### **GERDA TG4 - Cryogenic Vessel**

### **Status Report**

K.T.Knöpfle MPI Kernphysik, Heidelberg ktkno@mpi-hd.mpg.de

GERDA Collaboration Meeting at Tübingen 9 -11 November 2005

# Safety Review Impact on / Update of Cryostat Design Preparations for Cryostat Fabrication Next Steps Conclusions

### Safety Review – The Issue



Ø 10 m

Although FMECA & HAZOP analysis conclude cryostat system to be safe if constructed 'correctly', nevertheless concerns due to severe consequences in LNGS underground lab from

- single shell rupture
  - huge exhaust of cold N2/Ar gas
- double shell rupture
  - possibility of explosion-like N2/Ar evaporation

#### Possible countermeasures:

- replace water by PE, Pb,..,+ container
- replace water by ice (see Lev's talk)
- lower probability for single shell rupture to <10<sup>-7</sup> per year applying the Basissicherheitskonzept (BSK)
- build 3rd wall (several options)

our proposal

LNGS request

### Safety Review – The History

INPUT : cryostat drawings and Technical Proposal

Apr 21 : Preparatory meeting (LNGS, MPI-HD) in Milano

Apr 25 : Order for FMECA, HAZOP & PID awarded to Air Liquide (AL)

Apr 28 : Kick-off meeting in Sassenage (AL, MPI-HD)

May07 : Intermediate meeting at CERN (AL, LNGS, MPI-HD)

INPUT : FMECA, HAZOP, PID, and TÜV Nord report:

GERDA cryostat & Basissicherheitskonzept (BSK) (► see TG4 webpage) Jul 05 : 'Final' meeting at LNGS (AL, LNGS, MPI-HD)

► LNGS will ask for 2<sup>nd</sup> opinion on GERDA risk assessment

INPUT : 2<sup>nd</sup> opinion paper

Sep 05: Meeting in Milano (LNGS, MPI-HD)

Request for 3<sup>rd</sup> wall

Sep 08 / 09 : GERDA management meeting in Munich / letter to LNGS directorate

INPUT : GERDA specs for 3<sup>rd</sup> wall; paper by consultant Sep 22: 3<sup>rd</sup> wall meeting at CERN (LNGS, MPI-HD, consultants) Sep 28: 3<sup>rd</sup> wall meeting at LNGS (LNGS, consultant, phone conference)

### Oct 13 : GERDA safety concept approved by LNGS if 3<sup>rd</sup> wall is installed

FMECA = Failure Mode, Effects and Criticality Analysis, HAZOP = Hazard and Operability analysis, PID = Piping and Instrumentation Diagram

summer vacations!

## Safety Review – 2nd opinion details

- Conclusions of TÜV report on BSK for GERDA are not accepted.
- Statement independent of cryostat's material: 'low-probability events with catastrophic consequences need additional passive or "absolute" barriers.'
- 'Copper material for cryostat acceptable'.
- 'Consequences of mixing of water & cryoliquid are so catastrophic ... .... that additional, passive countermeasures are needed.'
- According to Seveso directive, events with very low frequency (10-6/y to 10-8/y) are only tolerable if ALARP\* is fulfilled.
- 'Cryostat is single containment structure from structural point of view.'

#### **Recommendations:**

- implementation of 'additional, separated shell'
- preparation of evacuation plan

\* ALARP principle : residual risk shall be As Low As >Reasonably< Practicable

## Safety Review – GERDA Letter ...

... stating that

.... in the hope of minimizing the delay of GERDA, we will consider the implementation of an additional wall as recommended in [2<sup>nd</sup>op] provided that such a wall

- does not affect the physics performance of the experiment, and
- is within the financial capabilities of the collaboration.

#### and asking to confirm that

- water is accepted as shielding material;
- copper is accepted as structural material for cryostat & additional wall;
- with the adoption of an additional wall satisfying the above constraints, GERDA's safety concept is acceptable to LNGS;
- LNGS will provide the evacuation plan recommended in [2<sup>nd</sup>op];
- LNGS will provide the simulations of the LN and LAr evaporation rates.

### Impact on / Update of Cryostat

#### **Delays despite of real fast LNGS action**

AD2000 → BSK → AD2000 detour
All orders stopped until obvious that GERDA safety concept will be approved.
Impact of 3<sup>rd</sup> wall not yet fully understood.

Improved design due to intensified interactions between MPI, TÜV Nord, designers and manufacturers.

'Resting on pads' cryostat version (with compensating bellow in neck) selected in order to reduce stress in copper-stainless steel welds.



### Cryostat Update - Improvements

- Use of OFE ('OFPR') copper instead of DHP copper
  - higher radiopurity
- Use of Korbbogen head instead of hemispherical heads
  - much easier fabrication, can be pressed, cheaper, more reliable
  - :-( cryogenic surface & volume increased
  - :-( material thickness increased
- Use of BSK design rules
  - stress minimized
- 'Ausgehalste' transitions at neck and bottom
  - welds now at positions of reduced stress

### Cryostat Update

Fabrication of steel vessel head from segments



### Cryostat Update

#### Plungers for pressing vessel heads



GERDA Korbbogen heads will be pressed from one single OFE copper sheet which is produced by joining 2 or 3 flat OFE copper sheets by e-beam welding.

Tübingen, Nov 10, 2005

## Cryostat Update



Preps for Cryostat Fabrication (I)

Aug 25 : coordination meeting at TÜV Nord, Hamburg, with [almost] all parties involved in fabrication and certification of cryostat:

TÜV Nord, experts for materials, welding, pressure equipment,.... IGN, engineering company Norddeutsche Affinerie, OFE copper producer Walzwerk Schreiber, rolling plant Antonius, manufacturer of vessel heads pro-beam Burg, e-beam welding company [still lacking: cryogenic expert input]

## Preps for Cryostat Fabrication (II)

Result: plan for test and certification of material & e-beam welding

Sep : list of pieces / welds for certification process : phone conference on construction details Sep 20: order of 1+ ton of OFE copper incl. rolling Oct 12: delivery of 8 mm thick 1.4404 ss plates Oct 17: delivery of rolled 5, 12, 20 mm thick OFE copper plates Oct 21: material cut and milled at MPI HD, shipped to pro-beam KW45 : e-beam welding of Cu-Cu, Cu-1.4404, 1.4404-1.4404 for 'Verfahrensprüfung' and materials test; Production of test pieces for pressing by Antonius dto+2: results of material and weld test available dto+>2: tests by Antonius done Dec ??: certification process finished

### Materials for E-Beam Welding Certification



### Next Steps

IF welding test milestone passed :

- determination of cryostat's wall thickness
- certification of design by TÜV Nord
- cryogenic review of cryostat design (quote available)
- 'order' of cryostat
- tender / order of installation of superinsulation
- tender / order of cryogenic infrastructure

#### Open issues, e.g.:

- selection of superinsulation (teflon sample not yet delivered)
- cleaning of copper surface / cryostat
- layout of clean infrastructure at e-beam welding facility
- time schedule for cryostat production, installation
- procedure for installation, implications of 3<sup>rd</sup> wall

### Conclusions

- Safety concept of GERDA is approved if 3<sup>rd</sup> wall is installed.
- 3<sup>rd</sup> wall is not a component of the cryostat but cryostat support will provide the interface for the 3<sup>rd</sup> wall.
- Cryostat will be built according to AD2000 pressure code.
- Improved cryostat design available.
- Certification of e-beam welding, 'Verfahrensprüfung', is in progress.
- Certification procedure is used to run through all production steps from copper production, rolling, to final welded product.
- Delivery date for cryostat to be determined after certification in collaboration of all parties involved.
- Still numerous issues open

 NB: a) GERDA construction site available in June 2006
b) LNGS ventilation system upgrade in progress, will be completed with the installation of 2<sup>nd</sup> duct.