MPI für Kernphysik, 16.01.2017

# Particle physics constraints from future cosmological surveys



J. Lesgourgues

Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik und Kosmologie (TTK), RWTH Aachen University





MPI für Kernphysik, 16.01.2017

# Particle physics constraints from future cosmological surveys

#### Neutrinos mass Extra light relics DM annihilation, decay

J. Lesgourgues

Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik und Kosmologie (TTK), RWTH Aachen University





MPI für Kernphysik, 16.01.2017

# Particle physics constraints from future cosmological surveys

based mainly on 1606.02073, 1610.09852, 1610.10051, 1612.00021 in collaboration with M. Archidiacono, T. Brinckmann, D. Hooper, V. Poulin, P. Serpico

J. Lesgourgues

Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik und Kosmologie (TTK), RWTH Aachen University



# **Theoretical cosmology**

#### Theory of cosmological perturbations

(high-precision calculation in linear regime, computational challenge in non-linear regime)







# **Theoretical cosmology**

#### Theory of cosmological perturbations

(high-precision calculation in linear regime, computational challenge in non-linear regime)









impact on LSS (matter power spectrum, galaxy lensing) and CMB (integrated Sachs-Wolfe, CMB lensing)













#### **Current status:**

• Very conservative:

Planck 2015 high-l TT + new 2016 low-l TT,TE,EE:  $M_v < 590 \text{ meV}$  (95%CL)

[Planck col.] 1605.02985

#### More aggressive:

Planck 2015 high-I TT,TE,EE + new 2016 low-I TT,TE,EE + lensing:  $M_v < 140 \text{ meV}$  (95%CL)

[Planck col.] 1605.02985

Planck 2013 + Lyman- $\alpha$  from BOSS: M<sub>v</sub> < 120 meV (95%CL)

Palanque-Delabrouille et al. 1506.05976

These bounds assume minimal  $\Lambda$ CDM+M $_{v}$ , but bounds for extended models hardly weaker





#### **Future prospects:**

<ul> <li>New CMB data</li> <li>Ground based (ongoing → CMB-S4)</li> <li>Satellite? CORE [M5 proposal to ESA], LiteBird [proposal to JAXA]</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New measurements of matter power spectrum shape</li> <li>Cosmic shear of galaxies</li> <li>Clustering of Galaxies and cluster DES, eBOSS, LSST, DESI, Euclid, WFIRST</li> </ul>
New measurements of Baryon Acoustic Oscillation (BAO) scale DES, eBOSS, LSST, DESI, Euclid, WFIRST	<ul> <li>21cm Hydrogen-line surveys</li> <li>Reionisation history</li> <li>Matter clustering in Dark Ages HERA, SKA,</li> </ul>





RNTHAA

**HEN** 

#### Future prospects:







#### **Complementarity of future CMB, BAO, LSS:**

#### Archidiacono et al. 1610.09852



( $M_V$ , h) : geometrical degeneracy related to angular diameter distance, probed at different redshifts by CMB, BAO, LSS...



#### **Complementarity of future CMB, BAO, LSS:**

#### Archidiacono et al. 1610.09852



(M $_{\rm V}$ , h) : geometrical degeneracy related to angular diameter distance, probed at different redshifts by CMB, BAO, LSS...





#### **Complementarity of future CMB, BAO, LSS:**

#### Archidiacono et al. 1610.09852



( $M_V$ , h) : geometrical degeneracy related to angular diameter distance, probed at different redshifts by CMB, BAO, LSS...



#### **Complementarity of future CMB, BAO, LSS:**

#### Archidiacono et al. 1610.09852



(M<sub>V</sub>,  $\omega_{cdm}$ ) and (M<sub>V</sub>, A<sub>s</sub>) : degeneracy related to CMB lensing, and to the shape and amplitude of the matter power spectrum



#### **Complementarity of future CMB, BAO, LSS:**

#### Archidiacono et al. 1610.09852



 $M_{\rm V}$  effect on matter power spectrum:

- with fixed params including  $\omega_{dm}$  : usual step-like suppression
- with CMB peak scale : nearly constant
- with A<sub>s</sub> readjusted : nearly degenerate



#### **Complementarity of future CMB, BAO, LSS:**

#### Archidiacono et al. 1610.09852



(M<sub>V</sub>,  $\omega_{cdm}$ ) and (M<sub>V</sub>, A<sub>s</sub>) : degeneracy related to CMB lensing, and to the shape and amplitude of the matter power spectrum



#### **Complementarity of future CMB, BAO, LSS:**

Archidiacono et al. 1610.09852

Future experiments	$\sigma(M_{\nu})/[\mathrm{meV}]$
CORE	42
CORE+DESI	19
CORE+DESI+Euclid-lensing	16
CORE+Euclid (lensing+pk)	14

at least 4σ detection

17

- valid in  $\Lambda$ CDM and most of its extensions
- possible dangerous degeneracies: with w<sub>DE</sub>



#### **Complementarity of future CMB, BAO, LSS:**

Archidiacono et al. 1610.09852



 future 21cm like HERA, SKA may achieve independently Δ(τ)=0.001 (x9 better than Planck) and lead to possible 5σ detection even in minimal hierarchy:

	$\sigma(M_{\nu})/[\mathrm{meV}]$
CORE+Euclid (lensing+pk)+21cm	12



#### **Conclusions:**

- 5 $\sigma$  detection of M<sub>v</sub> possible even if M<sub>v</sub> = 60 meV
- Error forecasts robust even for non-minimal cosmological assumptions
- More sensitive than  $\beta$  and double- $\beta$  decay (KATRIN, GERDA, ...), works for Dirac and Majorana
- Complementary to  $\beta$ -decay which contains independent information (on phases, angles, Dirac/Majorana...)
- No direct test of NH versus IH like PINGU or ORCA, but if measured mass is close to 60 meV, IH could be excluded at 4 to  $5\sigma$ ...



### Extra relics (massless case)

**Current an future bounds on** density of relativistic relics beyond photons (standard model:  $N_{eff} = 3.046$ )

CORE beats degeneracy with H<sub>0</sub> (redshift of equality) and is limited by determination of peak scale angle (neutrino drag effect)

Planck 2015	CORE alone
(TT,TE,EE + lowP +	CORE collaboration
lensing)	[1612.00021]
N <sub>eff</sub> = 3.04 ± 0.18 (68%CL)	<b>♂(N<sub>eff</sub> ) = 0.041</b>





### Extra relics (massless case)

**Current an future bounds on** density of relativistic relics beyond photons (standard model:  $N_{eff} = 3.046$ )

Test of non-thermal or early decoupled thermal relics (Axion-Like Particles, ...), lowtemperature reheating models, neutrino NSI (non-standard interactions...)

21

Planck 2015	CORE alone
(TT,TE,EE + lowP +	CORE collaboration
lensing)	[1612.00021]
N <sub>eff</sub> = 3.04 ± 0.18 (68%CL)	<b>♂(N<sub>eff</sub>) = 0.041</b>



#### Bauman et al. 1604.08614





### Extra relics (small mass case)

Current an future bounds on one early-decoupled or non-thermalized extra light species (e.g. sterile neutrino)

Effective density parameters	Planck 2015 (TT+lowP +lensing) + BAO	CORE + DESI + Euclid CORE collaboration [1612.00021]	0.4 Planck+lensing LiteCORE-80 CORE-M5 COrE+
∆N <sub>eff</sub> (extra contribution to density <i>before</i> NR transition)	<0.7 (95%CL)	<b>2</b> σ ~ 0.10	$\geq$ 0.2 $\sim$
m <sub>eff</sub> (extra contribution to density <i>after</i> NR transition)	< 400 meV (95%CL)	<b>2</b> σ ~ 66 meV	0 0 0 0.3 0.6 m <sup>eff</sup> <sub>s</sub> [eV] (forecasted errors obtained while

For Dodelson-Widrow neutrinos, physical mass  $m = m_{eff} / \Delta N_{eff}$ 



## DM annihilation cross-section (WIMPs, etc.)

Current an future bounds from CMB only (due to heating, ionization and excitation of thermal plasma [Slatyer et al. 2012-2016] )





Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology

# DM lifetime (gravitational effects)

**Current and future bounds on lifetime from CMB only** (DM decaying into neutrinos or extra relativistic relics; non trivial CMB effects, especially on CMB lensing and late Integrated Sachs Wolfe effect, etc.)

	Planck 2015 (TT,TE,EE + lowP+ lensing) Poulin et al. 1606.02073	CORE alone CORE collab. [1612.00021]
100% of CDM = decaying particles	> 160 Gyr (95%CL)	> 330 Gyr (95%CL)
fraction <i>f</i> of CDM = decaying particles	<ul> <li>long-lived: same / f</li> <li>short-lived: 4.2% of CDM may decay completely between photon decoupling and today (even more may decay earlier)</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{c} 5.71 \\ 5.31 \\ 4.91 \\ 4.51 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$





**Current and future bounds on decay rate from CMB only (DM decaying into SM particles other than neutrinos, heating/ionization/excitation of thermal plasma [Slatyer et al. 2012-2016] )** 

• If 100% of CDM is of decaying nature and all decay energy ends up in







**Current and future bounds on decay rate from CMB only (DM decaying into SM particles other than neutrinos, heating/ionization/excitation of thermal plasma)** 

• If fraction  $\Xi$  of CDM is of decaying nature and ends up in  $\gamma$ , e<sup>+</sup>, e<sup>-</sup>:



see also Slatyer & Wu 2016





Poulin et al. 1610.10051

**Current and future bounds on decay rate from CMB only** (DM decaying into SM particles other than neutrinos, heating/ionization/excitation of thermal plasma)

Application to Primordial Black Holes of mass 10<sup>14</sup>-10<sup>18</sup>g = fraction of DM



nstitute for

**Current and future bounds on decay rate from CMB only (DM decaying into SM particles other than neutrinos, heating/ionization/excitation of thermal plasma)** 

- Application to sterile neutrinos decaying in  $3v_a$ ,  $v_a+\gamma$ ,  $v_a+e^+e^-$ : thanks to latter channels  $\Xi$  is function of mass and mixing angle
- M = 10 keV (DM candidate): weaker than X-ray
- competitive with X-ray for large M, small mixing, small relic density (e.g. 200 MeV)
- BBN complementarity







### **DM** interactions

Not treated here, but well studied for many (although not all) cases

- DM self-interactions
- DM scattering on SM particles
- DM scattering on possible Dark Radiation / other Dark Sector particles

May alter structure formation at early or late time, leave imprint on matter power spectrum, solve tensions with H<sub>0</sub> or  $\sigma_8$ ...

Cosmological bounds complementary to direct detection experiments (goes beyond scattering on quarks, applies to very wide range of dark matter masses)

Wilkinson et al. 1309.7588, 1401.7597; Dvorkin et al. 1311.2937; Cyr-Racine et al. 1310.3278; Buen-Abad et al. 1505.03542; Cyr-Racine et al. 1512.05344; ...



### Conclusions

- After Planck: still a very bright future for cosmology with strong connections to particle physics
- Many of previous experiments already on-going or approved (Euclid, LSST, SKA...)



Cross fingers for the other proposals (COrE+, LiteBird, PIXIE...)

