



Multi-boson production and searches for anomalous gauge couplings at the LHC (run1 and run2 prospective)

Zhaoru Zhang Peking University, Beijing, China

On behalf of the CMS and ATLAS Collaboration

9th Jun. 2015



25th International Workshop on Weak Interactions and Neutrinos (WIN2015) June 8–13, 2015, MPIK Heidelberg, Germany

Introduction

Most of Multi-boson processes have been measured in CMS and ATLAS.

- Multi-boson measurements important test of EWK sector of SM.
- High-tail enhancements: new physics searches Sensitive to anomalous Triple (Quartic) Gauge Couplings
- Vector Boson Scattering: probing unitarization of cross section by Higgs boson
- Important backgrounds to Higgs and new physics



Summary of LHC Run I Results

	CMS		AT	LAS
	7 TeV	8 TeV	7 TeV	8 TeV
Wγ(Ινγ)	xs, ac		xs, dx, ac	
Zγ(IIγ)	xs, ac	xs, dx, ac	xs, dx, ac	
$Z\gamma(\nu\nu\gamma)$	xs, ac		xs, dx, ac	
$WW(oldsymbol{ u} oldsymbol{ u})$	xs, dx, ac	xs, dx, ac	xs, dx, ac	XS
WW(lvjj)		xs, dx		xs, ac
ZZ(4I)	xs, ac	xs, dx, ac	xs, ac	XS
$ZZ(2 2\nu)$	xs, ac	xs, ac	xs, dx,ac	
$WZ(3 \nu)$	XS	XS	xs, dx, ac	XS
WV(I ∠ jj)	xs, ac		xs, ac	
VZ(Vbb)	XS			
WVγ		xs limit, ac		
Wyy				xs, ac

XS: cross section AC: limits on aT(Q)GC dX: differential cross section Red: the analysis that will introduced by this talk

Overview



Overview

Multiboson Cross S	Section Measurements	Status: March 2015	∫£ dt [fb ^{−1}]	Reference
$\sigma^{\rm fid}(\gamma\gamma)[\Delta R_{\gamma\gamma} > 0.4]$	$\sigma = 44.0 + 3.2 - 4.2 \text{ pb (data)}$ 2yNNLO (theory)		4.9	JHEP 01, 086 (2013)
$\sigma^{\rm fid}(W\gamma \to \ell \nu \gamma)$	$\sigma = 2.77 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.36 \text{ pb}$ (data) NNLO (theory)	Bun 1 $\sqrt{s} = 7.8$ TeV	4.6	PRD 87, 112003 (2013) arXiv:1407.1618 [hep-ph]
$-[n_{jet}=0]$	$\sigma = 1.76 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.22 \text{ pb (data)}$ NNLO (theory)		4.6	PRD 87, 112003 (2013)
$\sigma^{\rm fid}(Z\gamma\to\ell\ell\gamma)$	$\sigma = 1.31 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.12 \text{ pb (data)}$ NNLO (theory)		4.6	PRD 87, 112003 (2013) arXiv:1407.1618 [hep-ph]
$-[n_{jet}=0]$	$\sigma = 1.05 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.11 \text{ pb (data)}$ NNLO (theory)		4.6	PRD 87, 112003 (2013)
$\sigma^{\rm fid}(W\gamma\gamma \to \ell v\gamma\gamma)$	$\sigma = 6.1 + 1.1 - 1.0 \pm 1.2 \text{ (b) (data)}$ MCFM NLO (theory)	▲	20.3	arXiv:1503.03243 [hep-ex]
$-[n_{\rm jet}=0]$	$\sigma = 2.9 + 0.8 - 0.7 + 1.0 - 0.9 \text{ fb} \text{ (data)} \\ \text{MCFM NLO (theory)}$	Δ	20.3	arXiv:1503.03243 [hep-ex]
$\sigma^{\rm fid}(\mathbf{pp} \rightarrow \mathbf{WV} \rightarrow \ell \nu \mathbf{qq})$	$\sigma = 1.37 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.37 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)} \\ \text{MC@NLO (theory)} $		4.6	JHEP 01, 049 (2015)
$\sigma^{ m fid}({\sf W}^{\pm}{\sf W}^{\pm}{\sf jj})$ EWK	$\sigma = 1.3 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ fb} \text{ (data)} \\ \text{PowhegBox (theory)} $	<u> </u>	20.3	PRL 113, 141803 (2014)
$\sigma^{\text{total}}(\mathbf{pp}{ ightarrow}\mathbf{WW})$	$\sigma = 51.9 \pm 2.0 \pm 4.4 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ $MCFM \text{ (theory)}$ $\sigma = 71.4 \pm 1.2 \pm 5.5 - 4.9 \text{ pb} \text{ (data)}$ $MCFM \text{ (theory)}$		4.6 20.3	PRD 87, 112001 (2013) ATLAS-CONF-2014-033
$-\sigma^{\text{fid}}(WW \rightarrow ee) [n_{\text{jet}}=0]$	$\sigma = 56.4 \pm 6.8 \pm 10.0 \text{ fb} \text{ (data)}$ MCFM (theory)		4.6	PRD 87, 112001 (2013)
$-\sigma^{fid}(WW \rightarrow \mu\mu) [n_{jet}=0]$	$\sigma = 73.9 \pm 5.9 \pm 7.5 \text{ fb (data)} \\ \text{MCFM (theory)} $		4.6	PRD 87, 112001 (2013)
$-\sigma^{fid}(WW \rightarrow e\mu) [n_{jet}=0]$	$\sigma = 262.3 \pm 12.3 \pm 23.1 \text{ fb (data)}$		4.6	PRD 87, 112001 (2013)
−σ ^{fid} (WW→eμ) [n _{jet} ≥0]	$\sigma = 563.0 \pm 28.0 + 79.0 - 85.0$ fb (data) MCFM (theory)	Observed	4.6	arXiv:1407.0573 [hep-ex]
$\sigma^{\text{total}}(pp \rightarrow WZ)$	$\sigma = 19.0 + 1.4 - 1.3 \pm 1.0 \text{ pb (data)}$ MCFM (theory) $\sigma = 20.3 + 0.8 - 0.7 + 1.4 - 1.3 \text{ pb (data)}$	stat stat+syst	4.6 13.0	EPJC 72, 2173 (2012) ATLAS-CONF-2013-021
$-\sigma^{\mathrm{fid}}(WZ \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \ell)$	$\sigma = 99.2 + 3.8 - 3.0 + 6.0 - 6.2$ fb (data) MCFM (theory)		13.0	ATLAS-CONF-2013-021
$\sigma^{\text{total}}(pp \rightarrow ZZ) - \sigma^{\text{total}}(pp \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell)$	$\sigma = 6.7 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.5 - 0.4 \text{ pb} (data)$ $MCFM (theory)$ $\sigma = 7.1 \pm 0.5 - 0.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ pb} (data)$ $MCFM (theory)$ $\sigma = 76.0 \pm 18.0 \pm 4.0 \text{ tb} (data)$ $Prowheg (theory)$ $\sigma = 107.0 \pm 9.0 \pm 5.0 \text{ tb} (data)$	Theory Observed	4.6 20.3 4.5 20.3	JHEP 03, 128 (2013) ATLAS-CONF-2013-020 arXiv:1403.5657 [hep-ex] arXiv:1403.5657 [hep-ex]
$-\sigma^{fid}(ZZ \to 4\ell)$	$\sigma = 25.4 + 3.3 - 3.0 + 1.16 - 1.4 \text{ b} (data)$ $PowheegBox & gog222 (theory)$ $\sigma = 20.7 + 1.3 - 1.2 + 1.0 \text{ b} (data)$	stat stat+syst	4.6 20.3	JHEP 03, 128 (2013) ATLAS-CONF-2013-020
$-\sigma^{fid}(ZZ^* \to 4\ell)$	$\sigma = 29.8 + 3.8 - 3.5 + 2.1 - 1.9 \text{ fb (data)}$ PowhegBox & gg2ZZ (theory)		4.6	JHEP 03, 128 (2013)
$-\sigma^{\rm fid}(ZZ^* \to \ell\ell\nu\nu)$	$\sigma = 12.7 + 3.1 - 2.9 \pm 1.8 \text{ fb (data)}$ PowhegBox & gg2ZZ (the		4.6	JHEP 03, 128 (2013)
	0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2	1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 2.6		
		observed/theory		

 $W_{\gamma}(|\nu\gamma\rangle)/Z_{\gamma}(|+|-\gamma\rangle)/Z_{\gamma}(\nu\nu\gamma)$ Phys. Rev. D 87, 112003 (2013) ATLAS Event selection: Wy • Event selection: • Event selection: Zγ Zγ 1 lepton p_{τ}^{1} > 25 GeV $|+|-, p_{\tau}| > 25 \text{ GeV}$ E_{T}^{miss} > 90 GeV. 1 photon E_{τ}^{γ} > 15 GeV 1 photon $E_T^{\gamma} > 100 \text{ GeV}$ MII > 40 GeV E_{T}^{miss} > 35 GeV. One photon $E_{\tau}^{\gamma} > 15 \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta \phi(\text{Emiss}, \gamma) > 2.6 \Delta \phi(\text{Emiss}, \gamma)$ $m_{T} > 40 \text{ GeV}.$ Background: jet)> 0.4 (if jet are found) $|m_{ev}-m_Z| > 15 \text{ GeV}$ Jets from Z+jets misidentified Lepton veto • Background: as photon Background: Jets from W+jets misidentified $\tau \nu \gamma$ and $|\nu \gamma$ events from Wy as photon $W(l\nu) e \rightarrow photon$ heavy quark from γ +jets Z+jets jets -> photon decays as lepton v+jets Ejets -> Etmiss Systematic: 4.6fb⁻¹ <u>do(pp→ hγ)</u>[fb GeV⁻¹ 10 E_Tγ photon identification and isolation efficiency. 10 L dt = 4.6 f $\sigma^{\rm ext-fid}[\rm pb]$ $\sigma^{\rm ext-fid}$ [pb] Measurement MCFM Prediction $N_{\rm jet} \ge 0$ 10 lug $2.77 \pm 0.03 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.33 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.14 \text{ (lumi)}$ 1.96 ± 0.17 10 $\ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ $1.31 \pm 0.02 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.11 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.05 \text{ (lumi)}$ 1.18 ± 0.05 Data Theory $0.133 \pm 0.013 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.020 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.005 \text{ (lumi)}$ 0.156 ± 0.012 $\nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$ $N_{\text{iet}} = 0$ 1.39 ± 0.13 lun $1.76 \pm 0.03 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.21 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.08 \text{ (lumi)}$ Data Theory $\ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ $1.05 \pm 0.02 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.10 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.04 \text{ (lumi)}$ 1.06 ± 0.05

MCFM (NLO)

20

30

40

60

 0.115 ± 0.009

 $0.116 \pm 0.010 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.013 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.004 \text{ (lumi)}$

 $\nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$

E^r_T [GeV]

1000

100

CMS

TeV Wy($\nu\gamma$)/Zy($\mu\gamma$) Phys. Rev. D 89, 092005 (2014)

• Event Selection:



• Background:

Jets from W/Z+jets, misidentified as photons. Estimated using data-driven method (template/ ratio)

• Dominate Systematic:

Wγ: Jets misidentified as photons Zγ: lepton ID/ISO



JHEP 10 (2013) 164

CMS

Ζγ (ννγ)

• Event selection:

```
p_T^{\gamma} > 145 \text{ GeV}, |\eta^{\gamma}| < 1.4
E_T^{\text{miss}} > 130 \text{ GeV},
Veto: p_T^{\text{jets}} < 40 \text{ GeV},
```

 p_T^{tracks} <20 GeV, $\Delta R(track, \gamma) > 0.04$

Background:

Large instrumental and non-collision backgrounds – estimated with data-driven methods

Source	Number of selected events
Misidentified jets	11.2 ± 2.8
Beam-halo muon processes	11.1 ± 5.6
Misidentified electrons	3.5 ± 1.5
$W\gamma$	3.3 ± 1.0
$\gamma\gamma$	0.6 ± 0.3
γ +jet	0.5 ± 0.2
Total background	30.2 ± 6.5
$Z\gamma \rightarrow \nu \overline{\nu} \gamma$ (NLO)	45.3 ± 6.9
Data	73



Measured cross section ($E_T^{miss} > 130 \text{ GeV}, p_T^{\gamma} > 145 \text{ GeV}, |\eta^{\gamma}| < 1.4$): 21.3 ± 4.2 (stat.) ± 4.3 (syst.) ± 0.5 (lumi.) fb

SM: $\sigma_{Z\gamma}$ (NLO) 21.9 ± 1.1 fb

8 .

Zγ(IIγ)

JHEP 04 (2015) 164

Event selection:

CMS

$$\begin{split} p_{T}^{-I} &> 20 \text{ GeV}, \ |\eta^{I}| < 2.5(2.4), \ I = e(\mu) \\ E_{T}^{\gamma} &> 15 \text{ GeV}, \ |\eta^{\gamma}| < 2.5 \\ \Delta R(I,\gamma) > 0.7, \ m_{II} > 50 \text{ GeV} \end{split}$$

Background:

Dominated by DY + non-prompt photons Two template observables (shower shape, isolation) used to measure the yield independently, then combined.

• Systematic:

dominated by template statistics and FSR

contamination

Cross section phase space $M_{\ell\ell} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta R(\ell, \gamma) > 0.7$ photon: $|\eta| < 2.5$, $I_{\text{gen}} < 5 \text{ GeV}$ leptons: $|\eta| < 2.5$, $p_{\text{T}} > 20 \text{ GeV}$

inclusive cross section:



9

CMS

Phys. Rev. D 90, 032008 (2014)



ATLAS

$W\gamma\gamma(l\nu\gamma\gamma)$

arXiv:1503.03243

• Events selections:

```
\begin{array}{l} p_{T}{}^{I} > 20 GeV \; (I = e, \, \mu, \, \gamma) \\ p_{T}{}^{j} > 30 GeV \; \& \; |\eta_{j}| < 4.4 \; (Excusive: \, Jet \, veto) \\ \Delta R_{j\gamma} > 0.3, \; \Delta R_{jl} > 0.3 \\ E_{t}{}^{miss} > 25 \; GeV \\ \Delta R_{l\gamma} > 0.7, \; \Delta R_{\gamma\gamma} > 0.4 \\ M_{T}{}^{W} > 40 \; GeV \\ |M_{ve}{}^{-}M_{Z}| > 13 GeV \end{array}
```

Background:

The fake-photon background from $W\gamma j + Wj j$ Jet fake electron, muon from heavy-flavor decays by $\gamma\gamma$ + jets (data-driven)

- Ge) Events / 50 Ge/ Data Data 70E 35 ATLAS ATLAS Wγγ − Wγγ 20 30F Events / Wyj + Wjj Wyi + Wi γγ + jets γγ + jets 25 Other backgrounds 50 Other backgrounds = 8 TeV, 20.3 fb⁻¹ √s = 8 TeV, 20.3 fb⁻¹ 20 electron channel $(N_{\perp} \ge 0)$ muon channel $(N_{-} \ge 0)$ 30m_{vv} 200 300 400 100 200 300 400 100 500 500 m_{rr} [GeV] m_{vv} [GeV]
 - $\begin{array}{l} \hline \text{Definition of the fiducial region} \\ p_{\text{T}}^{\ell} > 20 \ \text{GeV}, \ p_{\text{T}}^{\nu} > 25 \ \text{GeV}, \ |\eta_{\ell}| < 2.5 \\ m_{\text{T}} > 40 \ \text{GeV} \\ \hline E_{\text{T}}^{\gamma} > 20 \ \text{GeV}, \ |\eta^{\gamma}| < 2.37, \ \text{iso. fraction } \epsilon_{\text{h}}^{\text{p}} < 0.5 \\ \Delta R(\ell, \gamma) > 0.7, \ \Delta R(\gamma, \gamma) > 0.4, \ \Delta R(\ell/\gamma, \text{jet}) > 0.3 \\ \end{array}$

Exclusive: no anti- k_t jets with $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 30$ GeV, $|\eta^{\text{jet}}| < 4.4$

• Systematic:

data-driven background

	$\sigma^{ m fid}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{\rm MCFM}$ [fb]
Inclusive $(N_{jet} \ge 0)$		
μνγγ	7.1 $^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$ (stat.) ± 1.5 (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.)	
evyy	4.3 $^{+1.8}_{-1.6}$ (stat.) $^{+1.9}_{-1.8}$ (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.)	2.90 ± 0.16
lvyy	6.1 $^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$ (stat.) ± 1.2 (syst.) ± 0.2 (lumi.)	
Exclusive $(N_{jet} = 0)$		
μνγγ	3.5 ± 0.9 (stat.) $^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$ (syst.) ± 0.1 (lumi.)	
$ev\gamma\gamma$	1.9 $^{+1.4}_{-1.1}$ (stat.) $^{+1.1}_{-1.2}$ (syst.) ± 0.1 (lumi.)	1.88 ± 0.20
ℓνγγ	2.9 $^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ (stat.) $^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$ (syst.) ± 0.1 (lumi.)	

11

CMS

8 TeV W+W- $\rightarrow 2I2\nu$

SMP-14-016

Event selection:

- 2 isolated leptons with p_T^I > 20 GeV
 3rd lepton veto with pT^I > 10 GeV
 (WW,WZ reduction)
- Less than 2 jets with pT > 30 GeV, Anti b-tagging (*tt reduction*)
- Projected E_T^{miss} > 20 GeV
- |m_{ll}-m_z|> 15 GeV (ee, μμ)
- p_T ^{di-lep}> 45(30) GeV for SF(OF)
 (DY, fake leptons reduction)

Total cross section (zero/one jet)

Event category		W ⁺ W ⁻ production cross section (pb.)
nono intentonomi	Different-flavor	$59.7 \pm 1.1 (\text{stat.}) \pm 3.3 (\text{exp.}) \pm 3.5 (\text{th.}) \pm 1.6 (\text{lum.})$
zero-jet category	Same-flavor	64.3 ± 2.1 (stat.) ± 4.6 (exp.) ± 4.3 (th.) ± 1.7 (lum.)
and lat astacomy	Different-flavor	59.1 ± 2.8 (stat.) ± 6.0 (exp.) ± 6.2 (th.) ± 1.6 (lum.)
one-jet category	Same-flavor	65.1 ± 5.5 (stat.) ± 8.3 (exp.) ± 8.0 (th.) ± 1.7 (lum.)

Background:

 Top pair (dominant), V+jets, DY Z/γ*, diboson, H->W+W- (CMS only)

Systematic Uncertainty

jet veto

Events / (5 GeV)

MC/data

lepton efficiency uncertainties



$$\sigma_{W^+W^-} = 60.1 \pm 0.9$$
 (stat.) ± 3.2 (exp.) ± 3.1 (th.) ± 1.6 (lum.) pb

Good agreement with theoretical prediction $59.8^{+1.3}_{-1.1}$ (NNLO)

Analysis @ 7TeV has shown difference wrt SM prediction Normalized differential cross section measured as a function of kinematic variables $(p_{T,I}, m_{II}, p_{T,II}, \Delta \phi_{II})$ and compared with theory predictions



p_(II) [GeV]

gg → WW: MCFM LO CT10 gg → H → WW: NNLO MSTW2008

σ^{tot}_{ww} [pb]

ATLAS

W[±]W[±] jj

PRL 113 (2014) 141803

• Event Selection:

Two fiducial region: inclusive region (EWK+QCD) and VBS region (EWK) Two same-sign lepton with $p_T>25$ GeV mjj>500 GeV, $|\Delta y(jj)|>2.4$ (VBS region only) Veto third lepton pT>10 GeV (*diboson reduction*) $m_{||}>50$ GeV (*W*+jets,top reduction) $|m_{||}-m_Z|>15$ GeV, $E_T^{miss}>40$ GeV (*DY*)

Background:

Dominated by WZ->IvII, g conversions (Wg+jets), and non-EWK W+W+jj

```
• Measured significance and cross section:

4.5(3.4) \sigma evidence for EWK+QCD

3.6(2.8) \sigma evidence for EWK ("VBS")

first evidence ever!

EWK+QCD \sigma^{fid} = 2.1 \pm 0.5(stat) \pm 0.3(syst) fb.

\sigma (fid,theory) = 1.52 \pm 0.11 fb

EWK \sigma^{fid} = 1.3 \pm 0.4(stat) \pm 0.2(syst) fb.

\sigma (fid,theory) = 0.95 \pm 0.06 fb.
```



VV±VV± CMS PRL 114 (2015) 051801 19.4 fb⁻¹ (8 TeV) CMS Event selection: Events / bin Data Two same-sign lepton with P_{τ} >20 GeV W*W*jj mjj>500 GeV, |Dy(jj)|>2.5 (VBS topology) Other Bkas. 10 Nonprompt Veto third lepton pT>7 GeV (diboson reduction) WZ M_{\parallel} > 20 GeV $|m_{\parallel}-m_{Z}|$ > 10 GeV, E_{T}^{miss} > 40 GeV **Background:** m_{ii} 5 Largest background from non- prompt leptons (data-driven) (75%) 0 500 1000 1500 2000 Measured significance and cross section: m_{ii} (GeV) L⁰⁰ 19.4 fb⁻¹ (8 TeV) 2.0(3.1) σ evidence for EWK+QCD CMS 1.9(2.9) σ evidence for EWK ("VBS") xpected 95% CL Observed 95% CL 500 $s(fid,obs) = 4.0^{+2.4}_{-2.0} (stat)^{+1.1}_{-1.0} (syst) fb$ s(fid, theory) = 5.8 + / - 1.2 fbFiducial region: p_⊤ⁱ>10 GeV and |ηⁱ| < 2.5, -500 Use Mjj to set limits on aQGCs p_T^{i} >20 GeV and $|\eta^{i}|$ < 5.0, $m_{ii} > 300 \text{ GeV}$, and $|\Delta \eta_{ii}| > 2.5$ -1000 -200 -100 100 200 $\hat{F}_{s,0}$

PLB 740 (2015) 250 CONF-2013-020

• Event selection:

CMS

ATLAS

 $\begin{array}{l} p_{T}^{\ \ l} > 20(10) \ GeV, \ leading(other) \ lepton(s) \\ |\eta^{l}| < 2.5(2.4), \ l=e(\mu) \\ 60 < m_{||} < 120 \ GeV \ (each \ pair) \\ FSR \ photons \ with \ |m_{||\gamma} - m_{Z} \ | < |m_{||} - m_{Z} \ | \\ and \ m_{||\gamma} < 100 \ GeV \end{array}$

CMS

Measured cross section

7.7
$$\pm$$
 0.5 (stat) $^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ (syst) \pm 0.4 (theo) \pm 0.2 (lumi)

SM:

 $\sigma_{WW}(MCFM,qq(NLO),gg(LO)) = 7.7 \pm 0.6 \text{ pb}$

ATLAS

Measured cross section

 $\sigma_{ZZ}^{\text{tot}} = 7.1^{+0.5}_{-0.4}(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.3(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.2(\text{lumi.}) \text{ pb.}$

SM:

 σ_{WW} (MCFM,NLO) = 7.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2} pb,

Background:

Jet is misidentified as lepton in WZ/Z +jets and tt. Data driven estimate – control region with relaxed isolation.

• Systematic:

misidentification rates and the limited statistics of the control regions in the data (fake photon)



7, 8 Te

$ZZ(2|2\nu)$

SMP-12-016

• Event selection:

Variable	Value
Dilepton invariant mass	$ m(\ell\ell) - 91 < 7.5 \text{GeV}$
Dilepton $p_{\rm T}$	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell\ell} > 45\mathrm{GeV}$
b-tagged jets	based on vertex info (for jet with $p_{\rm T}$ > 20 GeV)
Jet veto	no jets with $p_{\rm T} > 30 {\rm GeV}$
Reduced E ^{miss}	>65 GeV
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ balance	$0.4 < E_{ m T}^{ m miss} / p_{ m T}^{\ell\ell} < 1.8$
$\Delta \phi(p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}, {\rm jet})$	>0.5 rad
$\Delta \phi(p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}, {\rm lepton})$	>0.2 rad
Lepton veto	no additional leptons (e/ μ) with $p_{\rm T} > 10/3 {\rm GeV}$



Background:

DY Z+jets (dominate), WW/WZ, top

• Systematic:

DY bkg normalization, JES

- Most precise measurements
- First measurement at 8 TeV
- Less than 1 σ from the SM predictions

CMS ATLAS

7, 8 TeV $\mathsf{WZ}(\mathsf{III'} oldsymbol{ u})$

SMP-12-006 CONF-2013-021

Event selection:

Two opposite charge leptons + 3^{rd} lepton+ E_T^{miss} Z reconstruction:

 $p_{T}^{|} > 20 (10) \text{ GeV}$

 $|mII - m_z| < 20 \text{ GeV}$ (and closest to m_z) W reconstruction:

 $p_{T}^{I} > 20 \text{ GeV}, E_{T}^{miss} > 30 \text{ GeV}$

Background:

- Fake lepton real Z plus a jet faking a lepton – the dominant background
- ♦ Non Peaking no Z boson (e.g. tt)
- \diamond Prompt Lepton –ZZ -> 4I (lost 1 lepton).

Systematic:



 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Measured pp->WZ cross section} \\ (71 < m_{\parallel} < 111 \ GeV \): \\ \mbox{20.8 \pm 1.3(stat.) \pm 1.1 (syst.) \pm 0.5(lumi.) pb @ 7 \ TeV} \\ \mbox{24.6 \pm 0.8(stat.) \pm 1.1 (syst.) \pm 1.1(lumi.) pb @ 8 \ TeV} \\ \mbox{SM: } \sigma_{WW}(MCFM, \ NLO) = 17.8 \ ^{+0.7}_{-0.5} \ pb \ @ 7 \ TeV \\ \mbox{SM: } \sigma_{WW}(MCFM, \ NLO) = 21.91 \ ^{+1.17}_{-0.88} \ pb \ @ 8 \ TeV \\ \end{array}$



ATLAS

Measured pp->WZ total cross section ($66 < m_{\parallel} < 116 \text{ GeV}$):

 $20.3^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ (stat.) $^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$ (syst.) $^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ (lumi.)

SM: σ_{wz} (MCFM, NLO) = 20.3 ± 0.8 pb

VZ(Vbb) EPJC 74 (2014) 2973

• Signature:

CMS

Two b-jets+ E_t^{miss} + 0,1,2 leptons

• Event selection:

2 b-jets ($|\eta|$ <2.5), m_{jj}<250 GeV OI(Z \rightarrow vv): E_T^{miss} > 100 GeV 1I(W \rightarrow Iv): E_T^{miss} > 45 GeV 2I(Z \rightarrow II): 75GeV < m_{II}< 105 GeV Fit of multivariate discriminant/ m_{ii}

• Background:

QCD V+jets, top, VH

the VZ process is observed with a statistical significance of 6.3 σ (5.9 σ expected).

Entries / 0.2 Data 10 s = 8TeV, L = 18.9 fb⁻¹ VZ(bb) $pp \rightarrow VZ; Z \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ Background 10⁵ VZ(bb) MC uncert. (tot.) 10⁴ 10³ 10² 10 $\chi^2/dof = 4.56$ 0.5 $\gamma^2 / dof = 1.34$ (c) -3.5 -0.5 -3 -2.5 -2 -1.5-4 -1 log(S/B)

Measured cross section pp \rightarrow WZ (60 <m_Z< 120 GeV) 30.7 ± 9.3(stat.) ± 7.1(syst.) ± 4.1(theo.) ± 1.0(lumi.) pb SM: σ_{WZ} (MCFM, NLO) = 22.3 ±1.1 pb Measured cross section pp \rightarrow ZZ (60 <m_Z< 120 GeV) 6.5 ± 1.7(stat.) ± 1.0(syst.) ± 0.9(theo.) ± 0.2(lumi.) pb SM: σ_{WZ} (MCFM, NLO) = 7.7 ±0.4 pb

Anomalous Triple (Quartic) Gauge Couplings

- AT(Q)GC are less well measured in EWK
- They are signature of New Physics
- At hard tail of phase space, they increase Xsec significantly

Charged aTGCs WWy/WWZ

$$L/g_{WWV} = ig_1^V (W_{\mu\nu}^* W^{\mu} V^{\nu} - W_{\mu\nu} W^{*\mu} V^{\nu}) + i\kappa^V W_{\mu}^* W_{\nu} V^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\lambda^V}{M_{\nu\nu}^2} W_{\rho\mu}^* W_{\nu}^{\mu} V^{\nu\rho}$$



Neutral aTGCs

$$L = -\frac{e}{M_Z^2} [f_4^V(\partial_\mu V^{\mu\beta}) Z_\alpha(\partial^\alpha Z_\beta) + f_5^V(\partial^\sigma V_{\sigma\mu}) \tilde{Z}^{\mu\beta} Z_\beta]$$

Zγ channel: Zγγ/ZZγ $h_3^{Z,\gamma}$, $h_4^{Z,\gamma}$ PRD47 (1993) 4889 ZZ channel: ZZγ/ZZZ $f_4^{Z,\gamma}$, $f_5^{Z,\gamma}$ NPB282 (1987) 253



Triple and Quartic Anomalous Gauge Couplings

Process (l=e,µ)		aTGC/QGC Parameters	Limit Setting variable
Wγ	WWγ	$\lambda_{\gamma,} \Delta K_{\gamma}$ (charged)	Ε _Τ
Zγ	ΖΖγ, Ζγγ	$h_3^{Z,\gamma}$, $h_4^{Z,\gamma}$ (neutral)	Ε _τ
Zγ	ΖΖγ, Ζγγ	$h_3^{Z,\gamma}$, $h_4^{Z,\gamma}$ (neutral)	Ε _τ
WW(IvIv)	WWγ, WWZ	$\begin{array}{c} \text{(EFT) } c_{\text{WWW}}^{}/\Lambda^2, \ c_{\text{W}}^{}/\Lambda^2, \ c_{\text{B}}^{}/\Lambda^2 \text{ (CMS 8)} \\ & \text{TeV)} \\ \lambda_{z/\gamma,} \ \Delta K_{z/\gamma,} \Delta g^Z_1 \text{ (ATLAS,CMS 7 TeV)} \end{array}$	M _{II} (СМЅ 8 ТеV) Max P _T I
ZZ(4I, 2I2v)	ZZZ, ZZγ	$f_4^{Z,\gamma}$, $f_5^{Z,\gamma}$ (neutral)	M _{4I}
WZ->lvjj	WWγ, WWZ	$\lambda_{z,} \Delta K_{\gamma,}$	P_{t}^{di-jet}
WVγ	WWγγ, WZγγ	a ₀ ^w , a ^w _c ,f _{T0} , K ₀ ^w , K _c ^w	Et
WW(lvjj)	WWWW	α_4 , α_5 (ATLAS), $f_{S0, f_{S1}}$ (CMS)	m _{II}
Wyy	WWyy	f_{T0}/Λ^4 , f_{M2}/Λ^4 , and f_{M3}/Λ^4	m _{yy}

• With or without form factor, K Matrix for unitarization

• deltaNLL, CLs or profile likelihood methods used to set the upper limit

Charged aTGC

Oct 2014					Oct 2014				
			ATLAS Limits					ATLAS Limits CMS Prel. Limits D0 Limit LEP Limit	
		. \\/\/\/	0.410 0.460 4.0 th-1	1	AK-	H	WW	-0.043 - 0.043	4.6 fb ⁻¹
$\Delta \kappa_{\nu}$	ł		-0.410 - 0.460 4.6 fb			→ → →	WV	-0.090 - 0.105	4.6 fb ⁻¹
1	HH	vvγ	-0.380 - 0.290 5.0 fb ⁻¹			H	WV	-0.043 - 0.033	5.0 fb ⁻¹
	HH	WW	-0.210 - 0.220 4.9 fb ⁻¹			— •–1	LEP Combination	-0.074 - 0.051	0.7 fb ⁻¹
	HH	WV	-0.210 - 0.220 4.6 fb ⁻¹		2	——————————————————————————————————————	WW	-0.062 - 0.059	4.6 fb ⁻¹
		WV	-0.110 - 0.140 5 0 fb ⁻¹		⁷ Z	⊢	WW	-0.048 - 0.048	4.9 fb ⁻¹
		D0 Combination	-0 158 - 0 255 9 6 fb ⁻¹			⊢ →	WZ	-0.046 - 0.047	4.6 fb ⁻¹
			-0.130 - 0.233 8.0 lb			<u> </u>	WV	-0.039 - 0.040	4.6 fb ⁻¹
		LEP Combination	-0.099 - 0.066 0.7 fb ⁻¹	-		—	WV	-0.038 - 0.030	5.0 fb ⁻¹
λ		Wγ	-0.065 - 0.061 4.6 fb ⁻¹			нон	D0 Combination	-0.036 - 0.044	8.6 fb ⁻¹
γ	⊢ →	Wγ	-0.050 - 0.037 5.0 fb ⁻¹			H H H	LEP Combination	-0.059 - 0.017	0.7 fb ⁻¹
	—	WW	-0.048 - 0.048 4.9 fb ⁻¹		AgZ	<u> </u>	WW	-0.039 - 0.052	4.6 fb ⁻¹
	<u> </u>	WV	-0.039 - 0.040 4.6 fb ⁻¹		$ \Delta 9_1$	H	WW	-0.095 - 0.095	4.9 fb ⁻¹
	<u> </u>	W/V	-0.038 - 0.030 = 0.016				WZ	-0.057 - 0.093	4.6 fb ⁻¹
	-	DO Osmikinstian					WV	-0.055 - 0.071	4.6 fb ⁻¹
	нон	DU Combination	-0.036 - 0.044 8.6 fb				D0 Combination	-0.034 - 0.084	8.6 fb ⁻¹
	H	LEP Combination	-0.059 - 0.017 0.7 fb ⁻¹			HeH	LEP Combination	-0.054 - 0.021	0.7 fb ⁻¹
0.5		0.5 1	15				0.5 1	15	
-0.5	U	aTGC L	imits @95% C.L		-0.5	U	aTGC L	imits @95	% C.L.

Neutral aTGC

Feb 2015				Mar 201	5			
			ATLAS Limits				ATLAS Limits CMS Prel. Limits	- - -
~		72	0.015 0.016 4.6 fb ⁻¹	£γ	H	ZZ	-0.015 - 0.015 4.6 fb	,-1
h'		7.		4	⊢	ZZ	-0.005 - 0.005 19.6 f	b-1
- 3	H	Ζγ	-0.003 - 0.003 5.0 fb ⁻¹		—	ZZ (2l2v)	-0.004 - 0.003 24.7 f	b-1
	→	Zγ	-0.005 - 0.005 19.5 fb ⁻¹		H	ZZ (comb)	-0.003 - 0.003 24 7 f	íb ⁻¹
	II	Zγ	-0.022 - 0.020 5.1 fb ⁻¹	.7		77	-0.013 - 0.013 4 6 fb	-1
L-7	⊢	Zγ	-0.013 - 0.014 4.6 fb ⁻¹	t <u>4</u>	⊢	ZZ	-0.004 - 0.004 19.6 f	ib ⁻¹
n 3	\mapsto	Zγ	-0.003 - 0.003 5.0 fb ⁻¹	-	H-	ZZ (2l2v)	-0.003 - 0.003 24.7 f	ib ⁻¹
	⊢	Zγ	-0.004 - 0.004 19.5 fb ⁻¹		H	ZZ (comb)	-0.002 - 0.003 24.7 f	b-1
	H	Zγ	-0.020 - 0.021 5.1 fb ⁻¹	fγ	H	ZZ	-0.016 - 0.015 4.6 fb	,-1
v		Zγ	$-0.009 - 0.009 - 4.6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	5		ZZ	-0.005 - 0.005 19.6 f	b-1
h¦x100		7			H	ZZ(2l2v)	-0.003 - 0.004 24.7 f	b-1
4	н	27	-0.001 - 0.001 5.0 m		H	ZZ(comb)	-0.003 - 0.003 24.7 f	b-1
	H	Ζγ	-0.004 - 0.004 19.5 fb ⁻ '	<i>c</i> 7	H	ZZ	-0.013 - 0.013 4.6 fb	j ⁻¹
hZv100	H	Zγ	-0.009 - 0.009 4.6 fb ⁻¹	T_5	H-1	ZZ	-0.004 - 0.004 19.6 f	ib ⁻¹
1 ₄ ×100	н	Zγ	-0.001 - 0.001 5.0 fb ⁻¹		H	ZZ (2l2v)	-0.003 - 0.003 24.7 f	ib ⁻¹
	H	Zγ	-0.003 - 0.003 19.5 fb ⁻¹		н	ZZ (comb)	-0.002 - 0.002 24.7 f	b-1
-0.5	0	0.5	1 1.5 x10 ⁻¹	-0.	5 0	0.5	1 1.5 x10)
			aTGC Limits @95% C.L.			ale	iC Limits @95% C.	.L.

aQGC

limits on yyWW

WW+WZIjj

Limits are set in on dimension 6 and dimension 8 effective field theory operators.

July 2013		LEP L3 limits D0 limits	=	$\begin{array}{l} \text{CMS WW}\gamma \text{ limits} \\ \text{CMS }\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \text{WW I} \end{array}$	s imits	
Anomalous WV	Vγγ Quartic Cou	pling limits @95% C.L.	Channel	Limits	L	١s
			WWγ	[- 15000, 15000]	0.43fb ⁻¹	0.20 TeV
			$\gamma\gamma ightarrow WW$	[- 430, 430]	9.70fb ⁻¹	1.96 TeV
a₀ ^W /∧² TeV ⁻²			wwγ	[- 21, 20]	19.30fb ⁻¹	8.0 TeV
0			$\gamma\gamma ightarrow WW$	[- 4, 4]	5.05fb ⁻¹	7.0 TeV
			wwγ	[- 48000, 26000]	0.43fb ⁻¹	0.20 TeV
_			$\gamma\gamma \to WW$	[- 1500, 1500]	9.70fb ⁻¹	1.96 TeV
aW/ A ² TeV ⁻²			wwγ	[- 34, 32]	19.30fb ⁻¹	8.0 TeV
a _c /A lev			$\gamma\gamma {\rightarrow} {\bf WW}$	[- 15, 15]	5.05fb ⁻¹	7.0 TeV
f _{т,0} /∆ ⁴ TeV ⁻⁴			wwγ	[- 25, 24]	19.30fb ^{.1}	8.0 TeV
-10 ⁵ -10 ⁴ -10 ³	³ -10 ² -10 - 1	1 10 10 ² 10 ³ 10 ⁴ 10 ⁵	1			

Observed Limits	Expected Limits
-21 (TeV ⁻²) < a ₀ ^W / A ² < 20 (TeV ⁻²)	-24 (TeV ⁻²) < a ₀ ^W / Λ ² < 23 (TeV ⁻²)
-34 (TeV $^{-2}$) < $a_c^W / \Lambda^2 < 32$ (TeV $^{-2}$)	$-37 (\text{TeV}^{-2}) < a_c^{W} / \Lambda^2 < 34 (\text{TeV}^{-2})$
-25 (TeV $^{-4}$) < $f_{T,0}/\Lambda^4$ < 24 (TeV $^{-4}$)	$-27 (\text{TeV}^{-4}) < f_{T,0} / \Lambda^4 < 27 (\text{TeV}^{-4})$
-12 (TeV $^{-2}$) < $\kappa_0^W / \Lambda^2 < 10$ (TeV $^{-2}$)	$-12 (\text{TeV}^{-2}) < \kappa_0^W / \Lambda^2 < 12 (\text{TeV}^{-2})$
-18 (TeV $^{-2}$) $< \kappa^{W} / \Lambda^{2} < 17$ (TeV $^{-2}$)	$-19 (\text{TeV}^{-2}) < \kappa^{W} / \Lambda^{2} < 18 (\text{TeV}^{-2})$

Observed Limits	Expected Limits
$-77 (\text{TeV}^{-4}) < f_{M,0} / \Lambda^4 < 81 (\text{TeV}^{-4})$	$-89 (\text{TeV}^{-4}) < f_{M,0} / \Lambda^4 < 93 (\text{TeV}^{-4})$
$-131 (\text{TeV}^{-4}) < f_{M,1} / \Lambda^4 < 123 (\text{TeV}^{-4})$	-143 (TeV $^{-4}$) < $f_{M,1}/\Lambda^4$ < 131 (TeV $^{-4}$)
$-39 (\text{TeV}^{-4}) < f_{M,2} / \Lambda^4 < 40 (\text{TeV}^{-4})$	-44 (TeV $^{-4}$) < $f_{M,2}/\Lambda^4$ < 46 (TeV $^{-4}$)
-66 (TeV $^{-4}$) < $f_{M,3}/\Lambda^4$ < 62 (TeV $^{-4}$)	-71 (TeV $^{-4}$) < $f_{M,3}/\Lambda^4$ < 66 (TeV $^{-4}$)

RUN II Prospective



RUN II Prospective

ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-006 arXiv:1309.7452 arXiv:1408.5243

Expected Cross-Sections (WW)

$\frac{\sqrt{s}}{\text{TeV}}$	σ_{LO}	σ_{NLO}	σ_{NNLO}	$\sigma_{gg \to H \to WW^*}$
7	$29.52^{+1.6\%}_{-2.5\%}$	$45.16^{+3.7\%}_{-2.9\%}$	$49.04^{+2.1\%}_{-1.8\%}$	$3.25^{+7.1\%}_{-7.8\%}$
8	$35.50^{+2.4\%}_{-3.5\%}$	$54.77^{+3.7\%}_{-2.9\%}$	$59.84^{+2.2\%}_{-1.9\%}$	$4.14^{+7.2\%}_{-7.8\%}$
13	$67.16^{+5.5\%}_{-6.7\%}$	$106.0^{+4.1\%}_{-3.2\%}$	$118.7^{+2.5\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$9.44^{+7.4\%}_{-7.9\%}$
14	$73.74^{+5.9\%}_{-7.2\%}$	$116.7^{+4.1\%}_{-3.3\%}$	$131.3^{+2.6\%}_{-2.2\%}$	$10.64^{+7.5\%}_{-8.0\%}$

WZ + jj processes, 5 significance discovery values and 95% CL limits (with/without unitarity violation bound)

Paramotor	Luminosity	14 7	ГeV	33 TeV		
1 arameter	$[fb^{-1}]$	5σ	95% CL	5σ	95% CL	
$a = /\Lambda^2 [T_0 V^{-2}]$	3000	15.2(15.2)	9.1 (9.1)	12.6(12.7)	7.7 (7.7)	
$c_{\phi d}/\Lambda$ [lev]	300	28.5(28.7)	17.1 (17.1)	23.1(23.3)	14.1 (14.2)	
$f_{-1}/\Lambda 4$ [ToV-4]	3000	0.6 (0.9)	0.4(0.5)	0.3(0.6)	0.2(0.3)	
$J_{T1}/T1$ [lev]	300	1.1(1.6)	0.7(1.0)	0.6(0.9)	0.3(0.6)	

Channel	Parameter	(95% CL limits) 14TeV, 300 fb-1	(95% CL limits) 14TeV, 3000 fb-1
W±W±jj	$f_{S,0}/\Lambda^4$ (TeV-4)	[-6.8, 6.8]	[-0.8, 0.8]
WZ jj	$f_{T,1}/\Lambda^4(TeV^{-4})$	[-0.7, 0.7]	[-0.3, 0.3]
Ζγγ	$f_{T,9}/\Lambda^4(TeV^{-4})$	[-0.9, 0.9]	[-0.3, 0.3]





Summary

- Processes with multiple bosons in final state has been studied by both CMS and ATLAS with Run1 data at 7 and 8 TeV
- Production cross section have been measured in agreement with the SM prediction (NLO or NNLO)
- Search for anomalous triple and quartic gauge coupling show no sign of new physics

 tightest limits are set for several coupling
- Many opportunities and challenges ahead as the start of LHC Run II is approaching







7 TeV WY($|\nu\gamma\rangle$ /ZY($|+|-\gamma\rangle$ /ZY($\nu\nu\gamma$) Phys. Rev. D 87, 112003 (2013)

Fiducial region (ATLAS)

Fiducial region (CMS)

Cuts	$pp \rightarrow \ell \nu \gamma$	$pp \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma$	$pp \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$
Lepton	$p_{\rm T}^{\ell} > 25 \text{ GeV}$	$p_{\rm T}^{\ell} > 25 \text{ GeV}$	_
	$ \eta_{\ell} < 2.47$	$ \eta_{\ell} < 2.47$	_
	$N_{\ell} = 1$	$N_{\ell^+} = 1, N_{\ell^-} = 1$	$N_{\ell} = 0$
	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\nu} > 35 \text{ GeV}$	—	—
Boson	_	$m_{\ell^+\ell^-} > 40 \text{ GeV}$	$p_{\rm T}^{\nu\nu} > 90 ~{ m GeV}$
Photon	$E_{\rm T}^{\gamma} > 15 \text{ GeV}$	$E_{T}^{\gamma} > 15 \text{ GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\gamma} > 100 \text{ GeV}$
	$ \eta^{\gamma} $	$< 2.37, \Delta R(\ell, \gamma)$	> 0.7
		$\epsilon_h^p < 0.5$	
Jet	$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{jet}}$	$^{t} > 30 \text{ GeV}, \eta^{\text{jet}} < 100 \text{ GeV}$	< 4.4
	4	$\Delta R(e/\mu/\gamma, \text{jet}) > 0$.3
	Inclusive :	$N_{\rm jet} \ge 0$, Exclusiv	$e: N_{jet} = 0$

 $p_T^{\gamma} > 15 \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta R(I, \gamma) > 0.7$ $m_{\parallel} > 50 \text{ GeV, for } Z\gamma$

ATLAS-CONF-2014-033, Phys.Rev.D87 (2013) 112001

the relative missing transverse momentum $(E_T^{miss}_{Rel})$ is introduced. It is calculated as $E_t^{miss} * \sin|\Delta\Phi|$, where $\Delta\Phi$ is the azimuthal angular difference between the E_t^{miss} vector and the closest selected jet or lepton in the event. However, if $\Delta\Phi > p/2$, then $E_T^{miss}_{Rel}$ is defined to be equal to E_t^{miss} .

8 TeV

ATLAS

 $W^+W^- \rightarrow 2|2\nu$

 E_T^{miss} includes information within the full calorimeter coverage (|h| < 4.9). In addition, a track-based missing transverse momentum (P_T^{miss}) is used, which only includes information limited to the ID coverage (|h| < 2.5).



Limits on charge aTGC in the EFT framework (CMS).



$$\mathcal{O}_{WWW} = rac{c_{WWW}}{\Lambda^2} \operatorname{Tr}[W_{\mu\nu}W^{
u\rho}W^{\mu}],$$

 $\mathcal{O}_W = rac{c_W}{\Lambda^2}(D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}W_{\mu\nu}(D^{\nu}\Phi),$
 $\mathcal{O}_B = rac{c_B}{\Lambda^2}(D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}B_{\mu\nu}(D^{\nu}\Phi).$

Dim 6 EFT operators

Coupling constant	This result	This result 95% interval	World average
	(TeV^{-2})	$({\rm TeV}^{-2})$	(TeV^{-2})
c_{WWW}/Λ^2	$0.1^{+3.2}_{-3.2}$	[-5.7,5.9]	-5.5 ± 4.8 (from λ_{γ})
c_W/Λ^2	$-3.6^{+5.0}_{-4.5}$	[-11.4, 5.4]	$-3.9^{+3.9}_{-4.8}$ (from g_1^Z)
c_B/Λ^2	$-3.2^{+15.0}_{-14.5}$	[-29.2, 23.9]	$-1.7^{+13.6}_{-13.9}$ (from κ_{γ} and g_1^Z)

PLB 740 (2015) 250 CONF-2013-020

• Event selection:

CMS

> ZZ->III'I'

ATLAS

 $\begin{array}{l} p_{T}^{-1} > 20(10) \; GeV, \; leading(other) \; lepton(s) \\ |\eta^{I}| < 2.5(2.4), \; l=e(\mu) \\ 60 < m_{II} < 120 \; GeV \; (each \; pair) \\ FSR \; photons \; with \; |m_{II\gamma} - m_{Z} \; | < |m_{II} - m_{Z} | \\ and \; m_{II\gamma} < 100 \; GeV \\ & \blacktriangleright \; \textbf{ZZ->IITT (T_{I}, T_{h})} \\ 20/30 < m_{\pi} < 90 \; GeV \; (T_{e}T_{\mu}/other) \end{array}$

Measured cross section

7.7
$$\pm$$
 0.5 (stat) $^{+0.5}_{-0.4}$ (syst) \pm 0.4 (theo) \pm 0.2 (lumi)

SM:

 $\sigma_{WW}(MCFM,qq(NLO),gg(LO)) = 7.7 \pm 0.6 \text{ pb}$

Differential fiducial cross section

- Leading lepton p_T , p_T^Z , p_T^{ZZ} , m_{ZZ}
- Angular distributions All decay modes combined.

• Background:

Jet is misidentified as lepton in WZ/Z +jets and tt. Data driven estimate – control region with relaxed isolation.

• Systematic:

misidentification rates and the limited statistics of the control regions in the data (fake photon)



32



ZZ(III'I')

CONF-2013-020

• Event selection:

ATLAS

- > only ZZ->III'I'
- $p_T^{l} > 25(10)$ GeV, leading(other) lepton(s)
- $|\eta^{I}| < 2.5(2.4), I=e(\mu)$

 $66 < m_{\parallel} < 116 \text{ GeV}$ (each pair)

Background:

Jet or photon misidentified as lepton in W/ Z+jets/ γ

Data driven estimate – control region with relaxed isolation.

 Systematic: Dominated by lepton ID/ISO efficiency



Measured cross section

$$\sigma_{ZZ}^{tot} = 7.1^{+0.5}_{-0.4}(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.3(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.2(\text{lumi.}) \text{ pb.}$$

SM: σ_{WW} (MCFM,NLO) = $7.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ pb,

$ZZ(2|2\nu)$

7, 8 TeV

CMS

A good E_T^{miss} measurement is critical for the extraction of the ZZ $\rightarrow 2\ell 2\nu$ signal given that the distinguishes this process from the DY background. Since the average E_T^{miss} of the signal is moderate (~50 GeV), we cannot simply require a high- E_T^{miss} . We follow the approach of constructing a "reduced E_T^{miss} " variable, as done in the D0 [23] [24] and OPAL [25] experiments. The concept behind a reduced E_T^{miss} is to reduce the instrumental contribution to mismeasured E_T^{miss} by considering possible contributions to fake E_T^{miss} . In each event, p_T^{miss} and jet momenta are decomposed along an orthogonal set of axes in the transverse plane of the detector. One of the axes is defined by the p_T of the charged dilepton system, the other perpendicular to it. We define the recoil of the $\ell^+\ell^-$ system in two different ways: (i) the clustered recoil (\vec{R}_c) is the vectorial sum of the momenta of the PF jets reconstructed in the event, and (ii) the unclustered recoil (\vec{R}_u) is the vectorial sum of the transverse momenta of all PF candidates in the event, with the exception of the two leptons. On each axis (i = parallel/orthogonal to the dilepton system p_T), the reduced E_T^{miss} projection is defined as

reduced
$$E_{\rm T}^{{\rm miss}i} = -p_{\rm T}^{\ell\ell,i} - R_{{\rm c/u}}^i$$
,

where $R_{c/u}$ represents the choice of R_c or R_u that minimizes the absolute value of that reduced E_T^{miss} component, and $p_T^{\ell\ell}$ is the transverse momentum of the Z boson. The presence of genuine E_T^{miss} in the recoil of the charged dilepton system is expected to be evident in the parallel projection, while the component perpendicular to the $\ell^+\ell^-$ system is mostly dominated by jet and E_T^{miss} resolution. The absolute reduced E_T^{miss} variable is the sum in quadrature of the two components. The reduced E_T^{miss} shows better DY background suppression than the standard PF E_T^{miss} at the same signal efficiency. It is also found to be more stable than the PF E_T^{miss} under variations in pileup conditions and JES.

Eur.Phys.J. C73 (2013) 2283

one W boson decays leptonically (I = e,m) the other boson V(W or Z) decays hadronically (jj)

Event selection:

CMS

```
p_T^{l} > 35(25) \text{ GeV}, l=e(\mu)
|\eta^{l}| < 2.5(2.1), l = e(\mu)
M_T^W > 50(30) \text{ GeV}, I=e(\mu)
E_{T}^{miss} > 30(25) \text{ GeV}, I=e(\mu)
p_{T}^{jet} > 35 GeV, |\eta^{jet}| < 2.4, jet b-tag veto
40 < Mjj <150 GeV
```

Background:

W+jets(dominant), top, Z+jets, jet -> I misidentification.

Measured cross section pp -> WW and pp-> WZ 68.9 ± 8.7 (stat.) ± 9.7 (syst.) ± 1.5 (lum.) pb

SM: $\sigma_{ww} + \sigma_{wz}$ (MCFM, NLO) = 65.6 ± 2.2 pb



JHEP01(2015)049

one W boson decays leptonically (I = e,m) the other boson V(W or Z) decays hadronically (jj)

 $WV(|\nu jj)$

- Event selection: $p_T^{I} > 25 \text{ GeV}|\eta^{I}| < 2.5$ $M_T^{W} > 40 \text{ GeV},$ $E_T^{miss} > 30 \text{ GeV}$ $p_T^{jet} > 30(25) \text{ GeV}, |\eta^{jet}| < 2.0, jet b-tag veto$ $|\Delta \phi(E miss, j1)| > 0.8$ $|\Delta \eta(j1,j2)| < 1.5$ 25 < mjj < 250 GeV
- Background:

ATLAS

W+jets(dominant), top, Z+jets, jet -> I misidentification.

• Systematic:

W/Z + jets rate and shape modelling

Measured cross section pp -> WW and pp-> WZ 68 ± 7 (stat.) ± 19 (syst.) pb ,

SM: $\sigma_{ww} + \sigma_{wz}$ (**MCFM**, **NLO**) = 61.1 ± 2.2 pb.



CMS

7, 8 TeV

$WZ(III'\nu)$

SMP-12-006

Event selection:

Two opposite charge leptons + 3^{rd} lepton+ E_T^{miss} Z reconstruction:

 $p_{T}^{|} > 20 (10) \text{ GeV}$

 $|m| - m_Z| < 20 \text{ GeV} (and closest to m_Z)$ W reconstruction:

 $p_{T}^{I} > 20 \text{ GeV}, E_{T}^{miss} > 30 \text{ GeV}$

Background:

- Fake lepton real Z plus a jet faking a lepton the dominant background
- ♦ Non Peaking no Z boson (e.g. tt)

Prompt Lepton –ZZ -> 4I (lost 1 lepton). Systematic:

Dominated by data-driven (Z+jets,tt) E_{τ}^{miss} energy scales and resolution

Measured pp->WZ cross section (71< m_{\parallel} <111 GeV): 20.8 ± 1.3(stat.) ± 1.1 (syst.) ± 0.5(lumi.) pb @ 7 TeV 24.6 ± 0.8(stat.) ± 1.1 (syst.) ± 1.1(lumi.) pb @ 8 TeV

SM: σ_{ww}(MCFM, NLO) = 17.8 ^{+0.7}_{-0.5} pb @ 7 TeV SM: σ_{ww}(MCFM, NLO) = 21.91 ^{+1.17}_{-0.88} pb @ 8 TeV



W+Z/W-Z ratio measurement

$$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{W}^+ Z}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{W}^- Z}} = \frac{N_S^+}{N_S^-} \cdot \frac{(\mathcal{A} \cdot \varepsilon)^-}{(\mathcal{A} \cdot \varepsilon)^+}$$

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_{W^+Z}}{\sigma_{W^-Z}}\right)_{7 \text{ TeV}} = 1.94 \pm 0.25 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 0.04 \text{ (syst.)}$$

With theoretical prediction: $1.776^{+0.006}_{-0.003}$

 $\left(\frac{\sigma_{\rm W^+Z}}{\sigma_{\rm W^-Z}}\right)_{\rm 8~TeV} = 1.81 \pm 0.12~{\rm (stat.)} \pm 0.03~{\rm (syst.)}$

With theoretical prediction: 1.724 ± 0.0

ATLAS

8 TeV

$WZ(III'\nu)$

ototal [pb]

CONF-2013-021

• Event selection:

Two opposite charge leptons + 3^{rd} lepton+ E_T^{miss}

Z reconstruction:

```
p_T^{\ |} > 20 (10) \text{ GeV}
```

```
|mll - m_Z| < 10 \text{ GeV} (and closest to m_Z)
```

W reconstruction:

```
p_T^{I} > 20 \text{ GeV}, E_T^{miss} > 25 \text{ GeV}, m_T^{W} > 20 \text{ GeV}
```

Background:

two hard leptons and a fake lepton: Z+jets, tt (data-driven) (dominate) ZZ(3 real leptons), W/Z+γ(photon conversion) (MC simulation)

• Systematic:

Dominated by data-driven (Z+jets,tt)

Measured pp->WZ total cross section (66<m_{II}<116 GeV):

 $20.3^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ (stat.) $^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$ (syst.) $^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ (lumi.)

SM: σ_{wz}(**MCFM**, **NLO**) = 20.3 ± 0.8 pb



Anomalous Quartic Gauge Couplings

- New physics may appear as gauge boson self-interaction at low energy scale with high energy degrees of freedom
- SM lagrangian can be extended with 8 dimensional operators remaining SU(2)×U(1) gauge symmetry

Higgs field

Higgs - Gauge boson field(L) Gauge boson field (L)

 $\mathcal{L}_{S,0} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[(D^{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right] \qquad \mathcal{L}_{M,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \left[(D_{\beta} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta} \Phi \right]$ $\mathcal{L}_{M,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\mu
u} \hat{W}^{
ueta} \right] imes \left[(D_eta \Phi)^\dagger D^\mu \Phi
ight]$ $\mathcal{L}_{S,1} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \times \left[(D_{\nu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$ $\mathcal{L}_{M,2} = [B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}] \times [(D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger}D^{\beta}\Phi]$ $\mathcal{L}_{M,3} = \left[B_{\mu\nu} B^{\nu\beta} \right] \times \left[\left(D_{\beta} \Phi \right)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$ $\mathcal{L}_{M,4} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \hat{W}_{\beta \nu} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \times B^{\beta \nu}$ $\mathcal{L}_{M,5} = [(D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} \hat{W}_{\beta\nu}D^{\nu}\Phi] \times B^{\beta\mu}$ $\mathcal{L}_{M,6} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \hat{W}_{\beta\nu} \hat{W}^{\beta\nu} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$ $\mathcal{L}_{M,7} = \left[(D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \hat{W}_{\beta\nu} \hat{W}^{\beta\mu} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{T,0} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\alpha\beta} \hat{W}^{\alpha\beta} \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,1} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\alpha\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\mu\beta} \hat{W}^{\alpha\nu} \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,2} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\beta\nu} \hat{W}^{\nu\alpha} \right] \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,5} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] \times B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,6} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\alpha\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times B_{\mu\beta} B^{\alpha\nu} \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,7} &= \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{W}_{\alpha\mu} \hat{W}^{\mu\beta} \right] \times B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha} \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,8} &= B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} \\ \mathcal{L}_{T,9} &= B_{\alpha\mu} B^{\mu\beta} B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

	WWWW	WWZZ	ZZZZ	WWAZ	WWAA	ZZZA	ZZAA	ZAAA	AAAA
$\mathcal{L}_{S,0}, \mathcal{L}_{S,1}$	X	X	Х	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathcal{L}_{M,0}, \mathcal{L}_{M,1}, \mathcal{L}_{M,6}, \mathcal{L}_{M,7}$	X	X	Х	X	X	Х	Х	0	0
$\mathcal{L}_{M,2}$, $\mathcal{L}_{M,3}$, $\mathcal{L}_{M,4}$, $\mathcal{L}_{M,5}$	0	X	Х	X	X	Х	Х	0	0
$\mathcal{L}_{T,0} \;, \! \mathcal{L}_{T,1} \;, \! \mathcal{L}_{T,2}$	X	X	Х	X	X	Х	Х	X	X
$\mathcal{L}_{T,5}$, $\mathcal{L}_{T,6}$, $\mathcal{L}_{T,7}$	0	X	Х	X	X	Х	Х	X	X
$\mathcal{L}_{T,9}$, $\mathcal{L}_{T,9}$	0	0	Х	0	0	Х	Х	X	X



Triple and Quartic Anomalous Gauge Couplings

Process (I=e,µ)		Limit Setting variable	
Wγ	WWγ	$\lambda_{\gamma,} \Delta K_{\gamma}$ (charged)	Ε _τ
Zγ	ΖΖγ, Ζγγ	$h_3^{Z,\gamma}$, $h_4^{Z,\gamma}$ (neutral)	Ε _τ
Zγ	ΖΖγ, Ζγγ	$h_3^{Z,\gamma}$, $h_4^{Z,\gamma}$ (neutral)	Ε _τ
WW(lvlv)	WWy, WWZ	$\begin{array}{c} \text{(EFT) } c_{\text{WWW}}^{}/\Lambda^2, \ c_{\text{W}}^{}/\Lambda^2, \ c_{\text{B}}^{}/\Lambda^2 \text{ (CMS 8)} \\ & \text{TeV)} \\ \lambda_{z/\gamma,} \ \Delta K_{z/\gamma,} \Delta g^Z_1 \text{ (ATLAS,CMS 7 TeV)} \end{array}$	M _{II} (СМЅ 8 ТеV) Max P _T I
ZZ(4I, 2I2v)	ZZZ, ZZγ	$f_4^{Z,\gamma}$, $f_5^{Z,\gamma}$ (neutral)	M _{4I}
WZ->lvjj	WWγ, WWZ	$\lambda_{z,} \Delta K_{\gamma,}$	P_{t}^{di-jet}
WVγ	WWγγ, WZγγ	a ₀ ^w , a ^w _c ,f _{T0} , K ₀ ^w , K _c ^w	Et
WW(lvjj)	WWWW	α_4 , α_5 (ATLAS), $f_{S0, f_{S1}}$ (CMS)	m _{II}
Wyy	WWγγ	f_{T0}/Λ^4 , f_{M2}/Λ^4 , and f_{M3}/Λ^4	m _{yy}

• With or without form factor, K Matrix for unitarization

• deltaNLL, CLs or profile likelihood methods used to set the upper limit