Dark Matter and Gauged Baryon Number

Sebastian Ohmer

Collaborators: Pavel Fileviez Pérez and Hiren H. Patel

P. Fileviez Pérez, SO, H. H. Patel, Phys.Lett.B735(2014)[arXiv:1403.8029] P.Fileviez Pérez, SO, Phys.Rev.D90(2014) [arXiv:1405.1199] SO, H. H. Patel, [arXiv:1506.00954]

MPIK Heidelberg

12. June 2015

Gauging Baryon and Lepton Number

Leptobaryons as Dark Matter

Conclusions

Sebastian Ohmer (MPIK)

12. June 2015 1 / 21

• • • • • • • • • • • •

 Baryon and lepton number classical symmetries in standard model



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Baryon and lepton number classical symmetries in standard model
- \blacktriangleright Proton lifetime $\gtrsim 10^{34}$ yrs.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Baryon and lepton number classical symmetries in standard model
- \blacktriangleright Proton lifetime $\gtrsim 10^{34}$ yrs.
- \blacktriangleright Great desert $M_{
 m GUT}\gtrsim 10^{14-16}$ GeV



A B A B A B A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

- Baryon and lepton number classical symmetries in standard model
- \blacktriangleright Proton lifetime $\gtrsim 10^{34}$ yrs.
- \blacktriangleright Great desert $M_{\rm GUT}\gtrsim 10^{14-16}~{\rm GeV}$

Low scale unification possible!

P.Fileviez Pérez, SO, Phys.Rev.D90(2014)



• • • • • • • • • • • •

Gauging Baryon and Lepton Number

Promote global symmetries to local symmetries

$SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_B \otimes U(1)_\ell$

P.Fileviez Pérez, M.B.Wise, Phys.Rev.D82(2010) [arXiv:1002.1754]

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Gauging Baryon and Lepton Number

Promote global symmetries to local symmetries

 $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_B \otimes U(1)_\ell$

P.Fileviez Pérez, M.B.Wise, Phys.Rev.D82(2010) [arXiv:1002.1754]

Theory with right-handed neutrinos not anomaly-free

 $\mathcal{A}_1^{SM}(SU(2)_L^2 \otimes U(1)_B) = 3/2, \quad \mathcal{A}_2^{SM}(U(1)_Y^2 \otimes U(1)_B) = -3/2$ $\mathcal{A}_3^{SM}(SU(2)_L^2 \otimes U(1)_\ell) = 3/2, \quad \mathcal{A}_4^{SM}(U(1)_Y^2 \otimes U(1)_\ell) = -3/2$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Gauging Baryon and Lepton Number

Promote global symmetries to local symmetries

 $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes U(1)_B \otimes U(1)_\ell$

P.Fileviez Pérez, M.B.Wise, Phys.Rev.D82(2010) [arXiv:1002.1754]

Theory with right-handed neutrinos not anomaly-free

 $\mathcal{A}_1^{SM}(SU(2)_L^2 \otimes U(1)_B) = 3/2, \quad \mathcal{A}_2^{SM}(U(1)_Y^2 \otimes U(1)_B) = -3/2$ $\mathcal{A}_3^{SM}(SU(2)_L^2 \otimes U(1)_\ell) = 3/2, \quad \mathcal{A}_4^{SM}(U(1)_Y^2 \otimes U(1)_\ell) = -3/2$

Minimal number of new fermionic degrees of freedom: 8

12. June 2015 3 / 21

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Leptobaryons $\sim (SU(2)_L, U(1)_Y, U(1)_B, U(1)_\ell)$

Leptobaryon model VA

M. Duerr, P. Fileviez Pérez, M. B. Wise Phys.Rev.Lett.110(2013)

[arXiv:1304.0576]

Leptobaryon model A

P. Fileviez Pérez, SO, H. H. Patel Phys.Lett.B735(2014) [arXiv:1403.8029]

$$\begin{split} \Psi_L &\sim (2, \ \pm 1/2, \ -3/2, \ -3/2), \\ \Psi_R &\sim (2, \ \pm 1/2, \ 3/2, \ 3/2), \\ \eta_L &\sim (1, \ \pm 1, \ 3/2, \ 3/2), \\ \eta_R &\sim (1, \ \pm 1, \ -3/2, \ -3/2), \\ \chi_L &\sim (1, \ 0, \ 3/2, \ 3/2), \\ \chi_R &\sim (1, \ 0, \ -3/2, \ -3/2) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Psi_L &\sim (2, \quad 1/2, \quad 3/2, \quad 3/2) \,, \\ \Psi_R &\sim (2, \quad 1/2, \ -3/2, \ -3/2) \,, \\ \Sigma_L &\sim (3, \quad 0, \ -3/2, \ -3/2) \,, \\ \chi_L &\sim (1, \quad 0, \ -3/2, \ -3/2) \,\end{split}$$

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

12. June 2015 4 / 21

Introducing Leptobaryons

New fermions with baryon and lepton number are introduced

$$\Psi_L \sim (2, 1/2, 3/2, 3/2) \qquad \Psi_R \sim (2, 1/2, -3/2, -3/2)$$
$$\Sigma_L \sim (3, 0, -3/2, -3/2) \qquad \chi_L \sim (1, 0, -3/2, -3/2)$$

Introducing Leptobaryons

New fermions with baryon and lepton number are introduced

$$\Psi_L$$
 ~ (2, 1/2, 3/2, 3/2) Ψ_R ~ (2, 1/2, -3/2, -3/2) Σ_L ~ (3, 0, -3/2, -3/2) χ_L ~ (1, 0, -3/2, -3/2)

• Two new scalars needed to break $U(1)_B \times U(1)_L$

$$\mathcal{S}_{
m B} \sim (1,0,3,3)$$
 and $\mathcal{S}_{
m L} \sim (1,0,0,2)$

Introducing Leptobaryons

New fermions with baryon and lepton number are introduced

$$\Psi_L \sim (2, 1/2, 3/2, 3/2) \qquad \Psi_R \sim (2, 1/2, -3/2, -3/2)$$
$$\Sigma_L \sim (3, 0, -3/2, -3/2) \qquad \chi_L \sim (1, 0, -3/2, -3/2)$$

• Two new scalars needed to break $U(1)_B \times U(1)_L$

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{B}} \sim (1,0,3,3)$$
 and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathsf{L}} \sim (1,0,0,2)$

• Here,
$$v_{\rm L} \gg v_{\rm B} \Rightarrow$$
 neglect $U(1)_L$

Stability of the proton

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Gauging \ baryon \ number} \to \mathsf{proton \ stable} \\ \Rightarrow \mathsf{breaking} \ \mathcal{U}(1)_B \to \mathsf{proton \ unstable} \end{array}$

Is the proton unstable after breaking $U(1)_B$?

12. June 2015 6 / 21

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Stability of the proton

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Gauging \ baryon \ number} \to \mathsf{proton \ stable} \\ \Rightarrow \mathsf{breaking} \ \mathit{U}(1)_B \to \mathsf{proton \ unstable} \end{array}$

- Only $\Delta B = \pm 3$ interactions
- Possible higher order operator that is allowed mediating proton decay

$$\frac{c_B}{\Lambda^{15}}(QQQL)^3 S_B^*$$

• Breaking $U(1)_B$ at low scales consistent with measured proton lifetime

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Stability of the proton

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Gauging \ baryon \ number} \to \mathsf{proton \ stable} \\ \Rightarrow \mathsf{breaking} \ \mathit{U}(1)_B \to \mathsf{proton \ unstable} \end{array}$

- Only $\Delta B = \pm 3$ interactions
- Possible higher order operator that is allowed mediating proton decay

$$\frac{c_B}{\Lambda^{15}}(QQQL)^3 S_B^*$$

• Breaking $U(1)_B$ at low scales consistent with measured proton lifetime

No desert is needed!

Sebastian Ohmer (MPIK

12. June 2015 6 / 21

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 三▶ ◆ 三▶ ● ○○○

Leptobaryons as Dark Matter

$$\mathcal{L} = \left[-y_{\Psi} \bar{\Psi}_{R} \Psi_{L} S_{B}^{*} - y_{\chi} \chi_{L} \chi_{L} S_{B} - y_{\Sigma} \mathsf{Tr} \Sigma_{L}^{2} S_{B} \right]$$

<ロト <問ト < 目と < 目と

Leptobaryons as Dark Matter

$$\mathcal{L} = -y_{\Psi}\bar{\Psi}_{R}\Psi_{L}S_{B}^{*} - y_{\chi}\chi_{L}\chi_{L}S_{B} - y_{\Sigma}\text{Tr}\Sigma_{L}^{2}S_{B}$$

$$-\lambda_1 \bar{\Psi}_R H \chi_L - \lambda_2 H^{\dagger} \Psi_L \chi_L - \lambda_3 H^{\dagger} \Sigma_L \Psi_L - \lambda_4 \bar{\Psi}_R \Sigma_L H + \text{h.c.} ,$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

eptobaryons as Dark Matter



12. June 2015 7 / 21

Leptobaryons as Dark Matter

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= - y_{\Psi} \bar{\Psi}_{R} \Psi_{L} S_{B}^{*} - y_{\chi} \chi_{L} \chi_{L} S_{B} - y_{\Sigma} \text{Tr} \Sigma_{L}^{2} S_{B} \\ &- \lambda_{1} \bar{\Psi}_{R} H \chi_{L} - \lambda_{2} H^{\dagger} \Psi_{L} \chi_{L} - \lambda_{3} H^{\dagger} \Sigma_{L} \Psi_{L} - \lambda_{4} \bar{\Psi}_{R} \Sigma_{L} H + \text{h.c.} \,, \end{split}$$

• Breaking $U(1)_B$ induces Z_2 symmetry

$$\begin{split} \Psi_L &\to -\Psi_L \,, \\ \bar{\Psi}_R &\to -\bar{\Psi}_R \,, \\ \Sigma_L &\to -\Sigma_L \,, \\ \chi_L &\to -\chi_L \end{split}$$

Leptobaryons as Dark Matter

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= - y_{\Psi} \bar{\Psi}_{R} \Psi_{L} S_{B}^{*} - y_{\chi} \chi_{L} \chi_{L} S_{B} - y_{\Sigma} \mathsf{Tr} \Sigma_{L}^{2} S_{B} \\ &- \lambda_{1} \bar{\Psi}_{R} H \chi_{L} - \lambda_{2} H^{\dagger} \Psi_{L} \chi_{L} - \lambda_{3} H^{\dagger} \Sigma_{L} \Psi_{L} - \lambda_{4} \bar{\Psi}_{R} \Sigma_{L} H + \text{h.c.} \,, \end{split}$$

• Breaking $U(1)_B$ induces Z_2 symmetry

$$\begin{split} \Psi_L &\to -\Psi_L \,, \\ \bar{\Psi}_R &\to -\bar{\Psi}_R \,, \\ \Sigma_L &\to -\Sigma_L \,, \\ \chi_L &\to -\chi_L \end{split}$$

Lightest leptobaryon automatically stable

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Dark Matter Annihilation



 \blacktriangleright Both velocity suppressed due to Majorana nature of χ

Dark Matter Annihilation



velocity suppressed veleocity + mixing suppressed

• Both velocity suppressed due to Majorana nature of χ



Dark Matter Thermal Abundance



- ▶ Resonant annihilation → light dark matter
- Non-resonant annihilation \rightarrow heavy dark matter

SO, H. H. Patel, [arXiv:1506.00954]

12. June 2015 9 / 21

- Five free parameters: m_{χ} , $m_{Z_{B}}$, α_{B} , m_{S} , θ
- Calculate dark matter abundance in limit $\theta \rightarrow 0$





Sebastian Ohmer (MPIK

12. June 2015 10 / 21

- Five free parameters: m_{χ} , $m_{Z_{B}}$, α_{B} , m_{S} , θ
- Calculate dark matter abundance in limit $\theta \rightarrow 0$







- Constrain mixing angle by direct detection experiments
- Find bound $\theta \lesssim 0.09$
- LHC Higgs signal strength measurements $heta \lesssim 0.35$

Gauged Baryon Number at the LHC

Leptobaryons too heavy to produce at LHC

$$m_\chi\gtrsim m_{Z_{
m B}}\simeq m_S$$

- Test baryonic symmetry breaking mechanism
- Search for Z_B via dijets resonances



▶ Leptophobic ⇒ absence of dilepton resonances

SO, H. H. Patel, [arXiv:1506.00954]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

12. June 2015 11 / 21



► |θ| ≥ 10⁻³ inherits standard model Higgs decays

SO, H. H. Patel, [arXiv:1506.00954]



- ▶ $|\theta| \ge 10^{-3}$ inherits standard model Higgs decays
- ► $|\theta| < 10^{-3}$ loop mediated decays to electroweak standard model gauge bosons

-47 ▶

SO, H. H. Patel, [arXiv:1506.00954]



- ► $|\theta| \ge 10^{-3}$ inherits standard model Higgs decays
- ► |θ| < 10⁻³ loop mediated decays to electroweak standard model gauge bosons
- Leptobaryons leave footprint in loops

SO, H. H. Patel, [arXiv:1506.00954]

12 / 21

12. June 2015



- |θ| ≥ 10⁻³ inherits standard model Higgs decays
- ► |θ| < 10⁻³ loop mediated decays to electroweak standard model gauge bosons
- Leptobaryons leave footprint in loops
- Distinguish models with different fermionic content

SO, H. H. Patel, [arXiv:1506.00954]

12. June 2015 12 / 21



Conclusions

- Standard Model and proton stability as motivation for gauged baryon and lepton number
- Introduce model with minimal fermionic degrees of freedom and minimal number of multiplets (leptobaryons)
- \blacktriangleright Non-resonant dark matter annihilation ($m_\chi\gtrsim m_{Z_{\rm B}}\simeq m_{S})$
- Bound on mixing angle from direct detection constraints ($\theta \lesssim 0.09$)
- Infer leptobaryons via loop decays at LHC

Future work: multicomponent dark matter, electroweak baryogenesis and low scale lepton number violation

Dijet Constraints



Backu

Baryonic Higgs Production



 S_B inherits standard model Higgs production channels

SO, H. H. Patel, [arXiv:1506.00954]

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ ∽QC 12. June 2015 15 / 21

Backu

Baryonic Higgs Production



- ► For small mixing angles (|θ| < 0.02) associated production dominates</p>
- Loop suppressed contributions take over

SO, H. H. Patel, [arXiv:1506.00954]

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

12. June 2015 15 / 21

Baryon Asymmetry with Leptobaryons

- \blacktriangleright Baryon asymmetry in the Universe \rightarrow Leptogenesis
- ► Leptobaryons carry SU(2)_L charge and modify sphalerons



Final baryon asymmetry as function of initial B - L asymmetry

$$B_{f} = \frac{32}{99} \Delta (B - L)_{SM} \approx 0.32 \ \Delta (B - L)_{SM}$$

$$B_{f}^{SM} = \frac{28}{79} \Delta (B - L)_{SM} \approx 0.35 \Delta (B - L)_{SM}$$

$$J.A. Harvey, M.S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D42(1990)$$

$$I = 100 \ A = 100 \ B =$$

Low Scale Unification

Standard Model as low energy effective theory

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{c_L}{\Lambda_L} \ell_L \ell_L H^2 + \frac{c_B}{\Lambda_B^2} q_L q_L q_L \ell_L + \frac{c_F}{\Lambda_F^2} (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L) (\bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu q_L) + \dots,$$

with $\Lambda_L < 10^{14}$ GeV, $\Lambda_B > 10^{15}$ GeV, and $\Lambda_F > 10^4$ TeV

Gauged baryon and lepton number forbid first two operators

Is unification of the gauge couplings at $\Lambda_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^4$ TeV possible?

tian Ohmer (MPIK)	12.	June 2015	17 / 21

Evolution of Gauge Couplings with Leptobaryons



Evolution adding four Generations of Leptobaryons



Unification Scales

n _F	M_U (TeV)	k_1
1	1.24 · 10 ⁹	2.05
2	$4.96\cdot 10^6$	2.67
4	$2.14\cdot 10^4$	3.62
5	$4.58\cdot 10^3$	3.99

Table: Solutions for unification scale M_U with $M_F = 500$ GeV.

P.Fileviez Pérez, SO, Phys.Rev.D90(2014)

stian Ohmer (MPIK)	12. June 2015	20 / 21

Low Scale Unification

