GERDA: Phase I results & status of Phase II upgrade

Matteo Agostini on behalf of the GERDA Collaboration

Technische Universität München (TUM), Germany

Neutrinos in Astro- and Particle Physics (NIAPP) workshop Munich, 30 Jun - 25 Jul 2014



- \bullet Neutrinoless double- β decay
- The GERDA experiment
- Phase I: analysis and results
- Upgrade for Phase II

$\mathsf{Double-}\beta \,\, \mathsf{decays}$

2-neutrino double- β decay ($2\nu\beta\beta$):

- $(A, Z) \to (A, Z+2) + 2e^- + 2\bar{\nu}_e$
- allowed in the Standard Model
- measured in several isotopes
- ${\cal T}_{1/2}^{2
 u}$ in the range $10^{19}-10^{24}\,{
 m yr}$



Double- β decays

2-neutrino double- β decay ($2\nu\beta\beta$):

- $(A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z+2) + 2e^- + 2\bar{\nu}_e$
- allowed in the Standard Model
- measured in several isotopes
- ${\cal T}_{1/2}^{2
 u}$ in the range $10^{19}-10^{24}\,{
 m yr}$



Neutrinoless double- β decay $(0\nu\beta\beta)$:

- $(A,Z) \rightarrow (A,Z+2) + 2e^{-}$
- lepton number violation ($\Delta L = 2$)
- physics beyond the Standard Model (e.g. light Majorana ν, R-handed weak currents, SUSY particles)
- ν has non-null Majorana mass component
- $T_{1/2}^{0\nu}$ limits in the range $10^{21} 10^{26}$ yr (10^{25} yr for 76 Ge)
- claim for a signal (subgroup of HdM experiment)



Neutrinoless double- β decay & neutrino physics

Assuming light-Majorana neutrino exchange as dominant $0\nu\beta\beta$ channel:

- $(T_{1/2}^{0\nu})^{-1} = G_{0\nu}(Q_{\beta\beta}, Z) |\mathcal{M}_{0\nu}(A, Z)|^2 \langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle^2$
- effective Majorana mass: $\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle \equiv \left| \sum_{i} U_{ei}^{2} m_{i} \right| = \left| c_{12}^{2} c_{13}^{2} m_{1} + s_{12}^{2} c_{13}^{2} m_{2} e^{i2\alpha} + s_{13}^{2} m_{3} e^{i2\beta} \right|$
- ν mass spectrum (inverted/normal hierarchy, absolute mass scale)



n

n

W

W

State of the art of $0\nu\beta\beta$ search with ⁷⁶Ge



KK claim 2004 [Phys.Lett. B586 198]

- o 71.7 kg∙yr
- \circ 28.75 \pm 6.86 signal events
- $\circ \ T_{1/2}^{\ 0
 u} = 1.19^{+0.37}_{-0.23} \cdot 10^{25} \, {
 m yr}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\mathsf{KK} \ \text{claim} \ 2006} \ [\text{Mod} \ \text{Phys} \ \text{Lett} \ A21] \\ \mathcal{T}_{1/2}^{0\nu} \ \text{central value and errors incorrect:} \\ \circ \ \text{missing efficiency corrections} \\ \circ \ \text{signal cts uncertainties} < \ \text{Poisson error} \end{array}$

[Ann. Phys. 525 (2013) 269]

Collaboration





Sensitivity and background goals

Phase I (Nov 2011 - May 2013):

- 15-20 kg of target mass (87% ^{76}Ge)
- bkg $\sim 10^{-2}\,\text{cts}/(\text{keV}{\cdot}\,\text{kg}{\cdot}\,\text{yr})$ at $\mathsf{Q}_{\beta\beta}$
- exposure 21.6 kg·yr
- sensitivity to scrutinize KK claim



Sensitivity and background goals

Phase I (Nov 2011 - May 2013):

- 15-20 kg of target mass (87% ^{76}Ge)
- bkg $\sim 10^{-2}\,\text{cts}/(\text{keV}{\cdot}\,\text{kg}{\cdot}\,\text{yr})$ at $\mathsf{Q}_{\beta\beta}$
- exposure 21.6 kg·yr
- sensitivity to scrutinize KK claim

Phase II (migration ongoing):

- new custom-produced BEGe detectors (additional 20 kg, 87% ⁷⁶Ge)
- bkg $\lesssim 10^{-3}$ cts/(keV· kg· yr) at Q_{$\beta\beta$} (active techniques for bkg suppression)
- exposure $\gtrsim 100 \, \text{kg·yr}$
- ullet start exploring ${\cal T}^{0\nu}_{1/2}$ in the $10^{26}\,{\rm yr}$ range



Detectors



Shielding strategy and apparatus

- bare Ge detectors in liquid Argon (LAr)
- \bullet shield: high-purity LAr/H_2O

- radio-pure material selection
- deep underground (LNGS, 3800 m.w.e.)



Backgrounds and mitigation techniques

Background sources:

- natural radioactivity (²³²Th and ²³⁸U chains):

 γ-rays (e.g. ²⁰⁸Tl, ²¹⁴Bi)
 α-emitting isotopes from surface contamination
 - (e.g. ²¹⁰Po) or ²²²Rn in LAr
- long-lived cosmogenic Ar isotopes (³⁹Ar,⁴²Ar)
- \bullet cosmogenic isotopes activated in Ge ($^{68}\text{Ge},~^{60}\text{Co})$



Backgrounds and mitigation techniques

Background sources:

- natural radioactivity (²³²Th and ²³⁸U chains): • γ -rays (e.g. ²⁰⁸Tl, ²¹⁴Bi)
 - $\circ~\alpha\text{-emitting}$ isotopes from surface contamination (e.g. $^{210}\mathrm{Po})$ or $^{222}\mathrm{Rn}$ in LAr
- \bullet long-lived cosmogenic Ar isotopes ($^{39}\mathrm{Ar},^{42}\mathrm{Ar})$
- cosmogenic isotopes activated in Ge (⁶⁸Ge, ⁶⁰Co)

Mitigation strategy:

- detector anti-coincidence
- time-coincidence (Bi-Po or 68 Ge)
- pulse shape analysis
- detection of LAr-scintillation light



Detector array assembly



- 3 + 1 strings
- 8 enrGe coaxial detectors (2 not considered in the analysis)
- 5 enrGe BEGe detectors (1 not considered in the analysis)
- 1 ^{nat}Ge coaxial detectors

^{enr}Ge mass for physics analysis: 14.6 kg (coaxial) + 3.0 kg (BEGe)

Overview of the data taking

- data taking Nov11 May13 (492 d)
- \bullet average duty cycle 88%
- total exposure $21.6 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{yr}$
- (bi)weekly calibration with Th-228 (blue spikes)

- BEGe detectors from Jul12
- 3 data sets:

dataset	exposure
coaxial (golden) coaxial (silver) BEGe	17.9 kg∙yr 1.3 kg∙yr 2.4 kg∙yr



Stability of the energy scale and resolution

Calibration runs:

- (bi)weekly calibration with Th-228
- off-line energy reconstruction (semi-Gaussian filter)
- energy resolution stable
- \bullet energy shift between successive calibrations $\lesssim 1 \mbox{ keV}$ @ $\mbox{Q}_{\beta\beta}$



0 uetaeta data set:

- peak position within 0.3 keV at correct position
- resolution 4% larger than in calibration runs
- mean FWHM at $Q_{\beta\beta}$ (mass/exposure weighted):

 $coax \longrightarrow 4.8 \pm 0.2 \, keV$

 $\mathsf{BEGe} \longrightarrow 3.2{\pm}0.2\,\mathsf{keV}$



Prominent structures in the energy spectrum

Background modeling



Contribution at $Q_{\beta\beta}$:

- γ-rays (close sources): Bi-214, TI-208, K-42
- α- and β-rays (surface decays): Ra-226 daughter, Po-210, K-42

more details in [EPJ C74 (2014) 2764]

Background modeling



Pulse shape discrimination

Coaxial detectors:

- artificial neural network
- cut defined using ²²⁸Th calibration data cut fixed to 90% acceptance of 2.6 MeV DEP
- cross checks:
 - $\circ 2\nu\beta\beta$ acc. = (85±2)%
 - $\circ~$ 2.6 MeV $\gamma\text{-line}$ compton-edge acc. = 85-94\%
 - \circ Co-56 DEP (1576 & 2231 keV) acc. = 83-95%

$$0
uetaeta$$
 acceptance $= 90^{+5}_{-9}\%$

background acc at $Q_{\beta\beta}{=}\sim\!\!45\%$



Pulse shape discrimination

Coaxial detectors:

- artificial neural network
- cut defined using ²²⁸Th calibration data cut fixed to 90% acceptance of 2.6 MeV DEP
- cross checks:
 - $\circ 2\nu\beta\beta$ acc. = (85±2)%
 - $\circ~$ 2.6 MeV $\gamma\text{-line}$ compton-edge acc. = 85-94%
 - \circ Co-56 DEP (1576 & 2231 keV) acc. = 83-95%

$$0
uetaeta$$
 acceptance $=90^{+5}_{-9}\%$

background acc at
$$\mathsf{Q}_{\beta\beta}{=}\sim\!\!45\%$$





Unblinding: spectrum around $Q_{\beta\beta}$



Unblinding: spectrum around $Q_{\beta\beta}$



 $\sim 99\%$

 $\sim 60\%$

 $\sim 100\%$

 \sim 50%

5

1

observed cts

 $(Q_{\beta\beta}\pm 5 \text{ keV})$

2

1

0

Statistical analysis



Baseline analysis (profile likelihood):

- likelihood fit (constant+Gauss in 1930-2190 keV range)
- multiple data sets (common $T_{1/2}^{0\nu}$)
- $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \ge 0$ (coverage tested)

Results (GERDA only):

- best fit for $N_{0
 u\beta\beta}=0$ signal cts
- $N_{0
 uetaeta} < 3.5$ cts at 90% C.L.
- $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25} \, \text{yr} \ (90\% \text{ C.L.})$
- MC Median sensitivity (for no signal): $T^{0\nu}_{1/2} > 2.4 \cdot 10^{25} \, {\rm yr} \, \left(90\% \, {\rm C.L.}\right)$

PRL 111, 122503 (2013); [1] Phys.Rev. D65, 092007 (2002); [2] Eur.Phys.J. A12, 147 (2001)

Statistical analysis



Baseline analysis (profile likelihood):

- likelihood fit (constant+Gauss in 1930-2190 keV range)
- multiple data sets (common $T_{1/2}^{0\nu}$)
- $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \ge 0$ (coverage tested)

Results (GERDA only):

- best fit for $N_{0
 u\beta\beta}=0$ signal cts
- $N_{0
 uetaeta} < 3.5$ cts at 90% C.L.
- $T_{1/2}^{0
 u} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25} \, \text{yr}$ (90% C.L.)
- MC Median sensitivity (for no signal): $T^{0\nu}_{1/2} > 2.4\cdot 10^{25}\,{\rm yr}~(90\%~{\rm C.L.})$

Results (GERDA + IGEX [1] + HdM [2]):

- best fit for $N_{0
 uetaetaeta}=0$ signal cts
- $T_{1/2}^{0
 u} > 3.0\cdot 10^{25} \, {
 m yr}$ (90% C.L.)

PRL 111, 122503 (2013); [1] Phys.Rev. D65, 092007 (2002); [2] Eur.Phys.J. A12, 147 (2001)

Comparison with Phys.Lett. B586 198 (2004)



Frequentist p-value $(N_{0\nu\beta\beta} = 0|H_1) = 0.01$

• Bayes factor $P(H_1)/P(H_0)=2.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$

<u>GERDA + IGEX + HdM</u>: Bayes factor $P(H_1)/P(H_0)=2 \cdot 10^{-4}$

Long standing claim strongly disfavoured!

GERDA only:

Phase II challenges and goals

Goal: starting exploration of $\,T^{\,0\nu}_{1/2}$ values in the range of $10^{26}\,{\rm yr}$

Ongoing upgrades:

Installation of additional 20 kg of BEGe detectors:
 increased array granularity (anti-coincidence cut)
 enhanced pulse shape discrimination performance
 excellent energy resolution

- PMT and fibers+SiPM to detect LAr scintillation light
- lower-mass holders







Broad Energy Germanium (BEGe) detectors



Electric field and charge collection

Contributions to the electric field (E):

1) electrodes potentials: $\phi_{p+} = 0 \text{ V}, \ \phi_{n+} = 4 \text{ kV}$

2) impurity concentration: negative charges for depleted p-type Ge

Total field (1+2): holes are pushed to the detector central slice (2) and then collected to the p+ electrode (1)





Signal formation and development

Pulse shape discrimination technique



Matteo Agostini (TU Munich)

Detection of LAr scintillation light







Design:

- low-background photo-multipliers (9 top, 7 bottom)
- wave-length-shifting fibers read-out by SiPMs
- wave-length-shifting nylon mini-shroud







Phase II median sensitivity for limit setting



Phase II median sensitivity for limit setting

- profile likelihood analysis
- global analyis (Phase I+II data sets)
- beginning of Phase II set to Jan 2015
- scenario 1:
- scenario 2:
 - $\circ BI_{coax} = 1 \cdot 10^{-3} \operatorname{cts}/(\operatorname{keV} \cdot \operatorname{kg} \cdot \operatorname{yr})$ $\circ BI_{bege} = 0.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \operatorname{cts}/(\operatorname{keV} \cdot \operatorname{kg} \cdot \operatorname{yr})$



Conclusions

GERDA Phase I (21.6 kg·yr of exposure):

- blind analysis —> no positive $0\nu\beta\beta$ signal:

 $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 2.1 \cdot 10^{25} \,\text{yr}$ at 90% C.L. (GERDA only)

• Long standing claim excluded at 99% C.L. (model-independent result)

GERDA Phase II:

- transition ongoing
- quasi background-free experiment
- \bullet start exploration of ${\cal T}_{1/2}^{\,\,0\nu}>10^{26}\,{\rm yr}$ in a ${\leq}2\,{\rm yr}$ of data taking