Gamma-ray Narrow-Line Seyfert 1 Galaxies

Luigi Foschini Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica (INAF) Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Merate, Italy

Gamma 2012, Heidelberg – July 12, 2012





Narrow-Line Seyfert 1 Galaxies

FWHM	< 2000 km/s	Goodrich 1989
[OIII]/Hβ	< 3	Osterbrock & Pogge 1985
FeII	Yes 🖙 No obscuration	Osterbrock & Pogge 1985
Mass Black Hole	10^{6} - $10^{8} \mathrm{M_{\odot}}$	Peterson, Mathur, Decarli, Marconi, Bentz, Denney, Vestergaard, Woo, Wandel,
Accretion rate	0.1-1 Eddington	Boroson & Green 1992; Boller+ 1996
Host galaxy	spiral, mostly barred	Crenshaw+ 2003; Deo+ 2006
Star formation	Yes, high	Sani+ 2010
Age	Young, < Gyr	Grupe 1996, Grupe+ 1999, Mathur 2000, Mathur+ 2011, Orban de Xivry+ 2011
Radio	7% is radio-loud	Komossa+ 2006
γ rays	YES! First detection by <i>Fermi</i> LAT Coll. in 2008 (see next slides)	First (negative) attempt with <i>Whipple</i> at E > 400 GeV (Falcone+ 2004).

Discovery of GeV emission from NLS1s

PMN J0948+0022 a.k.a. SDSS J094857.31+002225.4 (0.5846) The first NLS1 detected at high-energy γ–rays (LAT Coll. 2009a, 2010)

Already found to be radio-loud with flat spectrum and high brightness temperature by Zhou+ (2003)



Model by Ghisellini & Tavecchio (2009)

 17σ detection!

2009 MW Campaign

Observation of MW coordinated variability s jet emission, confirmation of the association



2010 MW Campaign on PMN J0948+0022

Triggered by the first γ -ray outburst (peak $\approx 10^{-6}$ ph cm⁻² s⁻¹ > L $\approx 10^{48}$ erg/s!)





Present status



Sample of 50 radio-loud NLS1s from Komossa+ 2006, SDSS (Yuan+ 2008), FBQS (Whalen+ 2006), plus others individual sources (Grupe+ 2000, Oshlack+ 2001, Zhou & Wang 2002, Zhou+ 2003, Gallo+ 2006, Zhou+ 2007).

Results on 40 months of LAT data (ST 9.23.1; IRF 7):

5 high-confidence detections (>5 σ):

- J0324+3410 (z=0.061) 2FGL
- J0849+5108 (z=0.584)
- J0948+0022 (z=0.585) 2FGL
- J1505+0326 (z=0.409) 2FGL
- J2007-4434 (z=0.240) 2FGL

3 low-confidence detection (3< σ <5):

- SDSS J080409.23+385348.8 (z=0.211) Month time scale (4 σ)
- SDSS J124634.65+023809.0 (z=0.363) (3.7 σ)
- SDSS J163401.94+480940.2 (z=0.495) Month time scale (3.6 σ)

5 are from the flux-limited sample of 23 RL-NLS1s from SDSS (Yuan+ 2008) \$\$ 22%



Radio morphology of γ-NLS1s: very compact on pc scale



VLBA (2cm/15 GHz) MOJAVE Project (> http://www.physics.purdue.edu/MOJAVE/)

Search for the parent population 5-8 beamed NLS1 with $\Gamma \approx 10$ \clubsuit $\approx 2\Gamma^2$ unbeamed parent sources $\approx 1000-1600$

Where are they? Three options:

Option 1: if the radio emission is so compact and negligible extended (isotropic) emission is present, then when the source is viewed at large angles, it could be a radio-quiet NLS1.

Giroletti & Panessa (2009) found some microJansky structures in radio-quiet NLS1s



Search for the parent population

Option 2 (simplest): radio-loud NLS1s with jet viewed at large angles.

Gliozzi+ (2011)

Doi+ (2012)



Search for the parent population



Option 3: search for broad-line radio galaxies hosted in spirals.

If the BLR is disk-like, when we observe pole-on the lines are narrow, but if we observe edge-on, the lines are broad as usual (Decarli+ 2008).

Some cases of RG in spirals are already available in literature, but they were not "seen": possible misclassification of E with S0, but also the opposite (bright S0 are classified as E).

Inskip+ (2010) in a sample of 42 radio sources (2Jy sample) found that **12**% are hosted in "disk" galaxies.

Parent population Present status



Sample of 22 radio galaxies from literature according to the three options previously outlined.

Results on 40 months of LAT data (ST 9.23.1; IRF 7):

3 high-confidence detections (>5 σ):

- Mkn 1501 (z=0.089) Month time scale
- PKS 0336-177 (z=0.065) 2FGL
- SDSS J141558.81+132023.7 (z=0.247) 2FGL
- 3 low-confidence detection (3< σ <5):
 - 3C 120 (z=0.033) 4.9 σ Not in FGL, but see the "misaligned" paper (LAT Coll. 2010)
 - B2 0722+30 (z=0.019) Month time scale 3 σ
 - SDSS J170441.37+604430.4 (z=0.372) Month time scale 3.7 σ

IC310, radio galaxy in S0: head-tail or jet? 🖙 poster Eisenacher, talk Colin

Why γ–NLS1 are important?

Notes on the unification of jets at all scales

One more point of view

Compare more physical quantities (inferred from observations): mass, accretion rate, jet power (see LF 2011, 2012).

The sample:

92 AGN:

53 FSRQs (data from Ghisellini+ 2010) 31 BL Lac Objects (data from Ghisellini+ 2010) 8 γ-NLS1s (data from LAT Coll. 2009c, LF 2011-2012) ΝΕΨΙ

5 Galactic Binaries (GB, in different states; 80 points)
3 stellar mass BHs (data from Corbel+ 2003, 2008, Coriat+ 2011)
2 neutron stars (data from Migliari & Fender 2006, Miller-Jones+ 2010)

Jet as a function of mass and accretion rate: *two regimes?*









Conclusions: Key Points

- **Radio-loud NLS1s** host a relativistic jet that can dissipate high power (with copious γ rays) at level of the most powerful quasars.
- We are now probing a **new parameter space** of AGN jets (low mass, high accretion, spiral host).
- There is increasing evidence that the jet/elliptical paradigm (
 requirement on mass) was an observational bias.
- More support of **Livio's conjecture**: jet formation is always the same, while the **nearby environment** can determine how the dissipation occurs (i.e. how we can see it).
- The **unification of jets** at all scales is now possible (low masses in Galactic binaries, low masses in AGN).
- Still searching for *The Universal Engine* of Jets...

Web page g-NLS1s: http://tinyurl.com/gnls1s