The GERDA experiment: status and future plans





Paolo Zavarise

Università dell'Aquila (PhD student in Physics)

INFN-LNGS, GERDA Collaboration

VULCANO WORKSHOP, June 1st, 2012

OUTLINE:

- GERDA motivations
- GERDA status
- GERDA future plans

GERDA motivations

The GERmanium Detector Array experiment is an ultra-low background experiment designed to search for $^{76}{\rm Ge}~0\nu\beta\beta$ decay.

$$\begin{array}{c} 2\nu\beta\beta\\ (Z,A) \rightarrow (Z+2,A)+2e^-+2\overline{\nu}_e\\ \Delta L=0 \Longrightarrow \text{Predicted by s.m.}\\ \text{Observed.} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 0\nu\beta\beta\\ (Z,A) \rightarrow (Z+2,A) + 2e^{-}\\ \Delta L = 2 \Longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{Physics beyond s.m.}\\ \text{Observed} \end{array}$$

Schechter Valle: $0\nu\beta\beta \Rightarrow$ Majorana ν

Light Majorana neutrino exchange







Part of Heidelberg-Moscow Collaboration claimed evidence for $0\nu\beta\beta$ observation of ⁷⁶Ge (PL B586 (04) 198)

 $\begin{array}{c} {\cal T}_{1/2} = 1.2 (0.7-4.2) \times 10^{25} y \\ (3 \sigma \ {\rm range}) \end{array}$

First goal of GERDA: check the HdM claim

⁷⁶Ge $0\nu\beta\beta$ experiments

HPGe detectors technology (ionization)

Ge as source and detector in a low background environment.

⁷⁶Ge natural abundance: 7% \implies enrichment is required \implies 86% ⁷⁶Ge

Advantages

- 4π solid angle
- Industrial techniques and facilities available to enrich the material
- High purity
- Excellent energy resolution

Disadvantages

- Low $Q_{\beta\beta}$ value (lower than ²⁰⁸Tl 2614 keV) \implies background
- Enrichment is expensive

Measured quantity for $\beta\beta$ events: sum of the electrons kinetic energies

 $2\nu\beta\beta$: energy range 0 to $Q_{\beta\beta}$ (a part of the released energy is carried away by neutrinos) $0\nu\beta\beta$: constant energy $Q_{\beta\beta}$



Sensitivity

 $\begin{array}{l} T_{1/2}^{0\nu}(n\sigma CL)\sim \frac{\ln 2}{A} \Delta \alpha \sqrt{\frac{M \cdot t}{B \cdot \Delta E}}\\ (\alpha \text{ isotopic abundance, } \epsilon \text{ detector efficiency,}\\ M \text{ detector mass, } \Delta E \text{ energy resolution,}\\ B \text{ background index, } t \text{ measuring time,}\\ A \text{ isotope molar mass)} \end{array}$

Low background \implies better sensitivity!

GERDA @ LNGS



The GERDA experiment is hosted in the Hall A of the Gran Sasso Laboratory (INFN)

Suppression of μ -flux> 10⁶

The GERDA setup



Main features

Water tank

$$\label{eq:phi} \begin{split} & \varnothing = 10m \\ & h = 8.9m \\ & V_{water} = 580m^3 \\ & \text{The water tank acts as an} \\ & \text{active Cherenkov veto} \end{split}$$

Cryostat $\emptyset = 4m$ H = 5.88mFilled by LAr

LAr Volume $\sim 64m^3$ T = 88.8K

Naked detectors in LAr!

 $LAr \rightarrow$ Passive shielding, Cooling, Active veto detecting scintillation light (Phase II)

The GERDA detectors configuration



Detectors are organized in strings - Low mass holders 8 enriched detectors + GTF112 in the 3-string arm. Total mass of enriched detectors: \sim 17.7 kg ANG detectors come from HdM experiment, RG detectors come from IGEX

Events identification

How to understand the nature (signal or background?) of events around Q_{etaeta} ?

- First step: filter events in coincidence with a signal
 - from muon-veto
 - from liquid Argon instrumentation (Phase II)
- Second step: discriminate Single & MultiSite Events



Second step approaches:

- Anti-coincidence of detectors
- Pulse shape analysis



GERDA Roadmap

- Phase I (15 kg· yr) Started Detectors from HdM & IGEX B ~ 0.01 counts/(keV·kg·yr)
- Phase II (100 kg· yr) 2013 + New GE detectors (BEGe, \sim 20 kg) $B \sim 0.001 \text{ counts}/(\text{keV}\cdot\text{kg}\cdot\text{yr})$
- Phase III Worldwide collaboration?

Phase I started on 1.11.11!

Status (up to May, 22nd)

Total time: 194.6 days Livetime : 152.5 days Duty cycle: 78%

> Exposure: 6.10 kg· yr (enr) 3.17 kg· yr (nat)



Phase I detectors resolution (FWHM) - ²²⁸Th sources



Two detectors present stability (LC) problems



Black band: blind region ($Q_{\beta\beta} \pm 20 keV$). Since January 2012, we are not analyzing the data in the blind region, to be unbiased in the background extimation.

Radioactivity in argon

We are seeing the effects of two radioactive Argon isotopes: ³⁹Ar and ⁴²Ar

 ${}^{39}\text{Ar} \stackrel{\beta^-, 269yr, Q=565keV}{\longrightarrow} {}^{39}\text{K}$ Expected, clearly visible, and not a background for GERDA! ${}^{42}\text{Ar} \stackrel{\beta^-, 32.9yr, Q=600keV}{\longrightarrow} {}^{42}\text{K} \stackrel{\beta^-, 12.36h, Q=3525keV}{\longrightarrow} {}^{42}\text{Ca}$

The 1524.7keV line arises from the 42 K decay (BR 17.6%). Rate 2x than expected! These photons are not a concern, but the β emitted in the decay of 42 K is a possible background!

Treating the ⁴²K problem

- The initial decay ⁴²Ar → ⁴²K produces the daughter in a charged state, which can drift close to the detectors under the action of electric fields.
- Background source only if ⁴²K comes very close to the detectors.
- A string of detetors can be surrounded by a Cu shield, the minishroud, ($\phi = 11.5$ cm, thickness=60 μ m) to limit the drift of ions

Enriched detectors inside the minishrouds



Natural and Enriched detectors

Blue: natural detectors

Black: enriched detectors



 $^{76}\mbox{Ge}~2\nu\beta\beta$ spectrum is obiouvsly more significant in enriched detectors!

Spectrum decomposition

Model fit where the $2\nu\beta\beta$ the dominant component.

(Component spectra from Monte Carlo simulations, full GERDA geometry, GEANT4)



Log scale

Legend: $2\nu\beta\beta$ ⁴²K ⁴⁰K ²¹⁴Bi Model sum — Black dots: data

Background index around $Q_{\beta\beta}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Background\ index} \\ (\mathcal{Q}_{\beta\beta}\pm 200 \textit{keV}\ \text{minus\ blind\ region\ and\ }^{214}\mathsf{Bi\ line\ }\rightarrow \text{window\ size:\ }350\ \textit{keV})} \\ & \begin{array}{c} 0.020^{+0.006}_{-0.004}\ \text{counts}/(\textit{keV}\cdot\textit{kg}\cdot\textit{yr})\ \text{for\ enriched\ detectors}} \\ & 0.048^{+0.010}_{-0.010}\ \text{counts}/(\textit{keV}\cdot\textit{kg}\cdot\textit{yr})\ \text{for\ natural\ detectors}} \end{array}$

Design goal of Phase I (0.01) not quite reached, but greatly improved (by a factor of 6) with respect to Heidelberg-Moscow

Most likely from a combination of Compton continuum from Th/U, residual 42 K, degraded α , cosmogenic isotopes (60 Co, 68 Ge)



Counting rate @ 2614

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{HdM} & :\sim 16.5 \pm 0.5 \mbox{ counts/(kg·yr)} \\ \mbox{GERDA:} & 1.6 \pm 0.5 \mbox{ counts/(kg·yr)} \end{array}$

10x reduction!

Phase II - BEGe detectors

Phase II detectors have been chosen to ensure a superior PSD. We tested the point-contact BEGe from Canberra, and found them OK for Phase II - JINST 4 P10007





PSD based on A/E ratio



BEGe production and testing ongoing!

Phase II - Liquid argon instrumentation

We are testing a PMT-based approach to the LAr instrumentation for Phase II in LArGe (a smaller GERDA facility)

Combining the superior PSD of BEGe (Phase II detectors) with the LAr veto, we measured a suppression factor $\sim 0.5\times 10^4$ around $Q_{\beta\beta}$ for a 228 Th calibration source.



GERDA Software Framework

GELATIO: a general framework for modular analysis of high-purity Ge detector signals JINST 6 (2011) P08013

- Written in C++ / ROOT
- Managing different data sources in a common way
- Modular design
- Signal processing features
- Fully integrated with a database

🗴 Gelatio-GUI _ 🗆 ×	K Gelatio-GUI _ = ×
Eile View Help	Eile View Help
demoDataSetini	demoDataSetini
Files Event viewer Modules Summary Event analyzer	Files Event viewer Modules Summary Event analyzer
Close	Close
Info View	Info Analysis
Event 0	Event 8 🖉
Info Waveforms Rows: 3 + Columns: 1 + TopLeftChannel: 0 +	Channel. 0 🖉
	Tak UER Tak UERDATU Tak UERDAT
Event viewer	Event analyzer

The graphical user interface

The collaboration



 \sim 115 physicists, 19 institutions, 7 countries

Conclusions

- Phase I data taking started on 1.11.2011
- Data acquisition: ongoing. Current duty cycle: 78%
- Detectors are stable except for two enriched detectors which present LC problems
- Today enriched exposure: 6.10 kg·y
- Current background index: 0.020 counts/(keV·kg·yr)
- Background seems much lower than in previous experiments (HdM & IGEX): GERDA concept validated
- $2\nu\beta\beta$ spectrum well visible
- A model with $2\nu\beta\beta$, ⁴²Ar, ⁴⁰K and ²¹⁴Bi well fits the 600-1800 keV energy window
- Phase I completition: Spring 2013?
- Phase II in advanced state of preparation. Features: BEGe detectors (enhanced PSD), Veto by LAr scintillation light...

Thank you for your attention.