# Status of new physics in rare *B* decays

Presented by David M. Straub

Junior Research Group "New Physics" Excellence Cluster Universe, Munich



### Outline

### 

Experiment vs. Standard Model

Supersymmetry

Partial compositeness

2 
$$B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$$

- Global analyses
- New physics?

12 November 2012 Last updated at 13:30 GMT

### Popular physics theory running out of hiding places



Bv Pallab Ghosh Science correspondent, BBC News

#### Researchers at the Large Hadron Collider have detected one of the rarest particle decays seen in nature.

The finding deals a significant blow to the theory of physics known as supersymmetry.

Many researchers had hoped the LHC would have confirmed this by now.

Supersymmetry, or Susy, has gained popularity as a way to explain some of the inconsistencies in the traditional theory of subatomic physics known as the Standard Model

The new observation, reported at the Hadron Collider Physics conference in Kyoto and outlined in an as-yet unpublished paper, is not consistent with many of the most likely models of Susy.

Prof Chris Parkes, who is the spokesperson for the UK participation in the 

Supersymmetry predicts heavy versions of all the particles we know about - "super particles"

#### Related Stories

Share 🛛 🖌 🔽 🗠

LHC puts supersymmetry in doubt

Higgs results 'get even stronger

#### Top Stories



Cardinals in Rome for ne HIV baby 'cured' by treat Karachi at standstill after S Korea's Park warns ov

#### Features & Ana



Hors Fashid a hors



Leth Would with k











#### New rare decay tightens the screw on supersymmetry

November is a peak tourist time for Kyoto and I can see why. After a rainy first evening, the sky is now clear blue and the autumn leaves are glorious. The news in the hunt for physics beyond the standard model is less cheery

Share <57
Tweet 30
<b>X +1</b> 19
in Share < 0
🖂 Email

Posted by Jon Butterworth Tuesday 13 November 2012 04 32 GMT

(12)

quardian.co.uk

Science

- Cem

Physics on

Science

· Cern

Article history

Jump to comments

Particle physics · Physics

Particle physics · Physics

More from Life and

#### Today's best video



Man disappears down sinkhole i Fire officer describes the scene a disappeared into a sinkhole whic under his bedroom













Kyoto autumn for supersymmetry

Here at the Hadron Collider Physics symposium in Kyoto, three experimental talks on searches for physics beyond the Standard Model have just finished, all with the same result: nothing so far.

#### Large Hadron Collider Data May Cast Doubt On 'Supersymmetry,' CERN Physicists Say

Posted: 11/13/2012 7:55 am EST Updated: 11/13/2012 7:55 am EST



#### MOST POPULAR



FOLLOW: Video, Large Hadron Collider, Cern Lhc, Large Hadron Collider, Lhc New Physics, Lhc Particle, Lhc Standard Model, New Physics, Physics Standard Model, Science News

By: Clara Moskowitz, LiveScience Senior Writer Published: 11/12/2012 06:12 PM EST on LiveScience

#### 30 March 2012: LHCb strongly squeezes SUSY parameter space.

Results presented by the LHCb Collaboration at the <u>Rencontres de Moniond EW</u> and QCD conferences allowed theorists to squeeze strongly the parameters of supersymmetric extensions of the Standard Model (SUSY), the most popular new physics model. The simplest version of this model, called the <u>Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM</u>), predicted the frequencies with which B, and B, mesons decay into pairs of oppositely

charged muons to have values significantly different from the Standard Model (SM) prediction. This is shown in the left image below, which was presented by David Straub (SK) and INFN, Pria) at the Moriond EW conference. The predictions for both frequencies (branching ratios BR) depend on different parameters of the MSSM and cover nearly all of the left image surface. The LHCb results, see <u>5 March 2012</u> news, limit the predictions that are still allowed to a small region around the SM expected value. It is interesting to note that certain combinations of MSSM parameters allow lower BR values than those predicted by the SM. The LHCb measurements of the parameter squees calle for the difference between properties of matter and antimatter for the strange beauty B<sub>g</sub> mesons, see <u>5 March 2012</u> news, also strongly limits the SUSY

parameter space that is still allowed, as shown by the vertical lines on the right image below.



SUSY contributions to observables that can be measured in experiments depend, in general, on more than 100 free parameters. Therefore in order to be able to analyse experimental data physicists are using a simplified model, the Constrained MSSM (CMSSM), with 5 parameters <u>m</u><sub>b</sub>, <u>m<sub>o</sub></u>, <u>A</u>, <u>tan</u> <u>6</u>, <u>and uhl</u>, <u>Nazia Mahmoudi</u> (Clemont-Ferrand and CERN) presented the left image below at the Moriond QCD conference. The

Top §

### Two hot topics

### Rare Particle Discovery Dims Hopes for Exotic Theories



By by Clara Moskowitz, LiveScience Senior Writer LIVE SCIENCE. July 19, 2013 2:12 PM

Physicists have measured an extremely rare particle decay inside the world's largest atom smasher - a discovery that bolsters the leading model of particle physics and leaves little room for undiscovered particles beyond this theory.

Inside the Large Hadron Collider (LHC), a 17-mile-long (27 kilometers) circular tunnel under France and Switzerland, particles are sped up to near the speed of light and then smashed together. The collisions give rise to an array of





This diagram illustrates the collision of two protons inside the Large Hadron Collider, creating a spray ...

Lates

pedestrian particles, as well as some exotic rarities, It is one of these rare particles, called B-sub-s, that physicists recently measured.

amo terview

B-sub-s particles are made of two flavors of quarks: bottom quarks and anti-strange quarks (the antimatter counterparts to strange quarks). They last only a very short while after being created inside the LHC, quickly decaying into lighter particles. Now, physicists say they've observed B-sub-s particles decaying into two particles called muons (cousins of electrons). [Beyond Higgs: 5 Elusive Particles That May Lurk in the Universe]



#### **TPM LIVEWIRE**

### 'Big Bang Machine' Observes Rare Strange Beauty Particle Decay, Bolstering Standard Model



CARL FRANZEN - NOVEMBER 12, 2012, 10:29 AM EST 💿 14

Evidence consistent with the so-called "God Particle" Higgs boson was but one of the "Big Bang Machine" Large Hadron Collider's major discoveries this year: On Monday, the European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), the agency in charge of the collider, which is the world's largest and most powerful particle accelerator, announced at a conference in Tokyo that it had observed one of the rarest ever predicted particle decays -- a strange beauty quark decaying into a muon and antimuon -- which is only expected to occur three times in every billion decays, according to the Standard Model, the prevailing particle physics theory that explains the laws of the universe.

"This measurement is a sort of checkup of the Standard Model and today it appears healthier



Frequent 'Fox & Fri 37 minute doesn't th conservat

Conserva Gun 'Hyp 39 minute call top Ho "hypocrite Read More

Ratings F Premiere

about 2 hi sparked b necessaril

#### Christie 1

about 2 h sought to in his state

### Discovery of rare decay narrows space for new physics

After a quarter of a century of searching, physicists have discovered a rare particle decay that gives them an indirect way to test models of new physics.

Researchers on the CMS and LHCb collaborations at the Large Hadron Collider at CERN announced today at the EPS-HEP Conference in Stockholm, Sweden, that their findings agreed closely with the Standard Model of particle physics, ruling out several models that predict new particles.

In this result, physicists showed for the first time enough evidence to declare the discovery of a decay of a particle made up of two kinds of quarks—bottom quarks and anti-strange quarks—into a pair of particles called muons.

The U.S. Department of Energy's Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory serves as the U.S. hub for more than 1,000 scientists and engineers who participate in the CMS experiment. DOE and the National Science Foundation support involvement by about 2,000 scientists and students from U.S. institutions in the LHC experiments CMS, ATLAS, LHCb and ALICE—the vast majority participating at their home institutions via a powerful broadband network that ships data from CERN.

"This is a victory for the Standard Model," said CMS physicist Joel Butler of Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory. "But we know the Standard Model is incomplete, so we keep trying to find things that disagree with it."

The Standard Model predicts that the particle, called B-sub-s, will decay into two



#### 9 August 2013: LHCb results hint at new physics?

The LHCb Collaboration has just published the results of a new analysis of the  $0^0$ - $\kappa^{+}0^1\mu^{+}$  decay, with  $k^{+}0$ - $\kappa^{+}n^{-}$ . These results were presented three weeks ago at the European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics, EPSHEP, Stockholm, Sweden, and triggered very interesting discussions. The analysis of the  $0^0$ - $\kappa^{+}\mu^{+}$  decay is considered as a very promising channel to search for new physics effects, see the <u>14 June 2013</u> news for an introduction. A contribution from new physics particles could modify the angular distributions of the decay products. LHCb physicists have studied different variables related to these angular distributions as functions of the µ<sup>+</sup>µ<sup>+</sup> <u>Invariant mass</u> squared. In previously published results, no significant deviation from the Standard Model prediction has been found, see the <u>13 March 2012</u> news. In order to increase sensitivity to new physics effects LHCb physicists started to analyse additional observables (the so called P<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup> observables) which are considered theoretically clean. This means that they are less sensitive than other observables labeled Pa<sub>1</sub><sup>+</sup>, P<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, P<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and P<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, have been studied.



The image shows the distribution of the P5' observable as a functio

of the  $\mu^+\mu^-$  invariant mass squared  $q^2$ . The black data points are compared with the Standard Model prediction. A 3.7c deviation of data above the prediction is observed for the third bin corresponding to  $q^2$  between 4.3 and 8.68 GeV²/c<sup>4</sup>. Taking into account that this deviation is observed in one out of 24 bins investigated in this work (the so-called look-elsewhere effect), the significance of the deviation becomes 2.8c.

click the image for higher resolution

These new results are of great interest to theorists, who are combining results from several measurements to search for

#### A Four-Sigma Evidence Of New Physics In Rare B Decays Found By LHCb, And Its Interpretation By Tommaso Dorigo | July 24th 2013 01:31 PM | 5 comments | B Print | D E-mail | Track Comments STRSS 🕒 Share / Save 📑 🖕 🕄 ... 🕑 Tweet 📑 Gefäl Today I received news of an interesting **A Ouantum Diaries** measurement of angular distributions of Survivor the decay products in the rare decay of the B meson to a K\* and a muon pair -MORE ARTICLES one of the specialties of the LHCb Tommaso One more view at Italy's 1 escape of brains collaboration, which has more horse-Dorigo Aitzaz, 14 Years, Hero power in some of these low-energy The Ouote Of The Week measurements than ATLAS and CMS. Search This Blog The Nonsensical Hypothesis

#### As I described in the two previous posts,

(where I discussed the recent measurements of the Bs->µµ decay and the Bd one) when you look at rare decays of heavy mesons which occur within the Standard Model thanks to quantum loops of virtual particles, you get sensitive to possible new physics effects - new particles that circulating in those quantum loops may produce a visible deviation of the decay

Of Ouarks ABOUT TOMMASO I am an experimental particle physicist working

with the CMS experiment at CERN. In my spare time I

# First experimental signs of a New Physics beyond the Standard Model

Jul 31, 2013



This is Joaquim Matias (left) and Javier Virto at the Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona. Credit: UAB

The Standard Model, which has given the most complete explanation up to now of the universe, has gaps, and is unable to explain phenomena like dark matter or gravitational interaction between particles. Physicsits are therefore seeking a more fundamental theory that they call "New Physics", but up to now there has been no direct proof of its existence, only indirect observation of dark matter, as deduced, among other things, from the movement of the galaxies.



### Outline

### 

Experiment vs. Standard Model

- Supersymmetry
- Partial compositeness

### 2 $B ightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$

- Global analyses
- New physics?

### $B_s ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ in the SM

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm eff} = -rac{4}{\sqrt{2}} rac{G_F}{16\pi^2} rac{e^2}{V_{tb}} V_{ts}^* C_{10} O_{10} + {\rm h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{10} = (ar{s}_L \gamma_\mu b_L) (ar{\ell} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \ell)$$



- Flavour-changing neutral current
  - Loop suppresion
  - CKM suppresion
- B<sub>s</sub> is a pseudoscalar
  - Helicity suppression,  $m_{\mu}^2/m_B^2$
  - Only 1 operator no γ penguin or vector operator

 $\Rightarrow$  One of the rarest *B* decays!

### History: search for $B_s ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



- Hope for order-of-magnitude enhancement was disappointed
- Precision of SM prediction becomes crucial

### Computing the branching ratio

Schematically:

 $\mathsf{BR} \propto au_{\mathit{B_s}} \left| \mathit{V_{tb}} \mathit{V_{ts}^*} \mathit{C_{10}} \left\langle \mu \mu \left| \mathit{O_{10}} \right| \mathit{B_s} 
ight
angle 
ight|^2$ 



 $\langle 0|ar{s}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}b|ar{B}_{s}(
ho)
angle=i
ho_{\mu}f_{B_{s}}$ 



### Some subtle points

- Bremsstrahlung emission (soft final-state photons): to be taken care of by experiment [Buras et al. 1208.0934]
- Direct emission of photons can be suppressed by appropriate invariant mass cut and is then negligible [Buras et al. 1208.0934, Aditya et al. 1212.4166]
- ►  $B_s$  mesons oscillate & the 2 mass eigenstates have quite different width:  $y_s = \Delta \Gamma_s / (2\Gamma_s) = (8 + 1)\%$ 
  - Difference between the (flavour-averaged) BR at t = 0 and the (flavour averaged) time-integrated BR [De Bruyn et al. 1204.1735]

$$\overline{\mathsf{BR}} = rac{1 + \mathcal{A}^{\mu\mu}_{\Delta\Gamma} y_s}{1 - y_s^2} \, \mathsf{BR}$$

•  $A^{\mu\mu}_{\Delta\Gamma} = 1$  in the SM, potentially sensitive to NP [De Bruyn et al. 1204.1737] •  $\overline{BR}_{SM} \approx 1.09 BR_{SM}$ 

### **Recent progress in the SM calculation**

- f<sub>Bs</sub> computed on the lattice to 2% precision [HPQCD, FLAG]
- ► NLO electroweak corrections to C<sub>10</sub> Uncertainty in the BR: 7% → 1% [Bobeth et al. 1311.1348]
- ► NNLO QCD corrections to C<sub>10</sub> Uncertainty in the BR: 2% → 0.2% [Hermann et al. 1311.1347]

 $B_{\rm s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ B \rightarrow \kappa^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

### State of the art [Bobeth et al. 1311.0903]

$$\overline{\mathrm{BR}}(B_{\mathrm{s}} 
ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)_{\mathrm{SM}} = (3.65 \pm 0.23) imes 10^{-9}$$



cf.: 
$$\overline{\mathsf{BR}}(B_s o \mu^+ \mu^-)_{\mathsf{LHCb+CMS}} = (2.9 \pm 0.7) imes 10^{-9}$$

### ${\it B_s} ightarrow \mu \mu$ vs. ${\it B_d} ightarrow \mu \mu$

In the SM (and all models with Minimal Flavour Violation), BRs differ only by CKM elements and overall factor

$$\frac{\mathsf{BR}(B_{s} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{\mathsf{BR}(B_{d} \to \mu^{+}\mu^{-})} = \frac{\tau_{B_{s}}f_{B_{s}}^{2}m_{B_{s}}|V_{ts}|^{2}}{\tau_{B_{d}}f_{B_{d}}^{2}m_{B_{d}}|V_{td}|^{2}}$$

$$\overline{\mathsf{BR}}(B_d o \mu^+ \mu^-)_{\mathsf{SM}} = (1.06 \pm 0.09) imes 10^{-10}$$

cf.: 
$$\overline{\mathsf{BR}}(B_d o \mu^+ \mu^-)_{\mathsf{LHCb+CMS}} = (3.3^{+1.6}_{-1.4}) imes 10^{-10}$$

### $B_d ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ experiment vs. SM



• 2.4 $\sigma$  above 0, 1.6 $\sigma$  above SM. If NP: no MFV!

### Outline

### 1 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Experiment vs. Standard Model

Supersymmetry

Partial compositeness

### $2 \ B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$

- Global analyses
- New physics?

 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ B \rightarrow \kappa^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

### $B_s ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ beyond the SM

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm eff} = -rac{4 \ G_F}{\sqrt{2}} rac{e^2}{16\pi^2} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \sum_i C_i O_i + C_i' O_i' + {
m h.c.}$$

$$O_{10}^{(\prime)} = (\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_{L(R)}b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\ell)$$
$$O_{S}^{(\prime)} = \frac{m_{b}}{m_{B_{s}}}(\bar{s}P_{R(L)}b)(\bar{\ell}\ell)$$
$$O_{P}^{(\prime)} = \frac{m_{b}}{m_{B_{s}}}(\bar{s}P_{R(L)}b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma_{5}\ell)$$

$$BR(B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-) \propto \left[ |S|^2 \left( 1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{m_{B_s}^2} \right) + |P|^2 \right]$$
$$S = \frac{m_{B_s}}{2} C_S \qquad P = \frac{m_{B_s}}{2} C_P + m_\mu C_{10}$$

### Higgs couplings in the MSSM: tree level

At tree level, the MSSM is a type-II 2HDM

$$-\mathcal{L}_{Y} = Y_{u}^{ij} H_{u} \bar{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R}^{j} + Y_{d}^{ij} H_{d} \bar{q}_{L}^{i} d_{R}^{j} + \text{h.c}$$
$$m_{u} = v_{u} Y_{u} \qquad m_{d} = v_{d} Y_{d}$$

Higgs couplings are diagonal in the mass basis.

#### $B_{\rm s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ B \rightarrow \kappa^* \mu^+ \mu^-$

### Higgs couplings in the MSSM: loop level

- At 1-loop level, non-holomorphic couplings are induced
- MSSM becomes a type-III 2HDM

$$-\Delta \mathcal{L}_{Y} = \epsilon_{u}^{ij} H_{d}^{*} \bar{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R}^{j} + \epsilon_{d}^{ij} H_{u}^{*} \bar{q}_{L}^{j} d_{R}^{j} + \text{h.c.}$$
$$m_{u} = v_{u} Y_{u} + v_{d} \epsilon_{u} \qquad \qquad m_{d} = v_{d} Y_{d} + v_{u} \epsilon_{d}$$

- ► tan  $\beta$ -enhanced correction to down-type masses and Higgs couplings
- Flavour-changing Higgs couplings in the mass basis

#### $B_{\rm s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ B \rightarrow \kappa^* \mu^+ \mu^-$

### Higgs couplings in the MSSM: loop level

- At 1-loop level, non-holomorphic couplings are induced
- MSSM becomes a type-III 2HDM

$$-\Delta \mathcal{L}_{Y} = \epsilon_{u}^{ij} H_{d}^{*} \bar{q}_{L}^{i} u_{R}^{j} + \epsilon_{d}^{ij} H_{u}^{*} \bar{q}_{L}^{j} d_{R}^{j} + \text{h.c.}$$
$$m_{u} = v_{u} Y_{u} + v_{d} \epsilon_{u} \qquad m_{d} = v_{d} Y_{d} + v_{u} \epsilon_{d}$$

- ► tan  $\beta$ -enhanced correction to down-type masses and Higgs couplings
- Flavour-changing Higgs couplings in the mass basis
- $\bar{s}_L^i b_R H^0$  coupling  $\propto \tan^2 \beta$

$$b_{R} \xrightarrow{\tilde{H}_{d}} \tilde{t}_{L} \xrightarrow{v_{u}A_{t}} \tilde{t}_{R}$$

### (Pseudo-)scalar operators in the MSSM



$$egin{aligned} C_S &pprox - C_P \propto rac{ an^3 eta}{M_A^2} \ C_S' &pprox C_P' \propto rac{ an^3 eta}{M_A^2} \end{aligned}$$

David Straub (Universe Cluster)

<ロト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 三 の < ○</p>

### (Pseudo-)scalar operators in the MSSM with MFV

Even for a degenerate spectrum: Higgsino contribution



- Constructive interference for  $sgn(\mu A_t) = -1$
- ▶ NB: in the CMSSM,  $A_t \approx 0.8A_0 2.2m_{1/2}$
- Subleading Wino and gluino contributions also in MFV

### (Pseudo-)scalar operators in the MSSM without MFV

$$C_{S}^{\tilde{W}} \propto (\delta_{d}^{LL})_{32} \frac{\tan \beta^{3}}{M_{A}^{2}} \frac{M_{2}\mu}{m_{\tilde{t}}^{2}} f_{\tilde{W}} \left( \frac{|\mu|^{2}}{m_{\tilde{t}}^{2}}, \frac{|M_{2}|^{2}}{m_{\tilde{t}}^{2}} \right)$$
$$C_{S}^{\tilde{g}} \propto -(\delta_{d}^{LL})_{32} \frac{\tan \beta^{3}}{M_{A}^{2}} \frac{M_{3}\mu}{m_{\tilde{b}}^{2}} f_{\tilde{g}} \left( \frac{|M_{3}|^{2}}{m_{\tilde{b}}^{2}} \right)$$
$$C_{S}^{\prime \tilde{g}} \propto -(\delta_{d}^{RR})_{32} \frac{\tan \beta^{3}}{M_{A}^{2}} \frac{M_{3}\mu}{m_{\tilde{b}}^{2}} f_{\tilde{g}} \left( \frac{|M_{3}|^{2}}{m_{\tilde{b}}^{2}} \right)$$

- $(\delta^{LL}_d)_{32}$  strongly constrained by  $b 
  ightarrow s\gamma$
- $(\delta^{RR}_d)_{32}$  constrained by  $b o s\gamma$  and  $\Delta M_s$

### **Complementarity with Higgs searches**



[Altmannshofer et al. 1211.1976]

$m_{\tilde{q}} = 2 \text{ TeV},$	$6M_1$	$= 3M_2$	$= M_3$	= 1.5	TeV
Scenario	(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)
$\mu$ [TeV]	1	4	-1.5	1	-1.5
$sign(A_t)$	+	+	+	-	-

- Large tan β + light Higgs spectrum disfavoured
- Direct Higgs searches more constraining for tan  $\beta \lesssim 25$
- Milder bounds for µA<sub>t</sub> > 0 (destructive interference with SM)

### Finally: C<sub>10</sub> in SUSY

▶ Only way to get sizable C<sub>10</sub> in SUSY: Z penguin with chargino loop



- At most 25% effect in BR
- ► NB: C'<sub>10</sub> negligible throughout parameter space

### Outline

### 1 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Experiment vs. Standard Model

Supersymmetry

Partial compositeness

Global analyses

New physics?

David Straub (Universe Cluster)

<ロト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト : 三 : のへで</p>

### **Partial compositeness**

- Solving the hierarchy problem without SUSY: the Higgs is composite
- Successful theory of flavour requires quarks to be partially composite



### **Partial compositeness**

- Solving the hierarchy problem without SUSY: the Higgs is composite
- Successful theory of flavour requires quarks to be partially composite



### The two-site picture

[Contino et al. hep-ph/0612180]

A simple 4D theory realizing the partial compositeness paradigm



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{s} &= -\bar{Q}_{L} \ m_{Q} \ Q_{R} - \bar{U}_{L} \ m_{U} \ U_{R} - \bar{D}_{L} \ m_{D} \ D_{R} \\ &+ \bar{Q}_{L} \mathcal{H} \ Y_{U} \ U_{R} + \bar{Q}_{L} \mathcal{H} \ Y_{D} \ D_{R} + \text{h.c} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{mix}} &= \lambda_{L} \ \bar{q}_{L} Q_{R} + \lambda_{Ru} \ \bar{U}_{L} u_{R} + \lambda_{Rd} \ \bar{D}_{L} d_{R} \end{aligned}$$

$$q^{ ext{phys}} = c_L \ q + s_L \ Q \qquad rac{s_L}{c_L} = rac{\lambda_L}{m_Q} \qquad m_{q^{ ext{phys}}} = rac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \, \mathbf{Y} \, s_L \, s_R \qquad ext{etc.}$$

### Z penguins from partial compositeness

After EWSB, composite-elementary mixing leads to correlated tree-level contributions to flavour-changing *Z* couplings . . .



... and  $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ 



### Numerical analysis of Z penguins in 3 models

- ► Two choices for the fermion content (irreps of  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X$ ) to protect *T* parameter and  $Z \to b\bar{b}$ 
  - ▶  $(2,2)_{2/3} + (2,2)_{-1/3} + (1,1)_{2/3} + (1,1)_{-1/3}$  ("bidoublet model")
  - $(2,2)_{2/3} + (1,3)_{2/3} + (3,1)_{2/3}$  ("triplet model")
- Two choices for the flavour structure
  - ► flavour anarchy
  - U(2)<sup>3</sup> flavour symmetry

see [Barbieri et al. 1203.4218, Barbieri et al. 1211.5085, Straub 1302.4651] and ref. therein

#### $B_{\rm s} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ B \rightarrow \kappa^* \mu^+ \mu^-$

### Pattern of flavour-changing Z couplings

- triplet model:  $P_{LR}$  forbids  $g_L^{ij}$
- bidoublet model:  $P_C$  forbids  $g_B^{ij}$
- $U(2)^3$  forbids  $g_R^{ij}$

		K		$B_{d,s}$		D	
		L	R	L	R	L	R
⊘	triplet		$\mathbb{C}$		$\mathbb{C}$	$\mathbb{C}$	
	bidoublet	$\mathbb{C}$		$\mathbb{C}$		$\mathbb{C}$	
$U(2)^{3}_{LC}$	triplet					$\mathbb{R}$	
	bidoublet	$\mathbb{R}$		$\mathbb{C}$		$\mathbb{R}$	

### ${\it B_s} ightarrow \mu \mu$ vs. ${\it B_d} ightarrow \mu \mu$



Partial compositeness:

triplet + anarchy bidoublet + anarchy bidoublet +  $U(2)^3$ 

[Straub 1302.4651]

### ${\it B_s} ightarrow \mu \mu$ vs. ${\it B_d} ightarrow \mu \mu$



Partial compositeness: triplet + anarchy bidoublet + anarchy bidoublet +  $U(2)^3$ 

[Straub 1302.4651]

- Experiments start to probe interesting region
- MFV-like correlation in U(2)<sup>3</sup>

### Outline

### 1 $B_s ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Experiment vs. Standard Model

Supersymmetry

Partial compositeness

 $(2 B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-)$ 

Global analyses

New physics?

$${\it B} 
ightarrow {\it K}^* \mu^+ \mu^-$$



- exclusive semi-leptonic decay probing the b 
  ightarrow s transition
- 4-body decay: angular distribution with many observables sensitive to NP
- "self-tagging": sensitive to CP violation

### ${\it B} ightarrow {\it K}^* ( ightarrow {\it K} \pi) \mu^+ \mu^-$ angular decay distribution

$$\frac{d^{4}\Gamma}{dq^{2} d\cos\theta_{I} d\cos\theta_{K^{*}} d\phi} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \times \begin{cases} l_{1}^{s} \sin^{2}\theta_{K^{*}} + l_{1}^{c} \cos^{2}\theta_{K^{*}} + (l_{2}^{s} \sin^{2}\theta_{K^{*}} + l_{2}^{c} \cos^{2}\theta_{K^{*}}) \cos 2\theta_{I} \\ + l_{3} \sin^{2}\theta_{K^{*}} \sin^{2}\theta_{I} \cos 2\phi + l_{4} \sin 2\theta_{K^{*}} \sin 2\theta_{I} \cos \phi \\ + l_{5} \sin 2\theta_{K^{*}} \sin\theta_{I} \cos\phi + (l_{6}^{s} \sin^{2}\theta_{K^{*}} + l_{6}^{c} \cos^{2}\theta_{K^{*}}) \cos\theta_{I} \\ + l_{7} \sin 2\theta_{K^{*}} \sin\theta_{I} \sin\phi + l_{8} \sin 2\theta_{K^{*}} \sin 2\theta_{I} \sin\phi + l_{9} \sin^{2}\theta_{K^{*}} \sin^{2}\theta_{I} \sin 2\phi \end{cases}$$

Full set of observables: 12 angular coefficient functions  $I_i(q^2)$ 

### ${\it B} ightarrow {\it K}^* ( ightarrow {\it K} \pi) \mu^+ \mu^-$ angular decay distribution

$$\frac{d^4\Gamma}{dq^2 d\cos\theta_I d\cos\theta_{K^*} d\phi} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \times \left\{ + l_2^s \sin^2\theta_{K^*} (3 + \cos 2\theta_I) - l_2^c 2\cos^2\theta_{K^*} \sin^2\theta_I + l_3 \sin^2\theta_{K^*} \sin^2\theta_I \cos 2\phi + l_4 \sin 2\theta_{K^*} \sin 2\theta_I \cos\phi + l_5 \sin 2\theta_{K^*} \sin\theta_I \cos\phi + l_6 \sin^2\theta_{K^*} \cos\theta_I + l_5 \sin^2\theta_{K^*} \sin^2\theta_I \cos\phi + l_6 \sin^2\theta_{K^*} \cos\phi_I + l_6 \sin^2\theta_{K^*} \sin\phi_I + l_6 \sin^2\theta_{K^*} \cos\phi_I + l_6 \sin^2\theta_{K^*} \sin\phi_I + l_6 \sin^2\theta_{K^*} \sin\phi_I + l_6 \sin^2\theta_{K^*} + l_6$$

 $+ l_7 \sin 2\theta_{K^*} \sin \theta_I \sin \phi + l_8 \sin 2\theta_{K^*} \sin 2\theta_I \sin \phi + l_9 \sin^2 \theta_{K^*} \sin^2 \theta_I \sin 2\phi \Big\}$ 

- Full set of observables: 12 angular coefficient functions  $I_i(q^2)$
- Neglecting lepton mass, scalar/tensor operators: 9 independent l<sub>i</sub>(q<sup>2</sup>)

### ${\it B} ightarrow {\it K}^* ( ightarrow {\it K} \pi) \mu^+ \mu^-$ angular decay distribution

$$\frac{d^{4}\bar{\Gamma}}{dq^{2} d\cos\theta_{I} d\cos\theta_{K^{*}} d\phi} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} +\bar{l}_{2}^{s}\sin^{2}\theta_{K^{*}} (3+\cos2\theta_{I}) - \bar{l}_{2}^{c}2\cos^{2}\theta_{K^{*}} \sin^{2}\theta_{I} \\ +\bar{l}_{3}\sin^{2}\theta_{K^{*}} \sin^{2}\theta_{I}\cos2\phi + \bar{l}_{4}\sin2\theta_{K^{*}}\sin2\theta_{I}\cos\phi \\ -\bar{l}_{5}\sin2\theta_{K^{*}}\sin\theta_{I}\cos\phi - \bar{l}_{6}\sin^{2}\theta_{K^{*}}\cos\theta_{I} \\ +\bar{l}_{7}\sin2\theta_{K^{*}}\sin\theta_{I}\sin\phi - \bar{l}_{8}\sin2\theta_{K^{*}}\sin2\theta_{I}\sin\phi - \bar{l}_{9}\sin^{2}\theta_{K^{*}}\sin^{2}\theta_{I}\sin2\phi \right\}$$

- Full set of observables: 12 angular coefficient functions  $I_i(q^2)$
- ▶ Neglecting lepton mass, scalar/tensor operators: 9 independent  $l_i(q^2)$
- CP-conjugate decay: another 9 independent functions  $\overline{l}_i(q^2)$

### **Basis of observables**

- consider sums and differences of *l<sub>i</sub>*, *l<sub>i</sub>* to separate CP violating and CP conserving NP effects
- normalize to CP-averaged decay rate to reduce th. & exp. uncertainties

**CP-averaged angular coefficients** 

$$S_{i}^{(a)}(q^{2}) = \left(I_{i}^{(a)}(q^{2}) + ar{I}_{i}^{(a)}(q^{2})
ight) \left/rac{d(\Gamma + ar{\Gamma})}{dq^{2}}
ight.$$

**CP** asymmetries

$$\mathcal{A}_i^{(a)}(q^2) = \left( I_i^{(a)}(q^2) - ar{I}_i^{(a)}(q^2) 
ight) \left/ rac{d(\Gamma + ar{\Gamma})}{dq^2} 
ight.$$

[Kruger et al. hep-ph/9907386, Bobeth et al. 0805.2525, Altmannshofer et al. 0811.1214]

David Straub (Universe Cluster)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 ・ のへで

### Experimental status of angular observables

Observable	NP-sensitive?	measured			
$d BR/dq^2$	yes	Belle, BaBar, CDF, LHCb, CMS			
$F_L = -S_2^c$	yes	Belle, BaBar, CDF, LHCb, ATLAS, CMS			
$S_3$	yes	CDF, LHCb			
$S_4$	yes	LHCb			
$S_5$	yes	LHCb			
$A_{FB} = rac{3}{4}S_6$	yes	Belle, BaBar, CDF, LHCb, ATLAS, CMS			
$S_7$	no	LHCb			
$S_8$	no	LHCb			
$S_9$	no	LHCb			
A <sub>CP</sub>	no	CDF, LHCb			
A <sub>38</sub>	7,8	-			
$A_9$	yes	CDF, LHCb			

red = updated this year

### **Kinematical regions**



▶ low  $q^2 \lesssim 6 \text{ GeV}^2$ : expansion in  $m_{K^*}/E_{K^*}$ 

- ▶ intermediate  $q^2 \in [6, 15]$  GeV<sup>2</sup>:  $c\bar{c}$  resonances,  $B \to K^*\psi(\to \mu^+\mu^-)$
- high  $q^2 \gtrsim 15 \text{ GeV}^2$ : expansion in  $E_{K^*}/\sqrt{q^2}$

### Alternative bases of observables

To reduce theory uncertainties related to form factors, one can change the normalization of the  $S_i^{(a)}$  and  $A_i^{(a)}$  and find "optimized" observables for low *or* high  $q^2$ 

 $P_4' = \frac{2 S_4}{\sqrt{F_L(1 - F_L)}}$  $P_5' = \frac{S_5}{\sqrt{F_L(1 - F_L)}}$ 

Low  $a^2$ 

[Descotes-Genon et al. 1303.5794]

 $H_T^{(1)} = rac{2 S_4}{\sqrt{F_L(1 - F_L - S_3)}} \ H_T^{(2)} = rac{S_5}{\sqrt{F_L(1 - F_L + S_3)}}$ 

High  $a^2$ 

[Bobeth et al. 1006.5013]

. . .

 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ B \rightarrow \kappa^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

### SM vs. data: F<sub>L</sub> [Altmannshofer and DS 1308.1501]



1.9 $\sigma$  tension at low  $q^2$ 

 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ B \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

### SM vs. data: S<sub>4</sub> [Altmannshofer and DS 1308.1501]



2.8 $\sigma$  tension at high  $q^2$ 

 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^ B \rightarrow \kappa^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

### SM vs. data: S<sub>5</sub> [Altmannshofer and DS 1308.1501]



2.4 $\sigma$  tension at low  $q^2$ 

### Global analysis of $b \rightarrow s$ transitions

$$O_7^{(\prime)} = \frac{m_b}{e} (\bar{s}\sigma_{\mu\nu} P_{R(L)}b) F^{\mu\nu} \quad O_9^{(\prime)} = (\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu} P_{L(R)}b) (\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\ell) \quad O_{10}^{(\prime)} = (\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu} P_{L(R)}b) (\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\ell)$$

- ▶ b → s operators contribute to many observables measured by B factories and/or at LHC
- global analysis necessary

Decay	$C_{7}^{(\prime)}$	$C_{9}^{(\prime)}$	$C_{10}^{(\prime)}$
$B  ightarrow X_s \gamma$	Х		
${\it B}  ightarrow {\it K}^* \gamma$	Х		
$B  ightarrow X_{s} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$	Х	Х	Х
$B  ightarrow K \mu^+ \mu^-$	Х	Х	Х
$B  ightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$	Х	Х	Х
$B_s  ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$			Х

<ロト <回ト < 三ト < 三ト = 三 の < で

### Fitting C<sub>7</sub> and C<sub>9</sub>



Although the S<sub>5</sub> and F<sub>L</sub> tensions could be solved with NP in C<sub>7</sub> or C<sub>9</sub> only, this is strongly disfavoured by the bounds from BR(B → X<sub>s</sub>γ) and BR(B → Kµ<sup>+</sup>µ<sup>-</sup>), respectively

 ${
m B}_{
m s} 
ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \qquad {
m B} 
ightarrow {
m K}^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

## Fitting $C_9$ and $C'_{9,10}$



### Fit results and $\Delta \chi^2$

Scenario	$C_7^{\sf NP}$	$C'_7$	$C_9^{\sf NP}$	$C'_9$	$C_{10}^{\prime}$	$\Delta\chi^2$ (SM)
(7)	$-0.07 \pm 0.04$					3.4
(9)			-0.8±0.3			4.3
(77')	$-0.06 \pm 0.04$	$-0.1 \pm 0.1$				4.7
(97)	$-0.05 \pm 0.04$		-0.6±0.3			6.0
(97′)		$-0.1 \pm 0.1$	-0.7±0.3			5.5
(99′)			-1.0±0.3	$+1.0 \pm 0.5$		8.3
(910')			-1.0±0.3		$-0.4 \pm 0.2$	7.0
Real	-0.03	-0.11	-0.9	+0.7	-0.2	10.8

### The " $B ightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ anomaly"

- ► There is a tension in some angular observables B → K<sup>\*</sup>µ<sup>+</sup>µ<sup>-</sup> that could be due to new physics (or statistical fluctuation, or underestimated theory errors)
- If due to NP, it requires a simultaneous contribution to the Wilson coefficients C<sub>9</sub> and C'<sub>9</sub> in order not to violate constraints from other processes
- Which actual NP model could explain such an effect?

### 1st try: MSSM



By systematically studying all relevant contributions, one can show that the effect in  $C_9$  and  $C'_9$  is negligible throughout the MSSM parameter space, in particular once LHC direct bounds and other flavour constraints ( $B_s$  mixing) taken into account

David Straub (Universe Cluster)

<ロト < 回 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 三 の < ④ <

### 2nd try: partial compositeness



- $C_9^{(\prime)}$  are generated at tree level from vector resonance exchange
- also here, contributions numerically negligible

### Solving the anomaly with a Z' boson



$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \supset rac{g_2}{2c_W} \Big[ar{s} \gamma^\mu (oldsymbol{g}_{bs}^L P_L + oldsymbol{g}_{bs}^R P_R) b + ar{\mu} \gamma^\mu (oldsymbol{g}_\mu^V + \gamma_5 oldsymbol{g}_\mu^A) \mu \Big] Z'_\mu \ , \ & \left\{ C_9^{\mathsf{NP}}, C'_9 
ight\} \propto rac{m_Z^2}{m_{Z'}^2} \Big\{ (oldsymbol{g}_{bs}^L) (oldsymbol{g}_\mu^V), (oldsymbol{g}_{bs}^R) (oldsymbol{g}_\mu^V) \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

[Descotes-Genon et al. 1307.5683, Altmannshofer and DS 1308.1501, Gauld et al. 1308.1959, Buras and Girrbach 1309.2466, Gauld et al. 1310.1082, Buras et al. 1311.6729]

David Straub (Universe Cluster)

### Simultaneous contribution to B<sub>s</sub> mixing



$$\frac{\Delta M_s}{\Delta M_s^{\rm SM}} - 1 \propto \frac{m_Z^2}{m_{Z'}^2} \Big[ (g_{bs}^L)^2 + (g_{bs}^R)^2 - 9.7 (g_{bs}^L) (g_{bs}^R) \Big]$$

► The requirement to solve the  $B \to K^* \mu \mu$  anomaly + the  $\Delta M_s$  constraint lead to an upper bound on  $M_{Z'}$ :

$$egin{aligned} C_9^{\mathsf{NP}} &= -1, C_9' &= 1 & \Rightarrow M_{Z'} < g_\mu^V imes 0.9 \, ext{TeV} \ C_9^{\mathsf{NP}} &= -1.5 & \Rightarrow M_{Z'} < g_\mu^V imes 2.0 \, ext{TeV} \end{aligned}$$

### Conclusions

- 1.  $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  closing in on the SM
  - Not there yet! After several improvements, theory unc. well below exp.; exp. still statistics dominated
  - Implications for SUSY: large tan  $\beta$  with light Higgses disfavoured
  - Implications for partial compositeness: starts to probe the parameter space
- 2.  $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$  showing a few tensions with the SM
  - If due to NP, requires contribution to  $C_9$  and  $C'_9$
  - Impossible to explain in SUSY or partial compositeness
  - Only known explanation: light flavour-changing Z'