HIGGS-DILATON COSMOLOGY Universality vs. Criticality

Javier Rubio



In collaboration with:

F. Bezrukov J. Garcia-Bellido G. Karananas M. Shaposhnikov D. Zenhaüsern

MPIK, Heidelberg 20/10/2014











... and wants to remain

"SIMPLE"





The resistance of the SM

re·sis·tance <a>(rī-zīs'təns)

Biology

- a. The capacity of an organism to defend itself against a disease.
- b. The capacity of an organism or a tissue to withstand the effects of a harmful environmental agent.

..like Odysseus between Scylla and Charybdis





..like Odysseus between Scylla and Charybdis



"incidit in scyllam cupiens vitare charybdim" "he runs on Scylla, wishing to avoid Charybdis"

On the edge of stability



Non trivial interplay between top Yukawa and Higgs self-coupling....evil *Scylla* hidden in error bars Stable or metaestable? An experimental rather than theoretical issue ...

Is there a reason for that?M. Lindner, M. Sher, and H. W. Zaglauer, Phys.Lett., B228, 139 (1989), C. Froggatt and H. B. Nielsen, Phys.Lett.,
B368,96 (1996), M. Shaposhnikov and C. Wetterich, Phys.Lett., B683, 196 (2010), M. Veltman, Acta Phys.Polon.,
B12, 437 (1981), B683, 196 (2010), M. Holthausen, K.S.Lim, M.Lindner and references therein....Gravitational corrections?Lalak,Lewicki, Olszewki arXiv 14.02.3826, Branchina, Massina Phys.Rev.Lett. 111 (2013) 241801 etc...

Messages from sky and Earth

1.The era of scalars has begun

2. No hints of NP in the vicinity of SM SM could be valid till the Planck scale

3. Hierarchy problem should be reconsidered

Main assumptions of HDM

<u>1. One field to rule them "all"</u> *Identify the Higgs with the inflaton*

"Frustra fit per plura quod potest fieri per pauciora". William of Ockham, Summa Totius Logicae

2. Physicists' Nightmare Scenario

No new intermediate scales till "Planck" scale

3. Where these scales come from??? Scale invariance



For similar ideas see for instance Lindner Z.Phys. C31 (1986) 29, T. Asaka, S. Blanchet, M. Shaposhnikov, Phys.Lett. B631 (2005) 151, K.A. Meissner, H. Nicolai, Phys.Lett. B648 (2007) 312, M. Holthausen, K.S.Lim, M.Lindner and references therein.

The Higgs-Dilaton model (JF)

All the scales are generated by SSB of global scale invariance

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{SI}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi_{\chi} \chi^2 + \xi_h h^2 \right) R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial h)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \chi)^2 - U(h, \chi)$$

Dimensionless parameters

$$U(h, \mathbf{\chi}) = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(h^2 - \frac{\vartheta}{\lambda} \mathbf{\chi}^2 \right)^2$$

Fine tuning

$$\vartheta \sim \frac{m_H^2}{M_P^2} \sim 10^{-35}$$

The dilaton is the new mass donor It gives mass to the Higgs and defines the Planck scale

 $m_H \sim m_G \sim m_f \propto \chi_0 \qquad M_P \propto \chi_0$

A singlet under the SM group No couplings with SM particles

The question "Who gives mass to whom?" becomes irrelevant The dilaton is massless

Cosmological consequences

1. Tree level results

- Inflation
- Dark energy

Consistency relations

- 2. Radiative Corrections
- Universality regime
- Critical regime

Inflation

$$\begin{aligned} & \textbf{The Higgs-Dilaton model (EF)} \\ & \textbf{Conformal transformation} \\ & \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = M_P^{-2}(\xi_{\chi}\chi^2 + \xi_h h^2)g_{\mu\nu} \\ & \textbf{Vacum is infinitely degenerate} \\ & \textbf{Physics does not depend on the} \\ & \textbf{Physics does not depend on the} \\ & \boldsymbol{f}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \tilde{R} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab}(\Omega)\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\varphi^a\partial_{\nu}\varphi^b - V(\varphi) \\ & \boldsymbol{f}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \left(\delta_{ab} + \frac{3}{2}M_P^2 \frac{\Omega_{,a}^2 \Omega_{,b}^2}{\Omega^2} \right) \\ & \textbf{Canonical fields?} \\ & R_{\gamma ab} \neq 0 \text{ unless } \xi_h = \xi_{\chi} \end{aligned}$$



... non-canonical kin. terms??

...multifield inflation ???

Isocurvature ?? Non-gaussianities ??





A dynamical constraint between Higgs and Dilaton



A simple lagrangian density

$$\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}{\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}} = \frac{M_P^2}{2}R - \frac{e^{2b(\phi)}}{2}(\partial\rho)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \tilde{U}(\phi)$$

$$e^{2b(\phi)} \equiv \sigma \cosh^2 \left[\alpha \kappa \left(\phi_0 - |\phi|\right)\right] \qquad \tilde{U}(\phi) = U_0 \left(1 - e^{2b(\phi)}\right)^2$$

A single field model!



Similar to Higgs inflation/ R2 inflation

Ourvature perturbation is conserved

 Reconstruction of the potential is almost independent of the preheating details



$$\dot{\zeta} = \frac{k^2}{a^2} \frac{H}{\dot{H}} \Phi - 2H \frac{V_{\phi} \dot{\phi} \dot{\rho}^2 e^{2b}}{(e^{2b} \dot{\rho}^2 + \phi^2)^2} \left(\frac{\delta \phi}{\dot{\phi}} - \frac{\delta \rho}{\dot{\rho}}\right)$$

F. Di Marco, F. Finelli, R. Brandenberger Phys.Rev. D67 (2003) 063512

The primordial spectra

Scalar pert.
$$\mathcal{P}_{S}(k) = \mathcal{A}_{s} \left(\frac{k}{k^{*}}\right)^{n_{s}-1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{s}\ln(k/k^{*})+\frac{1}{6}\beta_{s}(\ln(k/k^{*}))^{2}+...}$$

Tensor pert. $\mathcal{P}_{T}(k) = \mathcal{A}_{t} \left(\frac{k}{k^{*}}\right)^{n_{t}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{t}\ln(k/k^{*})+...}$

$$n_{\rm s} \equiv 1 + \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\mathcal{P}_{\rm s}}{\mathrm{d}\ln k} \qquad r(k) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\rm T}(k)}{\mathcal{P}_{\rm s}(k)} \qquad \alpha_{\rm s} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}\,n_{\rm s}}{\mathrm{d}\ln k} \qquad \beta_s \equiv \frac{d^2n_s}{d\ln k^2}$$

The primordial spectra

Scalar pert.
$$\mathcal{P}_{S}(k) = \mathcal{A}_{s} \left(\frac{k}{k^{*}}\right)^{n_{s}-1+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{s}\ln(k/k^{*})+\frac{1}{6}\beta_{s}(\ln(k/k^{*}))^{2}+...}$$

Tensor pert. $\mathcal{P}_{T}(k) = \mathcal{A}_{t} \left(\frac{k}{k^{*}}\right)^{n_{t}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{t}\ln(k/k^{*})+...}$

$$n_{\rm s} \equiv 1 + \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\mathcal{P}_{\rm s}}{\mathrm{d}\ln k} \qquad r(k) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\rm T}(k)}{\mathcal{P}_{\rm s}(k)} \qquad \alpha_{\rm s} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}\,n_{\rm s}}{\mathrm{d}\ln k} \qquad \beta_s \equiv \frac{d^2n_s}{d\ln k^2}$$

In Higgs-Dilaton inflation

Scalar spectral tilt

$$n_s\left(k^*\right) \simeq 1 - 8\xi_\chi \coth\left(4\xi_\chi N^*\right)$$

Running of the tilt

 $\alpha_s(k^*) \simeq -32\xi_{\chi}^2 \sinh^{-2}(4\xi_{\chi}N^*)$

Amplitude

$$\mathcal{A}_s(k^*) \simeq \frac{\lambda \sinh^2(4\xi_{\chi}N^*)}{1152\pi^2 \xi_{\chi}^2 \xi_h^2}$$

Tensor-to-scalar ratio

 $r(k^*) \simeq 192\xi_{\chi}^2 \sinh^{-2} (4\xi_{\chi}N^*)$



No free parameters left



Dark Energy

Scale invariance vs Λ ???

General Relativity -> Unimodular Gravity

No CC at the level of the action

Restricted metric determinant

|q| = 1

$$S = \int d^4 x \mathcal{L} \left(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial g_{\mu\nu}, \Phi, \partial \Phi \right)$$

Variation of the action with a lagrange multiplier

 $\partial_{\mu}\lambda(x) = 0 \longleftrightarrow \lambda(x) = \Lambda_0$

Same equations of motion as in GR

Scale invariance vs / ???

General Relativity -> Unimodular Gravity

No CC at the level of the action

Restricted metric determinant

$$S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L} \left(g_{\mu\nu}, \partial g_{\mu\nu}, \Phi, \partial \Phi \right)$$

|g|=1

Variation of the action with a lagrange multiplier

 $\partial_{\mu}\lambda(x) = 0 \longleftrightarrow \lambda(x) = \Lambda_0$

Same equations of motion as in GR



Consistency

Cutoffs in a background

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x},t) = \bar{\Phi}(t) + \delta \Phi(\mathbf{x},t) \longrightarrow c_n \frac{\mathcal{O}_n(\delta \Phi)}{[\Lambda(\bar{\Phi})]^{n-4}} \quad \mathbf{\Delta} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Background} \\ \mathbf{dependent!} \end{array}$$

Cutoffs in a background 1. Compute the quadratic lagrangian (Jordan F.) $\mathscr{K}_{2}^{\mathrm{G+S}} = \frac{\xi_{\chi}\bar{\chi}^{2} + \xi_{h}\bar{h}^{2}}{8} \left(\delta g^{\mu\nu}\Box\delta g_{\mu\nu} + 2\partial_{\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}\partial^{\rho}\delta g_{\mu\rho} - 2\partial_{\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\delta g - \delta g\Box\delta g\right) \\ - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\delta\chi)^{2} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\delta h)^{2} + (\xi_{\chi}\bar{\chi}\delta\chi + \xi_{h}\bar{h}\delta h)(\partial_{\lambda}\partial_{\rho}\delta g^{\lambda\rho} - \Box\delta g) .$

Cutoffs in a background 1. Compute the quadratic lagrangian (Jordan F.) $\mathscr{K}_{2}^{G+S} = \frac{\xi_{\chi}\bar{\chi}^{2} + \xi_{h}\bar{h}^{2}}{8} (\delta g^{\mu\nu} \Box \delta g_{\mu\nu} + 2\partial_{\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}\partial^{\rho}\delta g_{\mu\rho} - 2\partial_{\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}\delta g - \delta g \Box \delta g) \\ -\frac{1}{2} (\partial \delta \chi)^{2} - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \delta h)^{2} + (\xi_{\chi}\bar{\chi}\delta\chi + \xi_{h}\bar{h}\delta h)(\partial_{\lambda}\partial_{\rho}\delta g^{\lambda\rho} - \Box \delta g) .$ **2.** Get rid of the mixings in the quadratic action Non-canonical kinetic terms for perturbations

$$\begin{split} \delta \hat{h} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_{\chi}^2 \bar{\chi}^2 + \xi_h^2 \bar{h}^2}} \left(-\xi_h \bar{h} \delta \chi + \xi_{\chi} \bar{\chi} \delta h \right) \\ \delta \hat{\chi} &= \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{\chi} \bar{\chi}^2 (1 + 6\xi_{\chi}) + \xi_h \bar{h}^2 (1 + 6\xi_h)}{(\xi_{\chi}^2 \bar{\chi}^2 + \xi_h^2 \bar{h}^2) (\xi_{\chi} \bar{\chi}^2 + \xi_h \bar{h}^2)}} \left(\xi_{\chi} \bar{\chi} \delta \chi + \xi_h \bar{h} \delta h \right) \\ \delta \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_{\chi} \bar{\chi}^2 + \xi_h \bar{h}^2}} \left[(\xi_{\chi} \bar{\chi}^2 + \xi_h \bar{h}^2) \delta g_{\mu\nu} + 2 \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} (\xi_{\chi} \bar{\chi} \delta \chi + \xi_h \bar{h} \delta h) \right] \end{split}$$



3. Read out the cutoff from higher order operators

 $\frac{1}{\Lambda_1(h,\chi)} (\delta \hat{h})^2 \Box \delta \hat{g} \quad , \quad \frac{1}{\Lambda_2(h,\chi)} (\delta \hat{\chi})^2 \Box \delta \hat{g} \quad , \quad \frac{1}{\Lambda_3(h,\chi)} (\delta \hat{h}) (\delta \hat{\chi}) \Box \delta \hat{g} \quad , \quad \text{etc} \dots$



3. Read out the cutoff from higher order operators



<u>A consistent EFT</u>: Cutoffs are parametrically larger than all the energy scales involved in the history of the Universe

The meaning of the cutoff

Two different definitions

- The energy at which perturbative unitarity in high-energy scattering processes is violated
- The onset of new physics -

Breaking of tree level unitarity eq new physics earrow black

Ufuk Aydemir, Mohamed M. Anber, John F. Donoghue, Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 014025

• We will assume that the SM is valid for all momenta up to the Planck scale (self-healing ?)

Radiative

Corrections

Respect scale invariance -> Dimensional regularization



Gauge bosons/fermions action invariant under conformal transformations $\xi_{-\gamma}^{2} \perp \xi^{2} h^{2}$

$$\Omega^2 = \frac{\xi_\chi \chi^2 + \xi_h^2 h^2}{M_P^2}$$



Gauge bosons/fermions action invariant under conformal transformations

$$\Omega^2 = \frac{\xi_\chi \chi^2 + \xi_h^2 h^2}{M_P^2}$$

except for mass terms

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}} h \bar{\psi} \psi \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_F = \frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{h}{\Omega} \bar{\psi} \psi \equiv \frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}} F(\phi) \bar{\psi} \psi$$

Low energies

$$F=h$$

 $F'(0)=1$
 $ilde{m}_{A,f}=m_{A,f}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{During inflation}}{F = \frac{M_P}{\sqrt{\xi(1-\sigma)}} \left(1-e^{2b(\phi)}\right)^{1/2}}\\ F'(\phi_0) = 0\\ \tilde{m}_{A,f} = \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{CHIRAL SM} \end{aligned}$$

RGE Effective potential

1. Run SM RGE until the chiral SM

2. The obtained values are used as the input of the chiral phase, whose <u>RG equations</u> are run until a given scale.

3. This scale is chosen to <u>minimize higher order</u> <u>corrections</u>

4. <u>RGE effective potential</u> at inflation is computed

$$\tilde{U}_{RGE}(\phi) = \frac{\lambda(\mu(\phi))}{4} \frac{M_P^4}{\xi_h^2(\mu(\phi))(1-\sigma)^2} \left(1 - \sigma \cosh^2 \frac{\alpha \phi}{M_P}\right)^2$$

7. Inflationary observables are computed

Subtlety: Relation betweenInflationary/ physical masses $\mathcal{L}_F = \frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}}h\bar{\psi}\psi$ \rightarrow $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_F = \frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{h}{\Omega}\bar{\psi}\psi \equiv \frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}}F(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi$

Subtlety : Relation between Inflationary / physical masses $\mathcal{L}_F = \frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}}h\bar{\psi}\psi \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_F = \frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{h}{\Omega}\bar{\psi}\psi \equiv \frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}}F(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi$ $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_t(\phi + \delta\phi) = \frac{y_t}{\sqrt{2}}F(\phi + \delta\phi)\bar{\psi}_t\psi_t$

Subtlety : Relation between
Inflationary / physical masses

$$\mathcal{L}_{F} = \frac{y_{f}}{\sqrt{2}}h\bar{\psi}\psi \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{F} = \frac{y_{f}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{h}{\Omega}\bar{\psi}\psi \equiv \frac{y_{f}}{\sqrt{2}}F(\phi)\bar{\psi}\psi$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{t}(\phi + \delta\phi) = \frac{y_{t}}{\sqrt{2}}F(\phi + \delta\phi)\bar{\psi}_{t}\psi_{t}$$

$$= \frac{y_{t}}{\sqrt{2}}F(\phi)\bar{\psi}_{t}\psi_{t} + \frac{y_{t}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{dF(\phi)}{d\phi}\delta\phi\bar{\psi}_{t}\psi_{t} + \dots$$

In a background field the coupling of the top to the Higgs pert. is proportional to \mathbf{F}' .



$$F = h$$
 $F'(0) = 1$
 $F = const.$ $F'(\phi_0) = 0$

p quark contributions

To remove the divergencies, we must add counter terms to the action





Vacuum stability vs inflation ?



It is often said that

"If m_h and m_t are close to the measured central value, Higgs inflation is not possible and V_{eff} becomes negative much before M_P "

Vacuum stability vs inflation ?























Universal HDI $\lambda_0 \gtrsim b/16$ Only λ_0/ξ_h^2 is important

For masses slightly above the critical Higgs mass *

$$m_h^* > m_{\rm crit} - 0.1 \log \frac{\xi}{1000} GeV$$

$$m_{\rm crit} = [129.1 + \frac{y_t(173.2 \text{ GeV}) - 0.9361}{0.0058} \times 2.0] \text{ GeV}$$

Bezrukov et al. JHEP 1210 (2012) 140, Buttazzo et al. JHEP 1312 (2013) 089,

the predictions of the model are universal

 $n_s \le 0.97$ $0.0021 \le r \le 0.0034$

$$-0.00057 \le \frac{dn_s}{d\ln k} \le -0.00034$$

 $0 \le 1 + w_{DE} \le 0.014$

*We take $\alpha_s(M_z) = 0.1184$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Critical HDI} \\ \lambda(\mu(\phi)) &= \lambda_0 + b \log^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - e^{2b(\phi)}}}{q_{\text{eff}} \sqrt{1 - \sigma}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

4 restrictive observational inputs for 4 unknown parameters





Is all this true?

- 1. Determine the MS y_t
- 2. Determine the value of r
- 3. Determine $\omega_{\rm DE}^0$

• Position in the phase diagram depends on the top mass

• The mass used (Tevatron + LHC average) is a "Pythia mass" extracted with template methods from decay products

• Yukawa is extracted from pole mass

 $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD})$ uncert. $m_t^{\rm pole} = m_t^{\rm MC}$??





$w_{\rm DE}^0 \simeq -0.95$	$r \simeq 0.05$	$10^9 \mathcal{A}_s \simeq 2.2$	$n_s \simeq 0.96$
$\xi_{\chi} \simeq 0.02$	$\xi_h \simeq 13.2$	$10^6 \lambda_0 \simeq 1.46$	$q_{\rm eff} = 1.05$



$w_{\rm DE}^0 \simeq -0.95$	$r \simeq 0.05$	$10^9 \mathcal{A}_s \simeq 2.2$	$n_s \simeq 0.96$
$\xi_{\chi} \simeq 0.02$	$\xi_h \simeq 13.2$	$10^6 \lambda_0 \simeq 1.46$	$q_{\rm eff} = 1.05$





$w_{\rm DE}^0 \simeq -0.95$	$r \simeq 0.05$	$10^9 \mathcal{A}_s \simeq 2.2$	$n_s \simeq 0.96$
$\xi_{\chi} \simeq 0.02$	$\xi_h \simeq 13.2$	$10^6 \lambda_0 \simeq 1.46$	$q_{\rm eff} = 1.05$



Remember that $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(m_h^*, m_t^*)$ $q_{\text{eff}} = q_{\text{eff}}(m_h^*, m_t^*)$

$m_h^* \simeq 122.9 ~{ m GeV}$	$m_h \simeq 125.6 ~{ m GeV}$	$C \sim 2C \sim 1.6$
$m_t^* \simeq 169.9 \mathrm{GeV}$	$m_t \simeq 171.5 ~{ m GeV}$	$\bigcup_t \simeq 2 \bigcup_{\lambda} \simeq 1.0$



Higgs-Dilaton Cosmology: A scale-invariant extension of SM

Massless dilaton: unique source for SM particle masses / scales
 Naturally gives single field inflation with a graceful exit
 Dark energy without a cosmological constant
 A consistent EFT if the UV completion respects scale invariance

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{UNIVERSALITY}} \\ 0.0021 \leq r \leq 0.0034 \\ -0.00057 \leq \frac{dn_s}{d\ln k} \leq -0.00034 \\ 0 \leq 1 + w_{DE} \leq 0.014 \end{array}$

Non-trivial relations between inflationary and DE observables

$$\frac{\text{CRITICALITY}}{r \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)}$$
$$\frac{dn_s}{d \ln k} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.01)$$
$$1 + w_{DE} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$$

Higg & top masses close to the vacuum instability values