Resonant Leptogenesis (and large flavour effects)

Tom Underwood

with Apostolos Pilaftsis

PRD72 113001 (2005); NPB692 303-345 (2004).



- Enhancement of the CP-asymmetry in the decays of heavy Majorana neutrinos
- Large Flavour Effects
 - Significant effects of relaxing the one flavour approximation – especially in resonant leptogenesis
- An example with interesting phenomenology
 - Resonant-τ-leptogenesis

Outline

Resonant Leptogenesis

- Large Flavour Effects
- Phenomenologically interesting example

We know the Universe possesses a baryon - antibaryon asymmetry and the baryon abundance has now been "measured" reasonably well:

 using cosmic microwave background (+ large scale structure) measurements



D. N. Spergel et al. [WMAP Collaboration], ApJS148(2003)175

$$\frac{n_B}{n_{\gamma}} \equiv \eta = (6.14 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-10}$$

 using measurements of the primordial abundances of the light elements and calculations of their synthesis



$$4.7 \le (\eta \times 10^{10}) \le 6.5 \quad (95 \% \text{ C.L.})$$

In remarkable agreement with the CMB determination

B. Fields and S. Sarkar, astro-ph/0601514

Resonant Leptogenesis



- If $M_i M_j \ll M_i$ then the self-energy (a)(b) contribution to the CP-asymmetry becomes dominant.
- If M_i − M_j ~ Γ_i then the CP-asymmetry can become very large, even O(1).

For 2 nearly degenerate heavy neutrinos, in the limit $m_{N_i} - m_{N_j} \ll m_{N_i}$, the ε -type contribution dominates and is given by

$$\varepsilon_{N_i} = \frac{\mathrm{Im}(h^{\nu\dagger} h^{\nu})_{ij}^2}{(h^{\nu\dagger} h^{\nu})_{ii}(h^{\nu\dagger} h^{\nu})_{jj}} \frac{(m_{N_i}^2 - m_{N_j}^2)m_{N_i}\Gamma_{N_j}^{(0)}}{(m_{N_i}^2 - m_{N_j}^2)^2 + m_{N_i}^2\Gamma_{N_j}^{(0)\,2}}$$

CP asymmetries of order 1 are possible when

$$m_{N_2} - m_{N_1} \sim \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{N_{1,2}}^{(0)}, \qquad \frac{\mathrm{Im}(h^{\nu \dagger} h^{\nu})_{ij}^2}{(h^{\nu \dagger} h^{\nu})_{ii}(h^{\nu \dagger} h^{\nu})_{jj}} \sim 1$$

[A. Pilaftsis PRD56(1997)5431]

For 3 nearly degenerate heavy neutrinos, see [A. Pilaftsis, T.U., NPB692 303-345 (2004)]

Outline

- Resonant Leptogenesis
- Large Flavour Effects
- Phenomenologically interesting example

Large Flavour Effects

- $\frac{1}{3}B L_l$ is exactly conserved in the SM
- In the early Universe lepton number is distributed amongst distinguishable lepton flavours and is created and destroyed by flavour dependent processes
- The dynamics of leptogenesis are sensitive to the neutrino Yukawa flavour structure
- The charged lepton Yukawa couplings distinguish between lepton flavours in the plasma
 - $T \gtrsim 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$ no charged lepton Yukawas in equilibrium
 - $10^9 \lesssim T \lesssim 10^{12} {
 m ~GeV} \ au$ Yukawa in equilibrium
 - $10^5 \lesssim T \lesssim 10^9 \; {
 m GeV} \; au$ and μ Yukawas in equilibrium
 - $T \lesssim 10^5 \text{ GeV } \tau, \mu$ and e Yukawas in equilibrium

Large Flavour Effects

- $\frac{1}{3}B L_l$ is exactly conserved in the SM
- In the early Universe lepton number is distributed amongst distinguishable lepton flavours and is created and destroyed by flavour dependent processes
- The dynamics of leptogenesis are sensitive to the neutrino Yukawa flavour structure
- The charged lepton Yukawa couplings distinguish between lepton flavours in the plasma
 - $T \gtrsim 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$ no charged lepton Yukawas in equilibrium
 - $10^9 \lesssim T \lesssim 10^{12} {
 m ~GeV} \ au$ Yukawa in equilibrium
 - $10^5 \lesssim T \lesssim 10^9 \; {
 m GeV} \; \tau$ and μ Yukawas in equilibrium
 - $T \lesssim 10^5 {
 m ~GeV} \ au, \mu$ and e Yukawas in equilibrium

Other work on flavour and the dynamics of leptogenesis;

R. Barbieri, P. Creminelli, A. Strumia and N. Tetradis, hep-ph/9911315,

- O. Vives, hep-ph/0512160,
- A. Abada, S. Davidson, F. X. Josse-Michaux, M. Losada and
- A. Riotto, hep-ph/0601083,
- E. Nardi, Y. Nir, E. Roulet and J. Racker, hep-ph/0601084
- A. Abada, S. Davidson, A. Ibarra, F. X. Josse-Michaux, M. Losada and A. Riotto, hep-ph/0605281,
- S. Blanchet and P. Di Bari, hep-ph/0607330,
- S. Blanchet, P. Di Bari and G. G. Raffelt, hep-ph/0611337,
- T. Shindou and T. Yamashita, hep-ph/0703183.

- Why can flavour effects be much larger in resonant leptogenesis scenarios?
- $T \lesssim 10^5$ GeV the charged lepton Yukawa interections are all in equilibrium.
 - We should solve Boltzmann equations taking three distinguishable flavours into account.

$$\frac{dn_{L_l}}{dt} + 3H n_{L_l} = (n_N - n_N^{\text{eq}})\delta_N^l \gamma^D + \dots$$

- Consider the dynamics of one heavy neutrino
- $n_N n_N^{eq}$ controls the dynamics of leptogenesis
- if you increase the *N*₁ Yukawa coupling to some flavours *n_N* comes closer to equilibrium and the leptogenesis efficiency drops

- Why can flavour effects be much larger in resonant leptogenesis scenarios?
- $T \lesssim 10^5 \text{ GeV}$ the charged lepton Yukawa interections are all in equilibrium.
 - We should solve Boltzmann equations taking three distinguishable flavours into account.

$$\frac{dn_{L_l}}{dt} + 3H n_{L_l} = (n_N - n_N^{\text{eq}})\delta_N^l \gamma^D + \dots$$

- Consider the dynamics of one heavy neutrino
- $n_N n_N^{\text{eq}}$ controls the dynamics of leptogenesis
- if you increase the N₁ Yukawa coupling to some flavours n_N comes closer to equilibrium and the leptogenesis efficiency drops – it is difficult to generate and protect a single flavour asymmetry this way

Outline

- Resonant Leptogenesis
- Large Flavour Effects
- Phenomenologically interesting example

An example

Resonant τ -leptogenesis

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \left((\bar{\nu}_{iR})^{C} (M_{S})_{ij} \nu_{jR} + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ + \sum_{i=e,\mu,\tau} \left[\hat{h}_{ii}^{l} \bar{L}_{i} \Phi l_{iR} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} h_{ij}^{\nu_{R}} \bar{L}_{i} \tilde{\Phi} \nu_{jR} + \text{h.c.} \right) \right]$$

• Impose an SO(3) flavour symmetry on the ν_{Ri}

$$M_S = m_N \, \mathbf{1}_3 \, + \, \Delta M_S$$

• leads to 3 nearly degenerate heavy neutrinos

[A. Pilaftsis, hep-ph/0408103, A.P., T.U., hep-ph/0506107]

An example

Resonant τ -leptogenesis

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} \left((\bar{\nu}_{iR})^{C} (M_{S})_{ij} \nu_{jR} + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ + \sum_{i=e,\mu,\tau} \left[\hat{h}_{ii}^{l} \bar{L}_{i} \Phi l_{iR} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{h_{ij}^{\nu_{R}} \bar{L}_{i} \tilde{\Phi} \nu_{jR} + \text{h.c.} \right) \right]$$

 The remaining SO(3)×U(1)_{L_e}×U(1)_{L_μ}×U(1)_{L_τ} is explicitly broken by the neutrino Yukawas but we can leave a subgroup SO(2)≃U(1)_l unbroken

$$Q(L_i) = Q(l_{iR}) = 1, Q(\nu_{1R}) = 0, Q\left(\frac{\nu_{2R} + i\nu_{3R}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = -Q\left(\frac{\nu_{2R} - i\nu_{3R}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = 1$$

 In the exact flavour symmetric limit, the light neutrinos are prevented from acquiring a mass

$$h^{\nu_R} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a e^{-i\pi/4} & a e^{i\pi/4} \\ 0 & b e^{-i\pi/4} & b e^{i\pi/4} \\ 0 & c e^{-i\pi/4} & c e^{i\pi/4} \end{pmatrix} + \delta h^{\nu_R}$$

- Small symmetry breaking terms ΔM_S and δh^{ν_R} will lead to small Majorana masses these could be generated via the Froggatt-Nielsen mechanism for example.
 - They could also be generated through RG evolution.
- For this example, we restrict δh^{ν_R} to the form

$$\delta h^{\nu_R} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_e & 0 & 0\\ \varepsilon_\mu & 0 & 0\\ \varepsilon_\tau & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A numerical example consistent with light neutrino data

$$h^{\nu_R} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_e & a e^{-i\pi/4} & a e^{i\pi/4} \\ \varepsilon_\mu & b e^{-i\pi/4} & b e^{i\pi/4} \\ \varepsilon_\tau & c e^{-i\pi/4} & c e^{i\pi/4} \end{pmatrix}$$

- $m_N \sim 250 \,\mathrm{GeV}$
- $|a| \sim |b| \sim 10^{-2}$ and $|c| \sim 10^{-6}$ to get accessible phenomenology whilst still protecting the τ -lepton flavour from washout.
- $|\varepsilon_e|$, $|\varepsilon_\mu|$, $|\varepsilon_\tau| \sim 10^{-6}$ and $\Delta M_S/m_N \sim 10^{-5} 10^{-9}$
- We place a "naturalness criterion" on the heavy and light neutrino spectra. No cancellations between 1-loop and tree induced contributions smaller than 1 part in 20.

We can solve "flavoured" Boltzmann equations

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\eta_{\Delta L_j}}{dz} &= \\ \frac{z}{H(z=1)} \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \delta_{N_{\alpha}}^{j} \left(\frac{\eta_{N_{\alpha}}}{\eta_{N_{\alpha}}^{eq}} - 1 \right) \sum_{k=e,\mu,\tau} \left(\Gamma^{D\ (\alpha k)} + \Gamma_{\text{Yukawa}}^{S\ (\alpha k)} + \Gamma_{\text{Gauge}}^{S\ (\alpha k)} \right) \\ &- \frac{2}{3} \eta_{\Delta L_j} \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} B_{N_{\alpha}}^{j} \left(\widetilde{\Gamma}^{D\ (\alpha j)} + \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{Yukawa}}^{S\ (\alpha j)} + \widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{Gauge}}^{S\ (\alpha j)} + \Gamma_{\text{Yukawa}}^{W\ (\alpha j)} + \Gamma_{\text{Gauge}}^{W\ (\alpha j)} \right) \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k=e,\mu,\tau} \left(\Gamma_{\text{Yukawa}}^{\Delta L=2\ (jk)} + \Gamma_{\text{Yukawa}}^{\Delta L=0\ (jk)} \right) \right] \\ &- \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=e,\mu,\tau} \eta_{\Delta L_k} \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \delta_{N_{\alpha}}^{j} \delta_{N_{\alpha}}^{k} \left(\Gamma_{\text{Yukawa}}^{W\ (\alpha k)} + \Gamma_{\text{Gauge}}^{W\ (\alpha k)} \right) \\ &+ \Gamma_{\text{Yukawa}}^{\Delta L=2\ (kj)} - \Gamma_{\text{Yukawa}}^{\Delta L=0\ (kj)} \right] \right\} \end{split}$$

 $\eta_X = n_X/n_\gamma\,,\quad z = m_{N_1}/T$

Define a (flavoured) out-of-equilibrium parameter, $K_{N_{a}}^{l}$

$$K_{N_{\alpha}}^{l} = \frac{\Gamma(N_{\alpha} \to L_{l}\Phi) + \Gamma(N_{\alpha} \to L_{l}^{C}\Phi^{\dagger})}{H(T = m_{N_{\alpha}})}$$

An order of magnitude estimate of the baryon asymmetry, including flavour effects

$$\eta_B \sim -10^{-2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{l} \delta_{N_{\alpha}}^{l} \frac{K_{N_{\alpha}}^{l}}{K_l K_{N_{\alpha}}}$$

valid for nearly degenerate heavy neutrinos & $K_{N_{\alpha}}^{l} \gtrsim 1$.

$$K_{N_{\alpha}} = \sum_{l=e,\mu,\tau} K_{N_{\alpha}}^{l}, \qquad K_{l} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} K_{N_{\alpha}}^{l}$$

The flavoured *K*-factors illustrate why this scenario works:

$K_{N_{\alpha}}^{l}$			α	
u		1	2	3
	e	1.0×10^{10}	$1.0 imes 10^{10}$	25
l	μ	1.4×10^{9}	1.4×10^{9}	20
	τ	2.5	2.5	5.0

One heavy neutrino decays sufficiently out of equilibrium, one flavour is protected from wash-out.

The flavoured *K*-factors illustrate why this scenario works:

$K_{N_{\alpha}}^{l}$			α	
u		1	2	3
	e	1.0×10^{10}	1.0×10^{10}	25
l	μ	1.4×10^{9}	1.4×10^{9}	20
	τ	2.5	2.5	5.0

One heavy neutrino decays sufficiently out of equilibrium, one flavour is protected from wash-out.

The flavoured *K*-factors illustrate why this scenario works:

$K_{N_{\alpha}}^{l}$			α	
		1	2	3
	e	1.0×10^{10}	1.0×10^{10}	25
l	μ	1.4×10^{9}	1.4×10^{9}	20
	au	2.5	2.5	5.0

One heavy neutrino decays sufficiently out of equilibrium, one flavour is protected from wash-out.



The predicted evolution of η_{L_l} and η_B for models based on $m_N = 1 \text{ TeV}$ and $m_N = 250 \text{ GeV}$. The scenarios are scaled such that $a/m_N^{\frac{1}{2}} = 6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Lepton Flavour Violation



Heavy Majorana neutrinos can induce lepton flavour violating couplings involving the γ and Z.

In the τ -leptogenesis scenario, these couplings give rise to the decays

They can also induce coherent $\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion in nuclei.

 $\mu
ightarrow e\gamma$

For two nearly degenerate heavy neutrinos where $m_N^2 \gg M_W^2$, using the $m_N = 250 \text{ GeV}$ example:

$$\mu \to e\gamma$$

$$B(\mu \to e\gamma) = \frac{\alpha_w^3 s_w^2}{256\pi^2} \frac{m_\mu^4}{M_W^4} \frac{m_\mu}{\Gamma_\mu} |G_\gamma^{\mu e}|^2 \approx 7 \cdot 10^{-4} \times \frac{|a|^2 |b|^2 v^4}{m_N^4}$$

$$\approx 1 \times 10^{-12}$$

This is well within reach of the experiment proposed by the MEG collaboration, which will be sensitive to $B(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) \sim 10^{-14}$

$\mu \rightarrow e$ conversion

For ${}^{48}_{22}$ Ti, and the $m_N = 250$ GeV example:

 $\mu \rightarrow e \text{ conversion}$ $B_{\mu e}(26, 22) \simeq 0.5 \times B(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ $\simeq 4.5 \times 10^{-13}$

This is just below the present experimental bound, and well within the reach of the experiment proposed by the MECO and PRIME collaborations (sensitive to $B_{\mu e}(26, 22) \sim 10^{-16}$).

- Nearly degenerate heavy neutrinos lead to large CP-asymmetries in the decays of heavy neutrinos – Resonant Leptogenesis
 - Resonant leptogenesis possible with electroweak-scale heavy neutrinos
- Lepton flavour effects can greatly affect the dynamics of resonant leptogenesis scenarios
- These effects can be exploited to build models with a considerable amount of heavy neutrino related phenomenology e.g. lepton flavour violating decays