e}{2\pi}=rac{\hbar}{m_ec}$ =386 fm $2m_ec^2$ =1.022 MeV

work of field on charge e over Compton wavelength = rest energy

$$e\mathcal{E}_c rac{\lambda_e}{2\pi} = m_e c^2 \qquad \Longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_c = rac{m_e^2 c^3}{e\hbar} pprox 1.3 \cdot 10^{18} rac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{m}}$$

 $\mathcal{E} \ll \mathcal{E}_{\text{c}}\text{:}$ pair production is a quantum tunneling process

$$\implies$$
 amplitude $\propto \exp\left(-\pi \mathcal{E}_{c}/\mathcal{E}
ight) \propto \exp\left(-rac{1}{e}
ight)$

is **NON-perturbative** for time-independent homogeneous \mathcal{E} .

NB: No essential singularity for finite temporal / spatial extent.

R. Alkofer (Graz)

Pair Production in Strong E.M. Fields



Theoretical tools

Aim:

Investigate pair production for e.m. pulses generated by laser beams.

Theoretical tools include:

- Semiclassical methods (WKB and generalizations thereof)
- Worldline formalism
- Quantum Kinetic Theory
- Dirac-Heisenberg-Wigner (DHW) formalism

Inhomogeneous Fields: DHW



Pair Production in Strong E.M. Fields

A B F A B F

A D b 4 A b

- Phase-space $\{\vec{x}, \vec{p}, t\}$ formulation of <u>QM</u>: Wigner function resp., Wigner quasiprobability distribution
- <u>QFT</u>: wave fcts. in Wigner fcts. → exp. values of field operators Kadanoff & Baym, *Quantum Statistical Mechanics* (1962)
- expectation values of products of Dirac field operators, *e.g.* $\langle \Omega | [\psi_{\alpha}(\vec{x},t),\psi^{\dagger}_{\beta}(\vec{x}',t)] | \Omega \rangle$

Dirac (1934); Heisenberg (1934)

- DHW formalism & 1st application to pair production in nineties I. Bialynicki-Birula, P. Gornicki and J. Rafelski, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 1825
- Infinite hierarchy of n-point DHW functions: truncations necessary!
- Hartree approximation: Mean electromagnetic field well justified!



Start: Covariant & gauge-invariant DHW operator

$$\widehat{W}(x,p) = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4 y \, e^{i p \cdot y} \, U[A_\mu](x,y) \left[\bar{\psi}(x+y/2), \psi(x-y/2) \right]$$

Next: Choose suitable frame and project on equal time:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}}(\vec{x},\vec{p},t) = \int \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \, \widehat{W}(x,p) = \frac{1}{4} (s + i\gamma_5 p + \gamma_\mu v^\mu + \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 a^\mu + \sigma_{\mu\nu} t^{\mu\nu})$$

Then: Derive e.o.m. in Hartree approximation and take expectation value with background field in Dirac vacuum

$$\mathcal{W}(\vec{x},\vec{p},t) = \langle \Omega | \widehat{\mathcal{W}}(\vec{x},\vec{p},t) | \Omega \rangle$$



- E.o.m.: Integro-differential equations
- *E.g.* for vanishing magnetic field $\vec{B} = 0$

$$D_{t}\mathcal{W}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla\left[\gamma^{0}\vec{\gamma},\mathcal{W}\right]_{\alpha\beta} - i\left[m\gamma^{0},\mathcal{W}\right]_{\alpha\beta} - i\left\{\gamma^{0}\vec{\gamma}\vec{p},\mathcal{W}\right\}_{\alpha\beta}$$

with pseudo-differential operator

$$D_t = \partial_t + e \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\lambda \, \vec{E}(\vec{x} + i\lambda\partial_p, t) \, \partial_p$$

F. Hebenstreit, PhD thesis, April 2011

- F. Hebenstreit, R. A., H. Gies, Phys.Rev.Lett. 107 (2011) 180403
- Observables (particle density, charge density, ...): Integrals over combinations of Wigner components s, v^{0,i},...



- A TE N - A TE N

C. Kohlfürst, PhD thesis, July 2015

- full equations including electric and magnetic fields
- 3+1, 2+1 and 1+1 dimensions
- selected symmetries as *e.g.* cylindrically symmetric fields
- Quantum Kinetic Theory in homogeneous limit
- most efficient numerical solution by pseudo-spectral methods (check for convergence at late time)
- calculations of observables from Wigner components straightforward



Model for electromagnetic field

Superposition of left- and right-running pulses in 2+1 dim.:

$$ec{\mathcal{A}}(z,t) = arepsilon \, au \left(anh\left(rac{t}{ au} + 1
ight) - anh\left(rac{t}{ au} - 1
ight)
ight) \exp(-rac{z^2}{2\lambda^2}) \, ec{e}_x.$$

- ε maximal electric field strength
- τ temporal extent (difference of Sauter pulses)
- λ spatial extent (Gaußian)
- homogeneous Maxwell eqs. fulfilled by construction
- electric field: double-peak structure, antisymmetric in time
- magnetic field: maximal strength $\varepsilon \tau / \lambda^2$
- Field energy in
 - electric field for $\tau/\lambda \ll 1$
 - magnetic field for $\tau/\lambda \gg 1$

for more details and other model fields see:

Chapter 7 of C. Kohlfürst, PhD thesis, July 2015; C. Kohlfürst & BA, in preparation

Numerical Results for Model Field

- pseudoscalar Lorentz invariant $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\propto \vec{E}\vec{B}=0$
- scalar Lorentz invariant $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}\propto \vec{E}^2-\vec{B}^2~(\stackrel{>}{<})~0$
- pair production only for regions in which $E^2(t,z) B^2(t,z) > 0$





Numerical Results for Model Field

Reduced particle density as function of p_x and p_z ($\varepsilon = 0.707 \ E_c, \ \tau = 5/m$):



 $\lambda \gg \tau$, 1/*m*: homogeneous limit

 $\lambda \approx \tau$: deflection due to magnetic field

Suppression of pair production?



Numerical Results for Model Field

Comparison to calculation with magnetic field neglected

Reduced total particle number, resp., total particle number (ε = 0.707 *E_c*, τ = 10/*m*):



Significantly overestimated particle number for small λ !

NB: Regions with negative "particle distribution"!



15/16

3 > < 3 >

 Included magnetic fields in DHW calculation for pair production (Homogeneous Maxwell equation fulfilled by construction!)

For model field:

- Weak magnetic fields negligible.
- Strong magnetic field, resp., small spatial extent: (expected?) suppression of pair production

Evidence that neglecting magnetic field introduces large errors!

Despite substantial progress, calculation with "realistic" field parameters: still a big numerical challenge ...



16/16

- Included magnetic fields in DHW calculation for pair production (Homogeneous Maxwell equation fulfilled by construction!)
- For model field:
 - Weak magnetic fields negligible.
 - Strong magnetic field, resp., small spatial extent: (expected?) suppression of pair production
- Evidence that neglecting magnetic field introduces large errors!

Despite substantial progress, calculation with "realistic" field parameters: still a big numerical challenge ...



16/16

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Included magnetic fields in DHW calculation for pair production (Homogeneous Maxwell equation fulfilled by construction!)
- For model field:
 - Weak magnetic fields negligible.
 - Strong magnetic field, resp., small spatial extent: (expected?) suppression of pair production
- Evidence that neglecting magnetic field introduces large errors!

Despite substantial progress, calculation with "realistic" field parameters: still a big numerical challenge ...



16/16

- Included magnetic fields in DHW calculation for pair production (Homogeneous Maxwell equation fulfilled by construction!)
- For model field:
 - Weak magnetic fields negligible.
 - Strong magnetic field, resp., small spatial extent: (expected?) suppression of pair production
- Evidence that neglecting magnetic field introduces large errors!

Despite substantial progress, calculation with "realistic" field parameters: still a big numerical challenge ...



16/16