

Particle and Astroparticle Theory Seminar MPIK, Heidelberg 18 January 2016

### High-energy astrophysical neutrinos Potential sources and gamma-ray constraints

#### Shin'ichiro Ando

GRAPPA, University of Amsterdam

#### What are sources of TeV–PeV neutrinos?



### Quick summary of IceCube neutrinos



ICRC 2015 [1510.05223]

From tens of TeV to a few to be formation of the second second

## Possible astrophysical explanations



Particle dark matter

#### Possible astrophysical explanations

AGN



#### Padvani et al., 1506.09135

#### Dark matter decay



SFG/SB



#### **GRB**



**Galaxy clusters** 



Zandanel et al., 1410.8697

Photohadron

$$p + \gamma \to \pi^0, \pi^{\pm}$$

Usually, protons have to be very energetic, making pions very energetic too

Hadronuclear

$$p + p \to \pi^0, \pi^{\pm}$$

Interaction can happen for low-energy protons

Photohadron

$$p + \gamma \to \pi^0, \pi^{\pm}$$

Usually, protons have to be very energetic, making pions very energetic too

Hadronuclear

$$p + p \to \pi^0, \pi^{\pm}$$

Interaction can happen for low-energy protons

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Pion decays} & \pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma \\ & \pi^\pm \rightarrow \mu^\pm + \nu_\mu \\ & \mu^\pm \rightarrow e^\pm + \nu_e + \nu_\mu \end{array}$ 

Photohadron

$$p + \gamma \to \pi^0, \pi^{\pm}$$

Usually, protons have to be very energetic, making pions very energetic too

Hadronuclear

$$p + p \to \pi^0, \pi^{\pm}$$

Interaction can happen for low-energy protons

Pion decays



Photohadron

$$p + \gamma \to \pi^0, \pi^{\pm}$$

Usually, protons have to be very energetic, making pions very energetic too

Hadronuclear

$$p + p \to \pi^0, \pi^{\pm}$$

Interaction can happen for low-energy protons

Pion decays



Any (optically thin) hadronuclear sources will produce both neutrinos and gamma rays down to **GeV energies** 

# GeV gamma rays: Fermi-LAT



### What constitutes the gamma-ray sky?

*Fermi*-LAT, arXiv:1501.02003 [astro-ph.HE]



Emission from our Galaxy + 3FGL sources (3033 in catalog)



#### pp and py sources: 0th order classification



	SFG/SB	Galaxy clusters	Other pp sources	Conclusion
Gamma-ray (non)detection	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		SB preferred
Radio number count		$\checkmark$		Clusters disfavored
Cross correlation with galaxies	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	SB preferred; Clusters disfavored

	SFG/SB	Galaxy clusters	Other pp sources	Conclusion
Gamma-ray (non)detection	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		SB preferred
Radio number count		$\checkmark$		Clusters disfavored
Cross correlation with galaxies	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	SB preferred; Clusters disfavored

	SFG/SB	Galaxy clusters	Other pp sources	Conclusion
Gamma-ray (non)detection	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		SB preferred
Radio number count		$\checkmark$		Clusters disfavored
Cross correlation with galaxies	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	SB preferred; Clusters disfavored

	SFG/SB	Galaxy clusters	Other pp sources	Conclusion
Gamma-ray (non)detection	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		SB preferred
Radio number count		$\checkmark$		Clusters disfavored
Cross correlation with galaxies	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	SB preferred; Clusters disfavored



#### Star-forming and starburst galaxies A guaranteed source class

### Recipe for computation

$$I(E) = \int dz \frac{d^2 V}{d\Omega dz} \int dL \, \Phi(L,z) F[L,(1+z)E,z]$$

 $d^2V/d\Omega dz$ : volume element (depends only on cosmology)  $\Phi$ : luminosity function; source density per L ~ L+dL F: flux from a source L at z and energy (1+z)E

- Roughly speaking: (flux per source) \* (source number)
- More precisely: integration over flux F weighed by luminosity function Φ and volume element

### Infrared luminosity function of galaxies



Gruppioni et al., Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 432, 23 (2013)

- Herschel PEP/HerMES luminosity function up to z ~ 4
- Constructed for several different sub-classes
  - Spiral galaxies
  - Starbursts
  - Star-forming galaxies including AGN
- Well fitted with modified Schechter function with evolving density and luminosity parameters

## $L_{IR}$ - $L_{\gamma}$ correlation, and relation to $L_{\nu}$

 To obtain gamma-ray luminosity function from IR luminosity function, one needs L<sub>IR</sub>-L<sub>γ</sub> relation

$$\Phi_{\gamma}(L_{\gamma}) = \Phi_{\rm IR}(L_{\rm IR}(L_{\gamma})) \frac{dL_{\rm IR}}{dL_{\gamma}}$$

- Well calibrated for wide luminosity range with *Fermi*-LAT
- $L_{v}$ - $L_{\gamma}$  relation:

$$\sum_{\alpha} L_{\nu_{\alpha}}(E_{\nu}) \simeq 6L_{\gamma}(E_{\gamma} = 2E_{\nu})$$



Fermi-LAT, Astrophys. J. 755, 164 (2012)

#### Galaxy contributions to $\gamma$ & $\nu$ backgrounds



Tamborra, Ando, Murase, *JCAP* **09**, 043 (2014)

- Assumed spectral index: -2.7 for spirals, -2.2 for starbursts
- If there is no cutoff, starbursts can explain IceCube neutrinos (and Fermi IGRB)

### Dependence on starburst spectra

Fermi-LAT, Astrophys. J. 709, L152 (2010)



- Γ<sub>SB</sub>: spectral index for starbursts, still uncertain
- Harder spectrum for starbursts (>-2.15) is *excluded* with IceCube

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

Tamborra, Ando, Murase, JCAP 09, 043 (2014)

#### Coma galaxy cluster

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Clusters of galaxies

Constraints from gamma-ray non-detections and radio counts

#### Clusters of Galaxies

Largest gravitationally bound systems in the Universe with mass of  $10^{14} - 10^{15} M_{\odot}$  and radius of few Mpc

Actively evolving objects

Cosmic energy reservoirs

Expected to contain substantial populations of cosmic rays (CRs) and dark matter

Powerful cosmological tools to test models on the origin and evolution of the Universe

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

On Dark Clear Nights, You Can See Forever Perseus Galaxy Cluster (Abell426), NGC1275 and supernova 2008fg in NGC1268

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

can generate non-thermal emission from radio to gamma-ray frequencies

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

via thermal X-ray emission and Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect

From F. Zandanel

### But clusters are not bright in gamma rays!

#### Analysis of Coma cluster with 63-month Fermi-LAT data

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

Zandanel, Ando, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 440, 663 (2014)

#### No detection so far (TS < 4)

But see Selig et al. (2015) for claim of positive signature (other clusters)

### Templates for Coma and upper limits

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

model	notes	TS	Γ	$F_{\rm UL}$ [×10 <sup>-9</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ]
PS PP ZPP-100 ZPP-2 Relic Ellipse Ellipse Ring Disk	$\gamma_{tu} = 100$ $\gamma_{tu} = 2$ tilted	0.0 0.3 0.1 1.3 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 1.5	-2 $-1.18^*$ -2 -2 -2 -2 -2 -2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.62 \\ 1.08 \\ 0.92 \\ 1.81 \\ 0.09 \\ 2.49 \\ 1.74 \\ 2.59 \\ 2.91 \end{array}$

Zandanel, Ando, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 440, 663 (2014)

- <u>Implications</u>
  - Protons maximum acceleration efficiency at shocks < 20%</li>
  - CR-to-thermal pressure < 0.5%

### Contribution to y & v backgrounds

$$I(E) = \int dz \frac{d^2 V}{d\Omega dz} \int dM_{500} \frac{dn(M_{500}, z)}{dM_{500}} F[L(M_{500}), (1+z)E, z]$$

- Use halo mass function  $dn/dM_{500}$  instead of luminosity function
- Luminosity-mass relation  $L(M_{500})$  is unknown, but expected to be  $L \sim (M_{500})^{5/3}$
- Correlation between γ and radio luminosities is expected (radio from synchrotron from secondary electrons) → radio measurements can be used for γ & v!

### Contribution to y & v backgrounds

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Zandanel, Tamborra, Gabici, Ando, Astron. Astrophys. 578, A32 (2015)

Radio constraints are very tight, and clusters
 cannot contribute to γ & v backgrounds strongly

### Contribution to y & v backgrounds

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Zandanel, Tamborra, Gabici, Ando, Astron. Astrophys. 578, A32 (2015)

Radio constraints are very tight, and clusters
 cannot contribute to γ & v backgrounds strongly

### Contribution to $\gamma$ & $\nu$ backgrounds

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Zandanel, Tamborra, Gabici, Ando, Astron. Astrophys. 578, A32 (2015)

Radio constraints are very tight, and clusters
 cannot contribute to γ & v backgrounds strongly

## Take-home message 1

- Fermi and IceCube found diffuse backgrounds of gamma rays and neutrinos, respectively
- Star-forming and starburst galaxies can explain the IceCube neutrinos, if protons can be accelerated up to tens of PeV, as well as the IGRB
- Clusters of galaxies, formerly believed to be a strong high-energy emitter, cannot contribute to the both backgrounds significantly, because of radio and gamma-ray constraints for individual sources (such as Coma)

#### **Cross correlation with galaxy distribution** Tomographic constraints on neutrinos

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

Huchra et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 199, 26 (2011)

#### **Cross correlation with galaxy distribution** Tomographic constraints on neutrinos

# Are these two maps similar to each other?

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $10^{3}$ 

Huchra et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **199**, 26 (2011)

Fermi-LAT, Astrophys. J. 799, 86 (2015)

#### **Cross correlation with galaxy distribution** Tomographic constraints on neutrinos

# Are these two maps similar to each other?

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

Counts / Pixel

 $10^{3}$ 

Fermi-LAT, Astrophys. J. 799, 86 (2015)

They must be, since both gammaray sources and galaxies trace dark matter distribution!

Huchra et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 199, 26 (2011)

#### Cross correlation between IGRB and galaxies

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Regis et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 241301 (2015)

- Yet another probe of gamma-ray sources due to recent measurements of cross correlations between IGRB and galaxy catalogs
- Originally proposed for dark matter annihilation (Ando et al., 2014) and was recently proven to be a strong probe
- This can also be applied to any neutrino sources if they are of pp origin!

1. Energy **spectrum** is power law

$$\frac{dN}{dE} \propto E^{-\alpha}$$

1. Energy **spectrum** is power law

$$\frac{dN}{dE} \propto E^{-\alpha}$$

2. Source **luminosity density** evolves as power of 1+z $\mathcal{E} \propto (1+z)^{\delta}$ , for z < 1.5

1. Energy **spectrum** is power law

$$\frac{dN}{dE} \propto E^{-\alpha}$$

- 2. Source **luminosity density** evolves as power of 1+z $\mathcal{E} \propto (1+z)^{\delta}$ , for z < 1.5
- 3. Sources **trace underlying dark matter** distribution in an unbiased way

$$P_{\gamma g}(k, z) = b_{\gamma} b_{g} P_{m}(k, z)$$
 with  $b_{\gamma} = 1$ 

# Spectral constraints

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

# Spectral constraints

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

# Spectral constraints

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

# Tomographic constraints

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

# Tomographic constraints

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

# Tomographic constraints

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

## Dependence on $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

## Dependence on $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$

#### Soft spectrum

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

## Dependence on $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

#### Constraints on gamma-ray luminosity density

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

Cross-correlation data give constraints tighter by *up to 1 order of magnitude*!

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Spectral constraints: α has to be smaller than ~2.2

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Spectral constraints: α has to be smaller than ~2.2
- Tomographic constraints:

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 221101 (2015)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Spectral constraints: α has to be smaller than ~2.2
- Tomographic constraints:
  - If δ is smaller than ~3, source with spectrum softer than E<sup>-2.1</sup> is disfavored

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Spectral constraints: α has to be smaller than ~2.2
- Tomographic constraints:
  - If δ is smaller than ~3, source with spectrum softer than E<sup>-2.1</sup> is disfavored
- If δ ~ 4, both spectral and tomographic data give comparable constraints

Ando, Tamborra, Zandanel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 221101 (2015)

# Possible pp sources

#### Star-forming/starburst galaxies

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

- No direct measurement of  $\delta$  yet
- Infrared luminosity density suggests  $\delta \sim 3-4$

#### Clusters of galaxies

- Cosmic rays accelerated through large-scale-structure shocks or provided by sources (AGNs, galaxies)
- In both cases, δ is very small (i.e., clusters are found only in low-z)

### What if blazars explain most IGRB data?

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

Bechtol et al., 1511.00688

- Blazars might be responsible for ~85% of IGRB spectrum above 50 GeV (Fermi-LAT, 1511.00693)
  - If so, only very hard sources

     (α ~ 2) are allowed as the
     origin of the IceCube
     neutrinos
- Maybe such hard sources are disfavoured by IceCube data??
  - If so, any pp sources are highly disfavoured

### Exception: Hidden pp sources?

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

GRB-like jets, but richer with baryons (i.e., slower jets and optically thick): hence cannot be identified with gamma rays

## Take-home message 2

- New tomographic constraints are obtained with the galaxy-gamma cross-correlation measurements
- They exclude soft sources with relatively slow redshift evolution much more strongly than spectral constraints
- Sources with fast evolution (including starbursts) are still allowed, but they must have **hard spectrum** ( $E^{-2}$ )

	SFG/SB	Galaxy clusters	Other pp sources	Conclusion
Gamma-ray (non)detection	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		SB prefrred
Radio number count		$\checkmark$		Clusters disfavored
Cross correlation with galaxies	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	SB preferred; Clusters disfavored

### Conclusions

- Interesting era for high-energy gamma-ray and neutrino astrophysics
- Study of astrophysical sources that contribute to the backgrounds started going into more quantitative argument
- Coherent picture of gamma rays and neutrinos and their interplay are important
- This might also lead to groundbreaking discovery of new physics (e.g., dark matter annihilation)!