

Neutrinos and Lorentz Invariance Violation

Jorge S. Diaz |

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR NUCLEAR PHYSICS - PARTICLE AND ASTROPARTICLE THEORY SEMINAR, 27.10.2014







Neutrinos





Properties

- Fundamental particles in the Standard Model (SM)
- They carry no electric charge
- They interact only via the weak interaction
- They come in three active flavors: ν_e, ν_µ, ν_τ
- In the SM, they are massless

0

86 88 90

CERN

E.m [GeV]

92 94

Neutrinos: propagation of two flavors



- Neutrino eigenstates have well-defined energy
- Propagation controlled by the hamiltonian H

$$\boldsymbol{H}\begin{pmatrix}|\boldsymbol{\nu}_1\rangle\\|\boldsymbol{\nu}_2\rangle\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{E}_1 & 0\\0 & \boldsymbol{E}_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}|\boldsymbol{\nu}_1\rangle\\|\boldsymbol{\nu}_2\rangle\end{pmatrix}$$



 $|\nu_1\rangle$

If a neutrino state $|\nu_1\rangle$ is created at t = 0, after some time the state is

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\boldsymbol{H}t}|\boldsymbol{\nu}_1\rangle = e^{-i\boldsymbol{E}_1t}|\boldsymbol{\nu}_1\rangle$$

The probability of measuring the state $| \mathbf{\nu}_1 \rangle$ after some time $t \simeq L$ is

$$P_{\nu_1 \to \nu_1} = |\langle \nu_1 | \psi(t) \rangle|^2 = 1$$

A pure ν_1 beam propagates unaltered.

Mixing and oscillations of two flavors





Neutrino Oscillations



Solar neutrino problem: solved



 $\frac{\left(\Phi_{\nu_e}\right)_{\rm exp}}{\left(\Phi_{\nu_e}\right)_{\rm th}}\simeq 0.33$



Atmospheric neutrino problem: solved









Three-neutrino massive model

Phenomenological extension of the SM:

three massive neutrinos

$$h = U^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_3 \end{pmatrix} U$$

• energy-independent mixing:
$$U(\theta_{12}, \theta_{13}, \theta_{23}, \delta)$$

neutrinos and antineutrinos are decoupled

$$6 \times 6 \text{ matrix} \rightarrow \qquad \boldsymbol{H}^{3\nu \text{SM}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} h & 0 \\ \hline 0 & h^* \end{array} \right)$$

oscillation probability

$$P_{\nu_a \to \nu_b}(L) = \sum_{a',b'} U_{a'a}^* U_{a'b} U_{b'a} U_{b'b}^* e^{i(E_{a'} - E_{b'})L}$$



$$E_{a'} = \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2 + m_{a'}^2}$$
$$\approx |\boldsymbol{p}| + m_{a'}^2/2|\boldsymbol{p}|$$

1



Three-neutrino massive model



This model successfully describes all established oscillation data

Atmospheric neutrinos





Reactor antineutrinos



Solar neutrinos



Accelerator neutrinos



Lorentz and CPT violation

Lorentz invariance



- Cornerstone of modern physics.
- Symmetry that underlies Special Relativity.
- Laws of physics are independent of speed and direction of propagation.
- Linked to CPT symmetry (relating properties of matter and antimatter).
- Established experiments indicate that nature is Lorentz invariant (so far).



Einstein & Lorentz (1921)

- Last 20 years, growing interest in the possibility that Lorentz symmetry may not be exact.
- Quantum gravity candidates involve the breaking of Lorentz symmetry.
- Lorentz symmetry is a basic building block of GR and the SM. Anything this fundamental should be tested.
- New era of high-precision measurements.













GR and the SM are expected to merge at the Planck scale

Jorge S. Diaz - Neutrinos and Lorentz Invariance Violation











Does the universe have a preferred direction?



Physics and background fields



Searching for a broken symmetry



Physics and background fields





Physics and background fields





Lorentz transformations



Observer transformation



coordinate invariance

Particle transformation



Lorentz transformations



Observer transformation



coordinate invariance

coordinate invariance

Particle transformation



Lorentz transformations



Observer transformation



coordinate invariance



coordinate invariance

Particle transformation



Standard-Model Extension (SME)



SME = Standard Model SME = coupled to General Relativity

all possible terms that break Lorentz symmetry

+

Colladay & Kostelecký, PRD 55, 6760 (1997) Colladay & Kostelecký, PRD 58, 116002 (1998) Kostelecký, PRD 69, 105009 (2004)



- general framework to search for Lorentz violation
- defined experimental signatures

example (from fermion sector):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{LV}} \supset a_{\mu} \left(\, \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi \right)$$

- Standard fields
- Controlling coefficients
- Observer scalars
- CPT violation included (no $m \neq \bar{m}$ terms)

SME: theory & experiment playground

Studies of CPT and Lorentz violation involve:

- neutrino oscillations
- beta decay
- oscillations and decays of K, B, D mesons
- particle-antiparticle comparisons
- matter interferometry
- birefringence and dispersion from cosmological sources
- clock-comparison measurements
- CMB polarization
- collider experiments
- electromagnetic resonant cavities
- equivalence principle
- gauge and Higgs particles
- high-energy astrophysical observations
- laboratory and gravimetric tests of gravity
- post-newtonian gravity in the solar system and beyond
- second- and third-generation particles
- space-based missions
- spectroscopy of hydrogen and antihydrogen
- spin-polarized matter



201

SME: worldwide searches



Neutral meson oscillations

KLOE collaboration, A. D.Domeico et al., *J. Phys. Conf. Serv. 171*, 02008 (2009); BaBar collaboration, D. D.Domeico et al., *J. Phys. Conf. Serv. 171*, 02008 (2009); BaBar collaboration, B. Aubert et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 151802 (2008); BaBar collaboration, B. Aubert et al., *Phys. Rev. L D*, 012007 (2004); BaBar collaboration, B. Aubert et al., *Phys. Rev. D* 70, 012007 (2004); BaBar collaboration, B. Aubert et al., *Phys. Rev. L D*, 012007 (2004); BaBar collaboration, B. Aubert et al., *Phys. Rev. L D*, 012007 (2004); FCCUS collaboration, D. Haubert et al., *Int. Phys. Rev. L D*, 012007 (2004); FCCUS collaboration, B. Aubert et al., *Int. Phys. Rev. L D*, 012007 (2004); FCCUS collaboration, B. Carder et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCCUS collaboration, K. Abet et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCCUS collaboration, K. Abet et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCUS collaboration, K. Abet et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCUS collaboration, K. Abet et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCUS collaboration, K. Abet et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCUS collaboration, K. For et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCUS collaboration, K. For et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCUS collaboration, K. For et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCUS collaboration, K. For et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCUS collaboration, K. For et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCUS collaboration, K. For et al., *in (CTT and Lorent: Symmetry II (2002)*; FCUS collaboration, FL and *Lorent Symmetry II (Stress I*

Photon sector

Y. Michimura et al., arXiv:1303.6709 (2013); F. Baynes, M. Tobar, and A. Luiten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 269801 (2012): F. Baynes, A. Luiten, and M. Tobar, Phys. Rev. D 84, 081101 (2011); S. Parker et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 180401 (2011); Fermi GBT and LAT Collaborations, V. Vasileiou, in CPT and Lorentz Symmetry V (2011): M.A. Hohensee et al., Phys. Rev. D 82, 076001 (2010): J.-P. Bocquet et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 241601 (2010): S. Herrmann et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 150401 (2005); M Tobar et al. Phys. Rev. D 80, 125024 (2009): Ch. Eisele, A. Yu. Nevsky, and S. Schiller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 090401 (2009); S. Reinhardt et al., Nature Physics 3, 861 (2007): H. Mueller et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 050401 (2007); M. Hohensee et al., Phys. Rev. D 75, 049902 (2007); P.L. Stanwix et al., Phys. Rev. D 74, 081101 (R) (2006): J.P. Cotter and B.T.H. Varcoe, physics 0603111 (2006); P. Antonini et al., Phys. Rev. A 72, 066102 (2005); M. Tobar et al., Phys. Rev. A 72, 066101 (2005); S. Herrmann et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 150401 (2005); M. Tobar et al., Lect. Notes Phys. 702, 415 (2006); P.L. Stanwix et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 040404 (2005); P Antonini et al. Phys. Rev. A 71, 050101 (2005): M. Tobar et al., Phys. Rev. D 71, 025004 (2005); P. Wolf et al., Phys. Rev. D 70, 051902 (2004); P. Wolf et al., Gen. Rel. Grav. 36, 2352 (2004): H. Mueller et al., Phys. Rev. D 68, 116006 (2003); H. Mueller et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 020401 (2003): J. Lipa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 060403 (2003).

Data Tables for Lorentz and CPT Violation, Kostelecký & Russell, Rev. Mod. Phys. (2011); arXiv:0801.0287v6 (2014 edition)

Neutrino oscillations

15. Diaz, T. Katori, J. Spitz, J.M. Conrad, Phys. Lett. B 727, 742 (2013); B. Rebel and S. Musfon, Astrogent, Phys. 48, 78 (2014); MmiBooNE Collaboration, A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al., Phys. Rev. D 86, 112009 (2012); MINOS Collaboration, P. Abdause et al., Phys. Rev. D 86, 012009 (2012); MINOS Collaboration, F. Abdause et al., Phys. Rev. D 85, 031101 (2012); RevENCS Collaboration, F. Abdause et al., Phys. Rev. D 85, 031101 (2012); RevENCS Collaboration, F. Abdause et al., Phys. Rev. D 85, 031101 (2012); RevENCS Collaboration, F. Abdause et al., Phys. Rev. D 81, 0315 (102007); MINOS Collaboration, F. Abdause et al., Phys. Rev. D 72, 07604 (2005); END Collaboration, J. B. Auerbach et al., Phys. Rev. D 72, 07604 (2005).

Gravity sector

M.A. Hohensee, S. Chu, A. Peters, and H. Mueller, arXiv:1102.4362 (2011);
 D. Bennet et al., in CPT and Lorent: Symmetry V (2011);
 K.-Y. Chung et al., Phys. Rev. D 80, 016002 (2009);
 H. Mueller et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 031101 (2008);
 D.B. Battal, J.F. Chandler, and C.W. Stubbs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 241103 (2007).

Clock-comparison experiments

C Gennel et al., Phys. Rev. D 82, 111901 (R) (2010); K. Tulhey et al., in CPT and Lorent's Symmetry IV (2010); J.M. Brown et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 151604 (2010); J. Marcev et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 151604 (2010); P. Wolf et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 060801 (2006); P. Wolf et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 060801 (2006); P. Wolf et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 060801 (2006); P. Wolf et al., Phys. Rev. L 05, 111101 (2006); D. E. Chanet al., Phys. Rev. L 05, 111101 (2007); D. Berr et al., Phys. Rev. L 05, 111101 (2007); B. Bear et al., Phys. Rev. L 05, 51882 (2000); R. Walsworth et al., APP. Conf. Proc. 539, 1190 (2009); R. Walsworth et al., APP. Conf. Proc. 539, 1190 (2009); R. Walsworth et al., APP. Conf. Proc. 539, 1190 (2009);

Top qua

D0 collaboration, V.M. Abazov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 261603 (2012).

Tests with a spin-polarized torsion pendulum

B. Heckel et al., arXiv:0808.2673 (2008);
 B. Heckel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 021603 (2006);
 L.-S. Hou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 201101 (2003);
 B. Heckel et al., in CPT and Lorentz Symmetry II (2002).

Muon sector

BNL g-2 collaboration, G.W. Bennett et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 091602 (2008); V.W. Hughes et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87, 111804 (2001); BNL g-2 collaboration, M. Deile et al., in *CPT and Lorentz Symmetry II* (2002).

QED tests in Penning traps

- H. Dehmelt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4694 (1999);
- R. Mittleman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2166 (1999);
- G. Gabrielse et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3198 (1999).

Neutrinos in the SME

Searching for Lorentz-violating neutrinos

Jorge S. Diaz - Neutrinos and Lorentz Invariance Violation



effective hamiltonian

Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 69, 016005 (2004)

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathsf{eff}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} h_0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & h_0^* \end{array} \right) + \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \delta h_{\nu\nu} & \delta h_{\nu\bar{\nu}} \\ \hline \delta h_{\bar{\nu}\nu} & \delta h_{\bar{\nu}\bar{\nu}} \end{array} \right) \qquad \leftarrow 6 \times 6 \text{ matrix}$$

Neutrino 3×3 block:

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{ab}^{\nu} = \underbrace{|\boldsymbol{p}|\delta_{ab} + \frac{\boldsymbol{m}_{ab}^2}{2|\boldsymbol{p}|}}_{h_0} + (a_L)_{ab}^{\alpha} \, \hat{p}_{\alpha} - (c_L)_{ab}^{\alpha\beta} \, \hat{p}_{\alpha} \, \hat{p}_{\beta} \, |\boldsymbol{p}|, \qquad a, b = e, \mu, \tau; \, \hat{p}^{\alpha} = (1; \hat{\boldsymbol{p}})$$

Novel effects

- unconventional energy dependence
- direction dependence
- sidereal time dependence
- CPT violation
- ν - $\bar{\nu}$ mixing

Experimental searches



Complementarity between experiments







Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD **70**, 076002 (2004) JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD **80**, 076007 (2009)



Sidereal variation of the oscillation probability:

 $P_{\nu_b \to \nu_a} = (P_{\mathcal{C}})_{ab} + (P_{\mathcal{A}_s})_{ab} \sin \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{A}_c})_{ab} \cos \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus}$ $+ (P_{\mathcal{B}_s})_{ab} \sin 2\omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{B}_c})_{ab} \cos 2\omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus}$ $+ \dots$



LV as a perturbation over mass-driven oscillations

characterize effective hamiltonian

JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 80, 076007 (2009)

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathsf{eff}} = \boldsymbol{H}_0 + \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{H}$$

• perturbation theory \rightarrow construct 6×6 time-evolution operator

$$m{S}(t) = e^{-im{H}_{ ext{eff}}t} = m{S}^{(0)}(t) + m{S}^{(1)}(t) + m{S}^{(2)}(t) + \cdots$$

• derive oscillation probabilities ($A, B = e, \mu, \tau, \bar{e}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\tau}$)

$$P_{\nu_B \to \nu_A}(t) = |\mathbf{S}^{(0)}(t) + \mathbf{S}^{(1)}(t) + \mathbf{S}^{(2)}(t) + \cdots |^2$$



LV as a perturbation over mass-driven oscillations

characterize effective hamiltonian

JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 80, 076007 (2009)

$$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathsf{eff}} = \boldsymbol{H}_0 + \boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{H}$$

• perturbation theory ightarrow construct 6 imes 6 time-evolution operator

 $S(t) = e^{-iH_{\text{eff}}t} = S^{(0)}(t) + S^{(1)}(t) + S^{(2)}(t) + \cdots$

• derive oscillation probabilities ($A, B = e, \mu, \tau, \bar{e}, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\tau}$)

$$P_{\nu_B \to \nu_A}(t) = |\mathbf{S}^{(0)}(t) + \mathbf{S}^{(1)}(t) + \mathbf{S}^{(2)}(t) + \cdots |^2$$

Neutrino Osc.	Antineutrino Osc.	Neutrino-antineutrino Osc.
$P^{(0)}_{\nu_b o \nu_a}$	$P^{(0)}_{ar{ u}_b o ar{ u}_a}$	_
$P^{(1)}_{\nu_b o \nu_a}$	$P^{(1)}_{ar{ u}_b o ar{ u}_a}$	_
$P^{(2)}_{\nu_b \to \nu_a}$	$P^{(2)}_{\bar{ u}_b o \bar{ u}_a}$	$P^{(2)}_{\nu_b o ar{ u}_a}$



Example: ν_{μ} disappearance

JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 80, 076007 (2009)

$$P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}} \approx P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(0)} + P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(1)}$$

 $P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(0)} = \sin^{2} 2\theta_{23} \sin^{2} \left(1.27 \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^{2} L/E \right)$ $P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(1)} = 2L \{ (P_{\mathcal{C}})_{\tau\mu} + (P_{\mathcal{A}_{s}})_{\tau\mu} \sin \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{A}_{c}})_{\tau\mu} \cos \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{B}_{s}})_{\tau\mu} \sin 2\omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{B}_{c}})_{\tau\mu} \cos 2\omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} \}$



Example: ν_{μ} disappearance

JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 80, 076007 (2009)

$$P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}} \approx P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(0)} + P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(1)}$$

 $P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(0)} = \sin^{2} 2\theta_{23} \sin^{2} \left(1.27 \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^{2} L/E \right)$ $P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(1)} = 2L \{ (P_{\mathcal{C}})_{\tau\mu} + (P_{\mathcal{A}_{s}})_{\tau\mu} \sin \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{A}_{c}})_{\tau\mu} \cos \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{B}_{s}})_{\tau\mu} \sin 2\omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{B}_{c}})_{\tau\mu} \cos 2\omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} \}$

$$(P_{\mathcal{B}_s})_{\tau\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{B}_s^{(1)})_{\mu\tau} \sin\left(2.54\Delta m_{\operatorname{atm}}^2 L/E\right)$$



Example: ν_{μ} disappearance

JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 80, 076007 (2009)

$$P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}} \approx P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(0)} + P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(1)}$$

 $P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(0)} = \sin^{2} 2\theta_{23} \sin^{2} \left(1.27 \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^{2} L/E \right)$ $P_{\nu_{\mu} \to \nu_{\tau}}^{(1)} = 2L \{ (P_{\mathcal{C}})_{\tau\mu} + (P_{\mathcal{A}_{s}})_{\tau\mu} \sin \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{A}_{c}})_{\tau\mu} \cos \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{B}_{s}})_{\tau\mu} \sin 2\omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + (P_{\mathcal{B}_{c}})_{\tau\mu} \cos 2\omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} \}$

$$(P_{\mathcal{B}_s})_{\tau\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}(\mathcal{B}_s^{(1)})_{\mu\tau} \sin\left(2.54\Delta m_{\operatorname{atm}}^2 L/E\right)$$

$$(\mathcal{B}_{s}^{(1)})_{\mu\tau} = N^{X}N^{Y}\boldsymbol{E}\left((c_{L})_{\mu\tau}^{XX} - (c_{L})_{\mu\tau}^{YY}\right) \\ -\left(N^{X}N^{X} - N^{Y}N^{Y}\right)\boldsymbol{E}\left(c_{L}\right)_{\mu\tau}^{XY}$$

PRL 105, 151601 (2010)



25/44

Search for Lorentz Invariance and *CPT* Violation with the MINOS Far Detector

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

(MINOS Collaboration)

In the SME, $P_{\mu\tau}^{(1)}$ is given by [8] $P_{\mu\tau}^{(1)} = 2L\{(P_c^{(1)})_{\tau\mu} + (P_{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)})_{\tau\mu} \sin \omega_{\Phi} T_{\Phi}$ $+ (P_{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)})_{\tau\mu} \cos \omega_{\Phi} T_{\Phi} + (P_{\mathcal{B}}^{(1)})_{\tau\mu} \sin 2\omega_{\Phi} T_{\Phi}$ $+ (P_{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)})_{\tau\mu} \cos 2\omega_{\Phi} T_{\Phi}\}, \qquad (1)$

where L = 735 km is the distance from neutrino production in the NuMI beam to the MINOS FD [2], T_{\oplus} is the local sidereal time (LST) at neutrino detection, and the coefficients $(P_c^{(1)})_{\tau\mu}$, $(P_{\mathcal{A}_c}^{(1)})_{\tau\mu}$, $(P_{\mathcal{A}_c}^{(1)})_{\tau\mu}$, $(P_{\mathcal{A}_c}^{(1)})_{\tau\mu}$, $(P_{\mathcal{A}_c}^{(1)})_{\tau\mu}$, $(P_{\mathcal{A}_c}^{(1)})_{\tau\mu}$ contain the LV and CPTV information.

TABLE III. 99.7% C.L. limits on SME coefficients for $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$; $(a_L)^{\alpha}_{\mu\tau}$ have units [GeV]; $(c_L)^{\alpha\beta}_{\mu\tau}$ are unitless.

Coeff.	Limit	Coeff.	Limit
$\begin{array}{c} (a_L)^X_{\mu\tau} \\ (c_L)^{TX}_{\mu\tau} \\ (c_L)^{XX}_{\mu\tau} \\ (c_L)^{XY}_{\mu\tau} \\ (c_L)^{XZ}_{\mu\tau} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.9\times10^{-23}\\ 0.5\times10^{-23}\\ 2.5\times10^{-23}\\ 1.2\times10^{-23}\\ 0.7\times10^{-23} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} (a_L)_{\mu\tau}^Y \\ (c_L)_{\mu\tau}^{TY} \\ (c_L)_{\mu\tau}^{YY} \\ (c_L)_{\mu\tau}^{YZ} \\ (c_L)_{\mu\tau}^{YZ} \\ \cdots \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 6.1\times 10^{-23}\\ 0.5\times 10^{-23}\\ 2.4\times 10^{-23}\\ 0.7\times 10^{-23}\\ \ldots\end{array}$







week ending 8 OCTOBER 2010

. .

LV neutrino oscillations

Experimental searches

- LSND PRD 72, 076004 (2005)
- MINOS PRL 101, 151601 (2008)
- IceCube PRD 82, 112003 (2010)
- **MINOS** PRL **105**, 151601 (2010)
- MINOS PRD 85, 031101 (2012)
- Double Chooz PRD 86, 112009 (2012)
- MiniBooNE PLB 718, 1303 (2013)
- Rebel & Mufson AP 48 78 (2013)
- Conrad, JSD, Katori, Spitz PLB 727, 412 (2013)
- Super-Kamiokande arXiv:1410.4267







Experimental searches



Complementarity between experiments





LV neutrino velocity



Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 85, 096005 (2012)

- Sensitive to oscillation-free effects
- Neutrino velocity can depend on:
 - energy: E
 - sidereal time: $\omega_{\oplus}T_{\oplus}$
 - direction of propagation: $_0\mathcal{N}_{jm}$
 - particle or antiparticles
- Physical effects
 - $v \neq 1 \rightarrow$ unconventional reactions
 - dispersion
- For beam experiments:

$$v \approx 1 - \frac{m^2}{2E^2} + \sum_{djm} (d-3)E^{d-4}e^{im\omega_{\bigoplus}T_{\bigoplus}} {}_{0}\mathcal{N}_{jm} \left[(a_{\text{of}}^{(d)})_{jm} - (c_{\text{of}}^{(d)})_{jm} \right]$$

Effects of dimension-three operators (d = 3) not observable





- observation of TeV-PeV neutrinos
- dispersion relation for high-energy neutrinos (neglecting CPT-odd terms)

$$E(\mathbf{p}) = |\mathbf{p}| - \sum_{djm} |\mathbf{p}|^{d-3} Y_{jm}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}) (c_{\text{of}}^{(d)})_{jm}$$

JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 89, 043005 (2014)

energy loss as Cherenkov radiation

$$\nu \rightarrow \nu + e^- + e^+$$

$$i\mathcal{M} = \frac{-i\sqrt{2}G_F M_Z^2}{(k+k')^2 - M_Z^2} \overline{\nu}(p') \gamma^{\alpha} \nu(p) \\ \times \overline{u}(k) \gamma_{\alpha} (2\sin^2 \theta_W - P_L) v(k')$$





IceCube Collaboration





IceCube has observed PeV neutrinos Aartsen et al., PRL 111, 021103 (2013), 113, 101101 (2014)

good: very high energybad: not many eventsapproach: consider isotropic LV

Energy loss per distance

$$\begin{split} \frac{dE}{dx} \propto & -\int \frac{d^3p'}{2E_{p'}} \frac{d^3k}{2E_k} \frac{d^3k'}{2E_{k'}} \sum_{\text{spin}} |\mathcal{M}|^2 \\ & \frac{(E_k + E_{k'})}{E_p} \, \delta^4(p - p' - k - k') \end{split}$$

JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 89, 043005 (2014)







since we do observe PeV neutrinos

propagation		distortion
distance	<	distance
L		D(E)

characteristic distortion distance:

$$D(E) = -\frac{E}{(dE/dx)}$$

Conservative approach: suppose PeV events are atmospheric

 $L \approx 1000 \,\mathrm{km} < D(E)$

JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 89, 043005 (2014)



Lower bounds:

Coefficient	Atmospheric	Čerenkov
$\dot{c}^{(4)}$	$> -3 \times 10^{-13}$	
$\hat{c}^{(6)}$	$> -3 \times 10^{-25}$	GeV^{-2}
$\dot{c}^{(8)}$	$> -2 \times 10^{-37}$	${\rm GeV}^{-4}$
$\dot{c}^{(10)}$	$> -2 \times 10^{-49}$	${\rm GeV}^{-6}$



if PeV events are astrophysical:

JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 89, 043005 (2014)



ightarrow neutrinos will lose energy falling below threshold

threshold condition:

$$-\sum_{djm} |\boldsymbol{p}|^{d-2} Y_{jm}(\boldsymbol{p}) \left(c_{\mathsf{of}}^{(d)} \right) \lesssim 2m_e^2$$

Lower bounds:

Coefficient	Astrophysical	Čerenkov
$\mathring{c}^{(4)}$	$> -5 \times 10^{-19}$	
$\hat{c}^{(6)}$	$> -5 \times 10^{-31}$	GeV^{-2}
$\hat{c}^{(8)}$	$> -5 \times 10^{-43}$	${\rm GeV}^{-4}$
$\mathring{c}^{(10)}$	$> -5 \times 10^{-55}$	${\rm GeV}^{-6}$



JSD, Kostelecký & Mewes, PRD 89, 043005 (2014)



d j	Lower bound	Coefficient	Upper bound
4 0	$-4\times 10^{-19} <$	$(c_{of}^{(4)})_{00}$	
4 1	$-1\times 10^{-17} <$	$(c_{of}^{(4)})_{10}$	$< 4 \times 10^{-17}$
	$-3\times 10^{-17} <$	$Re(c_{of}^{(4)})_{11}$	$< 2 \times 10^{-17}$
	$-2\times 10^{-17} <$	$Im(c_{of}^{(4)})_{11}$	$< 2 \times 10^{-17}$
4 2	$-1\times 10^{-17} <$	$(c_{of}^{(4)})_{20}$	$<7\times10^{-17}$
	$-2\times 10^{-17} <$	$\text{Re}(c_{of}^{(4)})_{21}$	$< 3 \times 10^{-17}$
	$-2 \times 10^{-17} <$	$Im(c_{of}^{(4)})_{21}$	$< 5 \times 10^{-17}$
	$-5\times10^{-17} <$	$\text{Re}(c_{of}^{(4)})_{22}$	$< 2 \times 10^{-17}$
	$-3\times10^{-17} <$	$Im(c_{of}^{(4)})_{22}$	$< 4 \times 10^{-17}$
60	$-3\times10^{-31} <$	$(c_{of}^{(6)})_{00}$	
6 1	$-2 \times 10^{-28} <$	$(c_{of}^{(6)})_{10}$	$<9\times10^{-28}$
	$-6\times 10^{-28} <$	$\text{Re}(c_{of}^{(6)})_{11}$	$<5\times10^{-28}$
	$-3\times 10^{-28} <$	$Im(c_{of}^{(6)})_{11}$	$< 3 \times 10^{-28}$
6 2	$-4\times 10^{-28} <$	$(c_{of}^{(6)})_{20}$	$< 7 \times 10^{-27}$
	$-1\times 10^{-27} <$	$\text{Re}(c_{of}^{(6)})_{21}$	$< 2 \times 10^{-27}$
	$-1\times 10^{-27} <$	$Im(c_{of}^{(6)})_{21}$	$< 3 \times 10^{-27}$
	$-5\times10^{-27} <$	$\text{Re}(c_{of}^{(6)})_{22}$	$< 6 \times 10^{-28}$
	$-1\times 10^{-27} <$	$Im(c_{of}^{(6)})_{22}$	$< 4 \times 10^{-27}$
	:		

Astrophysical Cherenkov threshold

 $-\sum |\boldsymbol{p}|^{d-2} Y_{jm}(\boldsymbol{p}) (\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathsf{of}}^{(d)})_{jm} \lesssim 2m_e^2$ djm

two-sided bounds can be obtained from several events distributed in the sky



Experimental searches





Complementarity between experiments



Countershaded relativity violations: comparatively large relativity- violating effects that have escaped detection Kostelecký & Tasson, PRL 102, 010402 (2009)

Experimental searches





Complementarity between experiments



Countershaded relativity violations: comparatively large relativity- violating effects that have escaped detection Kostelecký & Tasson, PRL 102, 010402 (2009)

LV beta decay

Theoretical considerations:

- antineutrino spinors get modified
- modified antineutrino phase space
- coefficients: $(a_{of}^{(3)})_{00}$, $(a_{of}^{(3)})_{10}$, $\mathsf{Re}(a_{of}^{(3)})_{11}$, $\mathsf{Im}(a_{of}^{(3)})_{11}$

$$d\Gamma \propto E\omega \left\{ 1 + a \frac{\boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tilde{q}}}{E\omega} + A \frac{\boldsymbol{\hat{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}}{E} + B \frac{\boldsymbol{\hat{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tilde{q}}}{\omega} + D \frac{\boldsymbol{\hat{n}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{\tilde{q}})}{E\omega} \right\} \frac{d^3p}{2E} \frac{d^3q}{2\omega} \, \delta(E + \omega - E_0)$$

Observable effects

- spectrum distortion
- modified experimental electron-neutrino asymmetry a_{exp}
- modified experimental neutrino asymmetry B_{exp}



JSD, Kostelecký & Lehnert, PRD 88, 071902 (2013) JSD, Adv.HEP 2014, 305298 (2014)

LV in W boson

J.P. Noordmans, PRC 87, 055502 (2013) E.A. Dijok et al., PRD88, 07190 (2013) J.P. Noordmans et al., PRL111, 171601 (2013) S.E. Müller et al., PRD88, 071901 (2013) B. Altschul, PRD88, 076015 (2013) K.K. Vos et al., PLB729, 112 (2014)

n

LV neutron decay



JSD, Kostelecký & Lehnert, PRD 88, 071902 (2013) JSD, Adv.HEP 2014, 305298 (2014)

1. spectrum distortion



- generated by isotropic Lorentz violation: (a⁽³⁾_{of})₀₀
- requires searching for deviations from conventional spectrum
- effect is maximal at a well-defined energy
- $(a_{of}^{(3)})_{00}$ also controls a **new source of CP violation**



LV neutron decay

2. electron-neutrino asymmetry

 $a_{
m exp} ~=~ {N_+ - N_- \over N_+ + N_-}$

$$= a\beta$$

$$+\sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \frac{a^2\beta^2 - 1}{T_0 - T} \Big[\cos \chi(\boldsymbol{a}_{\text{of}}^{(3)})_{10}$$

$$+\sqrt{2} \sin \chi \operatorname{Im}(\boldsymbol{a}_{\text{of}}^{(3)})_{11} \sin \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus}$$

$$-\sqrt{2} \sin \chi \operatorname{Re}(\boldsymbol{a}_{\text{of}}^{(3)})_{11} \cos \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus}$$

- sensitivity to anisotropic Lorentz violation
- constant modification: $(a_{of}^{(3)})_{10}$
- sidereal variation of asymmetry: $\operatorname{Re}(\pmb{a}_{\mathsf{of}}^{(3)})_{11}$, $\operatorname{Im}(\pmb{a}_{\mathsf{of}}^{(3)})_{11}$





LV neutron decay

3. neutrino asymmetry

 $B_{\text{exp}} = \frac{N_{--} - N_{++}}{N_{--} + N_{++}}$

- $= (B_{\exp})_0 + \delta B_{\mathcal{C}}$ $+ \delta B_{\mathcal{A}_s} \sin \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + \delta B_{\mathcal{A}_c} \cos \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus}$
- polarized neutrons
- sensitivity to anisotropic Lorentz violation
- constant modification: $(a_{of}^{(3)})_{10}$
- sidereal variation of asymmetry: $\operatorname{Re}(a_{of}^{(3)})_{11}$, $\operatorname{Im}(a_{of}^{(3)})_{11}$





JSD, Kostelecký & Lehnert, PRD 88, 071902 (2013)

JSD. Adv.HEP 2014, 305298 (2014)

LV tritium decay



Endpoint measurements

JSD, Kostelecký & Lehnert, PRD 88, 071902 (2013) JSD, Adv.HEP 2014, 305298 (2014)



 $rac{d\Gamma}{dE} \propto \int_{\Delta\Omega_e} E|m{p}| \, d\Omega_e \, rac{d^3 q}{2\omega} \, \delta(E+\omega-E_0)$



MainzTroitskKATRIN

LV tritium decay



Endpoint measurements

JSD, Kostelecký & Lehnert, PRD 88, 071902 (2013) JSD, Adv.HEP 2014, 305298 (2014)



$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dT} = 3C_R \left[\left(\frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{T} - T \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \right]$$

 $T_{\text{eff}} = T_0 + \delta T_{\mathcal{C}}$ $+ \delta T_{\mathcal{A}_s} \sin \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + \delta T_{\mathcal{A}_c} \cos \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus}$

LV tritium decay



Endpoint measurements

JSD, Kostelecký & Lehnert, PRD 88, 071902 (2013) JSD, Adv.HEP 2014, 305298 (2014)



$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dT} = 3C_R \left[\left(T_{\text{eff}} - T \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \right]$$

$$T_{\text{eff}} = T_0 + \delta T_{\mathcal{C}} + \delta T_{\mathcal{A}_s} \sin \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + \delta T_{\mathcal{A}_c} \cos \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus}$$

Effective dimension-two coefficient $(c_{\text{eff}}^{(2)})$

Lorentz violation, CPT invariance

$$m_{\text{eff}}^2 = m^2 + m_{\mathcal{C}}^2 + m_{\mathcal{A}_s}^2 \sin \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus} + m_{\mathcal{A}_c}^2 \cos \omega_{\oplus} T_{\oplus}$$

can mimic behavior of a *tachyonic neutrino*

LV double beta decay



JSD, Kostelecký & Lehnert, PRD 88, 071902 (2013) JSD, PRD 89, 036002 (2014)

$$d\Gamma \propto \int |M^{2\nu}|^2 F(Z, E_1) F(Z, E_2)$$
$$\times \delta(E_1 + E_2 + \omega_1 + \omega_2 - \Delta M)$$
$$\times \frac{d^3 p_1}{2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{2E_2} \frac{d^3 q_1}{2\omega_1} \frac{d^3 q_2}{2\omega_2}$$

$$l\Gamma \propto \int |M^{0\nu}|^2 F(Z, E_1) F(Z, E_2)$$
$$\times \delta(E_1 + E_2 - \Delta M) \frac{d^3 p_1}{2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{2E_2}$$

LV double beta decay



$0 \nu \beta \beta$ half-life gets modified

 neutrino propagator modifies the effective mass

$$\frac{1}{T_{1/2}} = G(Z,Q) \, |M^{0\nu}|^2 \, m^2$$

$$m^2 \rightarrow m^2 + m \frac{g}{R} + \left(\frac{g}{R}\right)^2$$

R: nuclear radius

neutrinoless double beta decay can occur for massless neutrinos



Summary



- Tests of Lorentz invariance constitute a worldwide effort across multiple disciplines
- We have determined the key experimental signatures of Lorentz and CPT violation in
 - neutrino oscillations
 - ToF & modified reactions
 - single & double beta decays
- Many effects of Lorentz violation remain unexplored
- Interesting prospects for low- and high-energy experiments
- Rich research area for theory-experiment collaboration

Summary



- Tests of Lorentz invariance constitute a worldwide effort across multiple disciplines
- We have determined the key experimental signatures of Lorentz and CPT violation in
 - neutrino oscillations
 - ToF & modified reactions
 - single & double beta decays
- Many effects of Lorentz violation remain unexplored
- Interesting prospects for low- and high-energy experiments
- Rich research area for theory-experiment collaboration

"Today we say that the law of relativity is supposed to be true at all energies, but someday somebody may come along and say how stupid we were." R.P. Feynman